



State of Ohio
Public Works Commission
Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

Applicant

Applicant: Ottawa County Subdivision Code: 123-00123
 District Number: 5 County: Ottawa Date: 08/28/2020
 Contact: Gino Monaco Phone: (419) 734-6725
(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions)
 Email: gmonaco@co.ottawa.oh.us FAX: (419) 734-6858

Project

Project Name: Phase II, PCI Pump Station Sewer Improvements Zip Code: 43452

Subdivision Type <small>(Select one)</small>	Project Type <small>(Select single largest component by \$)</small>	Funding Request Summary <small>(Automatically populates from page 2)</small>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. County	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Road	Total Project Cost: <u>510,000 .00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. City	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant: <u>255,000 .00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Township	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Water Supply	2. Loan: <u>0 .00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Village	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Wastewater	3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: <u>0 .00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Water (6119 Water District)	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Solid Waste	Funding Requested: <u>255,000 .00</u>
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Stormwater	

District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee)

Funding Type Requested <small>(Select one)</small>	SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> Revolving Loan Program	LTIP:	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Government Program	Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	Amount: _____ .00
District SG Priority: _____		

For OPWC Use Only

STATUS _____	Grant Amount: _____ .00	Loan Type: <input type="checkbox"/> SCIP <input type="checkbox"/> RLP
Project Number: _____	Loan Amount: _____ .00	Date Construction End: _____
	Total Funding: _____ .00	Date Maturity: _____
Release Date: _____	Local Participation: _____ %	Rate: _____ %
OPWC Approval: _____	OPWC Participation: _____ %	Term: _____ Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services

Preliminary Design:	_____	.00	
Final Design:	<u>32,550</u>	.00	
Construction Administration:	<u>35,750</u>	.00	
Total Engineering Services:	a.) <u>68,300</u>	.00	<u>17</u> %
Right of Way:	b.) _____	.00	
Construction:	c.) <u>400,500</u>	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.) _____	.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.) <u>1,150</u>	.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.) <u>40,050</u>	.00	<u>10</u> %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.) <u>510,000</u>	.00	

1.2 Project Financial Resources

Local Resources

Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.) _____	.00	
Local Revenues:	b.) _____	.00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.) _____	.00	
ODOT / FHWA PID: _____	d.) _____	.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.) _____	.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.) <u>255,000</u>	.00	
CDBG:	g.) _____	.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula"			
<input type="checkbox"/> Department of Development			
Other: _____	h.) _____	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.) <u>255,000</u>	.00	<u>50</u> %

OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)

Grant: <u>100</u> % of OPWC Funds	j.) <u>255,000</u>	.00	
Loan: <u>0</u> % of OPWC Funds	k.) _____	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.) <u>0</u>	.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.) <u>255,000</u>	.00	<u>50</u> %
Total Financial Resources:	n.) <u>510,000</u>	.00	<u>100</u> %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local resources required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	<u>510,000</u> .00	<u>100</u> %	A Farmland Preservation letter is required for any impact to farmland
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	<u>0</u> .00	<u>0</u> %	
2.3 Total Project:	<u>510,000</u> .00	<u>100</u> %	

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date: <u>06/18/2020</u>	End Date: <u>05/21/2021</u>
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date: <u>07/15/2021</u>	End Date: <u>09/16/2021</u>
3.3 Construction	Begin Date: <u>09/20/2021</u>	End Date: <u>06/30/2022</u>

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: 25 Years Age: 1990 (Year built or year of last major improvement)

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge: Current ADT _____ Year _____ Projected ADT _____ Year _____

Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.

Residential Water Rate Current \$ 23.00 Proposed \$ 23.00

Number of households served: 7,910

Residential Wastewater Rate Current \$ 36.00 Proposed \$ 36.00

Number of households served: 5,278

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

- A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

The Portage and Catawba Island Township sanitary sewer collection system is a combination of gravity and low pressure sewer system that includes 5 dry pit/ wet well pump stations, 20 non-clog pump stations, and 39 submersible grinder pump stations. The project includes repair and/or maintenance at 35 different pump station sites that are located within Portage and Catawba Island Townships.

- B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

The project consists of various maintenance items and rehabilitative efforts at 35 pump stations. Work shall include replacing the valve chamber and piping at fifteen pump stations, installing bypass connections at nine pump stations, replacing check valves at eleven pump stations, replacing the piping in existing valve chambers at eight pump stations, and replacing access doors in six pump stations.

- C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

The physical dimensions of the improvements varies between each of the 35 sites. Replacement items will be the same size and dimensions as the original components (piping, valves, valve vault, etc.). Capacity will not increase at any of the sites included as part of this project.

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer (Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: Mark Stahl
Title: President, Board of County Commissioners
Address: 315 Madison Street
Room 103
City: Port Clinton State: OH Zip: _____
Phone: (419) 734-6700
FAX: (419) 734-6858
E-Mail: mstahl@co.ottawa.oh.us

5.2 Chief Financial Officer (Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: Jennifer Widmer
Title: County Auditor
Address: 315 Madison Street
Room 202
City: Port Clinton State: OH Zip: 43452
Phone: (419) 734-6742
FAX: (419) 734-6592
E-Mail: jwidmer@co.ottawa.oh.us

5.3 Project Manager

Name: James K. Frey
Title: Sanitary Engineer
Address: 315 Madison Street
Room 105
City: Port Clinton State: OH Zip: 43452
Phone: (419) 734-6725
FAX: (419) 734-6858
E-Mail: kfrey@co.ottawa.oh.us

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

- A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
- A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
- Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.
- Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification


The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Mark Stahl, President, Board of Commissioners

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

 9.3.2020

RESOLUTION NO. 20-30

A RESOLUTION BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF OTTAWA COUNTY, OHIO DESIGNATING AND AUTHORIZING THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AS THE SIGNATORY FOR ALL FORMS AND DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE STATE ISSUE II FUNDING APPLICATIONS TO THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

The Board of County Commissioners of the County of Ottawa, Ohio, met in regular session at the office of the Board of County Commissioners, Ottawa County Courthouse, Port Clinton, Ohio on the 4th day of August, 2020, at the regular place of meeting with the following members present:

Mark W. Stahl

Donald A. Douglas

Mark E. Coppeler

Commissioner Douglas offered the following resolution and moved its passage, which was duly seconded by Commissioner Coppeler.

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, Ottawa County is eligible to receive financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission to finance capital improvements, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio Public Works Commission requires individuals to be designated and authorized to sign all forms and documents associated with applications to the Ohio Public Works Commission.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Ottawa County, Ohio:

SECTION 1: That the members of the Board shall be and are hereby designated as signatory designees.

SECTION 2: That the members of said Board shall be and are hereby authorized to sign all forms and documents associated with applying for financial assistance to the Ohio Public Works Commission.

Vote on Motion: Mark W. Stahl, yes; Donald A. Douglas, yes, Mark E. Coppeler, yes.

I, Rhonda Slauterbeck, County Administrator/Clerk of the Board of Commissioners of Ottawa County, Ohio, hereby do certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board under said date and as same appears in Commissioners' Journal, Volume 102.



Rhonda Slauterbeck, County Administrator/Clerk
Board of Ottawa County Commissioners

Prepared by: Sanitary Engineering Dept.

c: Sanitary Engineering Dept.
County Engineer

**CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICERS CERTIFICATION OF
LOAN REPAYMENT**

September 8, 2020

I Jennifer Widmer, County Auditor of Ottawa County, Ohio do hereby certify that the Ottawa County Sanitary Engineering Department will collect the amount of \$ 255,000.00 through its monthly user rates and charges and that this amount will be paid into the Ottawa County Portage-Catawba Island Wastewater Treatment System Operations Fund and will be used to repay the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP loan over a 20 year term.



Jennifer Widmer, Auditor of Ottawa County, Ohio

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS
OTTAWA COUNTY SANITARY ENGINEER
PORTAGE & CATAWBA ISLAND PUMP STATION IMPROVEMENTS
PDG JOB NO. 308500-00119
Friday, September 04, 2020

REF NO.	ITEM	QUANTITY	UNITS	UNIT COST	TOTAL
	Main Pump Station Improvements				
1	Cathodic Protection Anodes	1	LS	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
2	Wet Well Top and Access Door	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 10 Improvements				
3	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 20 Improvements				
4	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 40 Improvements				
5	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 50 Improvements				
6	Replacement Check Valve	1	LS	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
	Pump Station 60 Improvements				
7	Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 90 Improvements				
8	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 100 Improvements				
9	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 110 Improvements				
10	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 120 Improvements				
11	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 130 Improvements				
12	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Pump Station 132 Improvements				
13	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
14	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
	Pump Station 135 Improvements				
15	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
16	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
	Pump Station 140 Improvements				
17	Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 160 Improvements				
18	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
19	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
20	Replacement Wet Well Top and Access Door	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 170 Improvements				
21	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
22	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
23	Replacement Wet Well Top and Access Door	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 190 Improvements				
24	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
25	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
26	Replacement Wet Well Top and Access Door	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 200 Improvements				
27	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 210 Improvements				
28	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
29	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
30	Replacement Wet Well Top and Access Door	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 230 Improvements				
31	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 240 Improvements				
32	Replacement Check Valves	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
33	Replacement Piping in Valve Chamber	1	LS	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
34	Replacement Wet Well Top and Access Door	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	Pump Station 270 Improvements				
35	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00

36	Pump Station 285 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
37	Pump Station 300 Improvements Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
38	Pump Station 310 Improvements Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
39	Pump Station 330 Improvements Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
40	Pump Station 350 Improvements Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
41	Pump Station 360 Improvements Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
42	Pump Station 370 Improvements Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	1	LS	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
43	Pump Station 430 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
44	Pump Station 432 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
45	Pump Station 437 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
46	Pump Station 442 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
47	Pump Station 490 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
48	Pump Station 510 Improvements Bypass Pumping Connection	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
49	General Project Requirements Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00

	Subtotal	\$	400,500.00
	Contingencies 10%	\$	40,050.00
	Total Opinion of Construction Costs	\$	440,550.00

ENGINEERING SERVICES

	Design	\$	32,550.00
	Bidding	\$	4,000.00
	Total Engineering Services	\$	36,550.00

CONSTRUCTION SERVICES


	Construction Administration	\$	8,250.00
	Construction Observation (Full Time)	\$	23,500.00
	Total Construction Services	\$	31,750.00

	Permits, Advertising, Legal	\$	1,150.00
--	-----------------------------	----	----------

	Total Opinion of Project Costs	\$	510,000.00
--	---------------------------------------	----	-------------------

Notes: The Estimated Weighted Useful Life of the Pump Station Improvements project is thirty (30) years.

 9/4/2020
 Thomas Borck, P.E.



**DISTRICT 5
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
QUESTIONNAIRE
ROUND 35**

Name of Applicant: Ottawa County Sanitary Engineering Department

Project Title: Phase II, Portage-Catawba Island Pump Station Improvements

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. **Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.**

1. What percentage of the project in repair A= 100%, replacement B= __%, expansion C= __%, and new D= __%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B= 100% C+D= __% **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)**

Repair/Replacement =Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

- 2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2); 164.14(E)(8)**

Points	Category	Description	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards - Complete Pavement Reconstruction -Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement
8	Poor X	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a significant part of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with

			25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Replacement of pumps, hydrants, valves, filters, etc in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)**

Life	20	30	50
Project Type	Road	Wastewater and Water Treatment	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)**

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health

and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*
Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*
Major:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Moderate:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Minimal:	Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
No Impact:	Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the LOWEST category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

<i>Major Access Road:</i>	<i>Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.</i>
<i>Minor Access Road:</i>	<i>Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.</i>
<i>Preventative Maintenance:</i>	<i>Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.</i>

* (3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

* (4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement. etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
Moderate:	66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

- Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.
- Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.
- No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

- Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).
- Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).
- Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.
- Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).
- Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

- Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
- Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.

- Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
- Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
- Major:** EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
- Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

- Extremely Critical: Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
- Critical: Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.

- Major: Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
- Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

- Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.
- Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
- Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
- Moderate: The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
- Minimal: A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
- No Impact: No health and/or safety effect.

NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical ____, Critical ____, Major X, Moderate ____, Minimal ____, No Impact ____. Explain your answer.

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost. **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(6); ORC 164.06(B)(3)**

- A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$ 255,000
- B.) Total Project Cost = \$ 510,000

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A÷B)= 50 %

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds,

as a percentage of the total project cost. **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(7);164.14(E)(4)**

Grants ___% Gifts ___%, Contributions ___%

Other ___% (explain) _____ , Total -0- %

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

- 6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. **ORC Reference(s):164.14(E)(10);164.06(B)(5)**

_____	\$500,001 or More
_____	\$400,001-\$500,000
_____	\$325,001-\$400,000
_____	\$275,001-\$325,000
<u> X </u>	\$175,001-\$275,000
_____	\$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES X NO _____

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) **Please note: if you answer “no” you will not be contacted, only if you answer “yes” will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.**

- 7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week) ? Yes ___ No X . If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ___ No X . If yes, how many jobs _____ **will be created/retrained** within 18 months **following the completion of the improvements?**

ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivalent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will

receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 5,278 EDU's (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) **ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)** (Billing Report)

9. Economic Distress Criteria **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)**
What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income?
98.40%. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data provided in Exhibit A. Portage Twp. (\$40,000) + Catawba Twp. (\$70,000) = \$120,000 / 2 = \$55,000

10. Readiness to Proceed Criteria **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)**

Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.

- Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)
- Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
- Final Design Complete (2 Points)

11. Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= 85

12. County Subcommittee Priority Points= _____

(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)

13. DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)

13a. A **District Discretionary Point** may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance)
(Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee) _____

ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)

13b. A **District Discretionary Point** may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee) _____ **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)**

14. **Grand Total of Points** _____

15. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No ___ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143>

16. **OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES**

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Supplement and their required budgets with their application.

Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.

• If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the **Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet**. Both are available on the **Small Government Program Tab** at <https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government>

• Should there be more projects that meet the “annual score” than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, “contingency projects” may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.

• Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.

• Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. **It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.**

If you desire to have your Round 35 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 35 by accessing the OPWC Website at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143>

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 35.

Date:

9-3-2020

Signature:

M. W. Stahl

Title:

President, Board of Ottawa County Commissioners

Address:

315 Madison Street, Room 103, Port Clinton, OH 43452

Phone:

419-734-6700

FAX:

419-734-6898



Email:

MSTALH@CO.OTTAWA.OH.US

EXHIBIT A

Economic Distress Scoring Criteria


District 5 will use ACS 2013-2017 data below to score criteria #7 of the Scoring Methodology. Information is listed for each county, municipality and township. The Median Household Income (MHI) for each entity was divide by the District 5 Mean MHI to produce an Economic Distress Factor. District 5 then assigned points as follows: for each entity having an Economic Distress Factor of 80% or less a score of 2 is awarded; for entities with an Economic Distress Score of 80.1% to 100.0% 1 point was awarded; for entities in excess of 100.1% a score of 0 was awarded.

County	Municipality	2017 Median Household Income	2010 Population	2017 Economic Distress Factor	
District 5 Mean MHI		\$55,893			
Paulding	Cecil village	\$33,750	188	60.38%	 2 Points
Wood	Bowling Green city	\$33,794	30,028	60.46%	
Paulding	Haviland village	\$33,908	215	60.66%	
Wood	Fostona city	\$35,125	13,441	62.84%	
Sandusky	Fremont city	\$35,295	16,734	63.15%	
Williams	Bryan city	\$35,815	8,545	64.08%	
Erie	Sandusky city	\$36,117	25,793	64.62%	
Defiance	Sherwood village	\$36,250	827	64.86%	
Paulding	Broughton village	\$36,667	120	65.60%	
Henry	McClure village	\$36,875	725	65.97%	
Paulding	Oakwood village	\$37,273	608	66.69%	
Williams	Blakeslee village	\$38,125	96	68.21%	
Wood	Waibridge village	\$38,613	3,019	69.08%	
Wood	West Milgrove village	\$39,000	174	69.78%	
Paulding	Grover Hill village	\$39,107	402	69.97%	
Williams	West Unity village	\$39,250	1,671	70.22%	
Ottawa	Rocky Ridge village	\$39,375	417	70.45%	
Ottawa	Portage township	\$40,000	1,291	71.57%	
Defiance	Ney village	\$41,111	354	73.55%	
Wood	Hoytville village	\$41,471	303	74.20%	
Paulding	Paulding village	\$41,490	3,605	74.23%	
Wood	Risingsun village	\$41,771	606	74.73%	
Paulding	Antwerp village	\$41,827	1,736	74.83%	
Paulding	Latty township (Remainder of)	\$42,188	615	75.48%	
Ottawa	Clay Center village	\$42,321	276	75.72%	
Paulding	Payne village	\$42,339	1,194	75.75%	
Paulding	Scott village	\$42,500	285	76.04%	
Ottawa	Bay township	\$42,969	1,458	76.88%	
Ottawa	Oak Harbor village	\$43,456	2,759	77.75%	
Ottawa	Port Clinton city	\$43,554	6,056	77.92%	
Williams	Pioneer village	\$43,667	1,380	78.13%	
Williams	Montpeller village	\$43,955	4,072	78.64%	
Fulton	Fayette village	\$44,120	1,283	78.94%	
Williams	Edon village	\$44,338	834	79.33%	


Williams	Northwest township	\$44,732	1,236	80.03%
Wood	Liberty township (Remainder of)	\$44,846	1,633	80.23%
Fulton	Metamora village	\$45,000	827	80.51%
Paulding	Latty village	\$45,000	193	80.51%
Wood	Wayne village	\$45,000	887	80.51%
Ottawa	Erie township	\$45,500	1,221	81.41%
Williams	St. Joseph township (Remainder of)	\$45,633	815	82.00%
Williams	Madison township (Remainder of)	\$46,079	976	82.44%
Paulding	Melrose village	\$46,250	275	82.75%
Wood	Bradner village	\$46,429	985	83.07%
Henry	Napoleon city	\$46,786	8,749	83.71%
Wood	Cygnel village	\$46,917	597	83.94%
Henry	New Bavaria village	\$47,500	99	84.98%
Paulding	Paulding township (Remainder of)	\$47,531	1,046	85.04%
Defiance	Defiance city	\$47,716	16,494	85.37%
Defiance	Hicksville village	\$47,841	3,581	85.59%
Fulton	Wauseon city	\$47,885	7,332	85.67%
Henry	Deshler village	\$48,015	1,799	85.91%
Sandusky	Green Springs village	\$48,173	1,368	86.19%
Ottawa	Salem township (Remainder of)	\$48,227	2,612	86.28%
Henry	Hamler village	\$48,452	576	86.69%
Sandusky	Riley township	\$48,520	1,226	86.81%
Williams	Stryker village	\$48,750	1,335	87.22%
Williams	Pulaski township	\$49,199	2,357	88.02%
Fulton	Lyons village	\$49,250	662	88.11%
Williams	Edgerton village	\$49,375	2,012	88.34%
Sandusky	Rice township	\$49,461	1,370	88.49%
Wood	Weston village	\$49,702	1,590	88.92%
Williams	Mill Creek township	\$49,760	802	89.03%
Williams	Brady township (Remainder of)	\$49,919	931	89.31%
Ottawa	Danbury township (Remainder of)	\$50,067	4,264	89.58%
Sandusky	Clyde city	\$50,240	6,325	89.89%
Wood	Troy township (Remainder of)	\$50,313	2,858	90.02%
Fulton	Dover township	\$50,400	1,578	90.17%
Sandusky	Gibsonburg village	\$50,603	2,581	90.54%
Paulding	Auglaize township	\$51,202	1,454	91.61%
Fulton	Chesterfield township	\$51,563	1,012	92.25%
Wood	Milton Center village	\$51,667	144	92.44%
Henry	Holgate village	\$51,700	1,109	92.50%
Erie	Berlin Heights village	\$51,719	714	92.53%
Erie	Bellevue city	\$51,875	8,202	92.81%
Sandusky	Bellevue city	\$51,875	8,202	92.81%
Williams	Superior township	\$52,022	1,393	93.07%
Henry	Barlow township (Remainder of)	\$52,159	568	93.32%
Fulton	Franklin township	\$52,321	743	93.61%
Wood	Cuslar village	\$52,500	179	93.93%
Wood	Portage village	\$53,068	438	94.95%
Fulton	Archbold village	\$53,108	4,346	95.01%
Sandusky	Lindsey village	\$53,523	446	95.76%
Paulding	Brown township (Remainder of)	\$53,548	1,249	95.81%
Erie	Huron city	\$53,555	7,149	95.82%
Henry	Florida village	\$53,750	232	96.17%
Wood	Bairdstown village	\$53,750	130	96.17%
Williams	Bridgewater township	\$53,859	1,474	96.36%
Ottawa	Genoa village	\$54,321	2,336	97.19%
Sandusky	Helena village	\$54,375	224	97.28%
Wood	North Baltimore village	\$54,435	3,432	97.39%
Henry	Pleasant township (Remainder of)	\$54,520	871	97.54%
Wood	Milton township (Remainder of)	\$54,558	656	97.61%
Paulding	Emerald township (Remainder of)	\$54,655	789	97.79%
Erie	Vermilion city	\$54,730	10,594	97.92%
Erie	Bay View village	\$55,357	632	99.04%
Fulton	Gorham township (Remainder of)	\$55,368	977	99.06%
Williams	Jefferson township (Remainder of)	\$55,384	1,879	99.09%
Sandusky	Green Creek township	\$55,587	3,646	99.45%
Sandusky	Woodville village	\$55,652	2,135	99.57%
Sandusky	Woodville township (Remainder of)	\$55,690	1,256	99.64%
Ottawa	Elmore village	\$55,804	1,410	99.84%
Sandusky	Elmore village	\$55,804	1,410	99.84%
Wood	Bloomdale village	\$55,893	678	100.00%



1 Point



Ottawa	Put-in-Bay township (Remainder of)	\$56,000	495	100.19%	
Sandusky	Sandusky township	\$56,317	3,619	100.76%	
Erie	Vermilion township	\$56,699	4,945	101.44%	
Wood	Montgomery township (Remainder of)	\$56,845	1,752	101.70%	
Wood	Milbury village	\$56,932	1,200	101.86%	
Wood	Grand Rapids village	\$57,014	865	102.01%	
Wood	Perrysburg township	\$57,155	12,512	102.26%	
Henry	Liberty Center village	\$57,303	1,180	102.52%	
Fulton	Swanton village	\$57,446	3,690	102.78%	
Sandusky	York township	\$57,500	2,532	102.88%	
Williams	County	\$57,551	35,801	102.97%	
Defiance	Highland township	\$57,841	2,372	103.49%	
Paulding	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$58,055	853	103.67%	
Paulding	Crane township (Remainder of)	\$58,061	1,232	103.86%	
Williams	Center township	\$58,504	2,874	104.67%	
Erie	Margaretta township (Remainder of)	\$58,792	4,497	105.19%	
Henry	Liberty township (Remainder of)	\$58,954	1,317	105.49%	
Wood	Northwood city	\$59,009	5,265	105.57%	
Henry	Monroe township (Remainder of)	\$59,318	877	106.13%	
Defiance	Delaware township (Remainder of)	\$59,551	1,307	106.56%	
Sandusky	Scott township	\$59,643	1,437	106.71%	
Sandusky	County	\$59,753	58,269	106.91%	
Defiance	Mark township	\$59,770	908	106.94%	
Henry	Harrison township (Remainder of)	\$59,893	1,025	107.16%	
Defiance	Tiffin township	\$60,182	1,612	107.69%	
Sandusky	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$60,680	1,795	108.56%	
Fulton	Delta village	\$60,927	3,103	109.01%	
Erie	Perkins township	\$61,293	12,202	109.66%	
Wood	Rosford city	\$61,682	6,293	110.36%	
Wood	Luckey village	\$61,705	1,012	110.40%	
Henry	Mainia village	\$61,875	265	110.70%	
Defiance	Defiance township (Remainder of)	\$62,404	1,792	111.65%	
Fulton	Swan Creek township (Remainder of)	\$62,576	6,013	111.95%	
Henry	Damascus township (Remainder of)	\$62,614	1,076	112.02%	
Henry	Freedom township	\$62,750	946	112.27%	
Wood	Pemberville village	\$62,885	1,371	112.51%	
Sandusky	Bainville township	\$62,904	5,985	112.54%	
Erie	Kelleys Island village	\$63,000	312	112.72%	
Paulding	County	\$63,122	18,863	112.93%	
Wood	Jerry City village	\$63,158	427	113.00%	
Williams	Springfield township (Remainder of)	\$63,546	1,812	113.70%	
Fulton	Clinton township (Remainder of)	\$63,622	2,222	113.83%	
Wood	Bloom township (Remainder of)	\$64,017	1,003	114.53%	
Wood	Henry township (Remainder of)	\$64,074	743	114.64%	0 Points
Wood	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$64,219	489	114.90%	
Erie	County	\$64,384	74,039	115.19%	
Paulding	Blue Creek township (Remainder of)	\$64,464	447	115.34%	
Defiance	County	\$64,669	37,694	115.70%	
Williams	Florence township (Remainder of)	\$64,821	1,095	115.97%	
Defiance	Farmer township	\$64,866	953	116.09%	
Paulding	Benton township (Remainder of)	\$65,230	671	116.70%	
Defiance	Richland township (Remainder of)	\$65,245	1,719	116.73%	
Sandusky	Townsend township	\$65,306	1,620	116.84%	
Ottawa	Marblehead village	\$65,417	903	117.04%	
Defiance	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$65,526	1,263	117.24%	
Williams	Holiday City village	\$65,525	52	117.41%	
Ottawa	Carroll township	\$65,769	2,135	117.67%	
Erie	Milan village	\$66,833	1,367	117.78%	
Erie	Castalia village	\$66,146	852	118.34%	
Wood	Tonlogany village	\$66,786	367	119.49%	
Defiance	Noble township (Remainder of)	\$66,855	2,419	119.67%	
Fulton	Pike township	\$67,115	1,854	120.08%	
Paulding	Carroll township (Remainder of)	\$67,151	1,244	120.14%	
Erie	Florence township	\$67,300	2,445	120.41%	
Fulton	County	\$67,327	41,824	120.46%	
Fulton	Royallon township (Remainder of)	\$67,929	953	121.53%	
Henry	County	\$68,966	27,027	123.39%	
Wood	Lake township (Remainder of)	\$69,148	6,753	123.72%	
Ottawa	County	\$69,155	39,946	123.73%	
Ottawa	Harris township (Remainder of)	\$69,186	1,608	123.78%	
Ottawa	Clay township (Remainder of)	\$69,750	2,722	124.79%	
Defiance	Hicksville township (Remainder of)	\$69,830	1,395	124.94%	
Ottawa	Catawba Island township	\$70,000	3,599	125.24%	
Sandusky	Burgoon village	\$70,000	172	125.24%	
Erie	Groton township	\$70,959	1,427	126.96%	
Henry	Ridgeville township	\$71,615	1,091	128.13%	
Wood	Weston township (Remainder of)	\$71,739	746	128.35%	
Henry	Marion township (Remainder of)	\$72,708	721	130.08%	
Wood	Grand Rapids township (Remainder of)	\$72,717	642	130.10%	
Henry	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$72,849	1,794	130.34%	
Sandusky	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$73,061	1,303	130.72%	
Fulton	German township (Remainder of)	\$73,214	2,097	130.99%	
Fulton	Amboy township (Remainder of)	\$73,816	1,219	132.07%	
Wood	Webster township	\$74,063	1,263	132.51%	
Fulton	Fullon township (Remainder of)	\$74,073	1,519	132.63%	
Wood	Freedom township (Remainder of)	\$74,477	1,355	133.25%	
Sandusky	Madison township (Remainder of)	\$75,000	1,273	134.18%	
Wood	County	\$76,876	122,541	137.54%	
Fulton	York township (Remainder of)	\$77,742	1,678	139.09%	
Ottawa	Put-in-Bay village	\$78,250	138	140.00%	
Wood	Plain township	\$78,333	1,663	140.15%	
Paulding	Harrison township (Remainder of)	\$78,340	640	140.16%	
Ottawa	Benton township (Remainder of)	\$79,140	2,224	141.59%	
Erie	Huron township (Remainder of)	\$79,225	3,546	141.74%	
Henry	Flatrock township (Remainder of)	\$80,235	962	143.55%	
Erie	Oxford township	\$80,375	1,201	143.60%	
Paulding	Washington township	\$80,451	719	143.96%	
Erie	Berlin township (Remainder of)	\$80,497	3,009	144.02%	



Orava	Allen township (Remainder of)	\$60,752	3,504	144.48%	
Defiance	Adams township	\$61,679	947	145.96%	
Wood	Haskins village	\$61,705	1,188	146.18%	
Defiance	Milford township	\$63,750	1,081	149.84%	
Wood	Middleton township (Remainder of)	\$64,802	3,266	151.72%	
Erie	Milan township (Remainder of)	\$65,082	2,602	152.19%	
Wood	Perrysburg city	\$67,947	20,623	157.35%	
Wood	Perry township (Remainder of)	\$68,081	1,431	157.59%	
Henry	Richfield township	\$92,500	682	165.49%	
Wood	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$96,023	1,474	171.80%	
Wood	Portage township (Remainder of)	\$96,456	1,083	172.57%	
Wood	Center township	\$97,337	1,205	174.15%	
Henry	Napoleon township (Remainder of)	\$105,710	1,551	190.92%	



District 5 Capital Improvement Project Priority Rating Sheet, Round 35										PROJECT NUMBER							
COUNTY: Ottawa																	
PROJECT: Ph II, PCI PS Imp Project																	
EST. COST: 510,000																	
ID	A	WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	B						A+B	PRIORITY FACTORS						MAX
				PRIORITY FACTORS							0	2	4	6	8	10	
				0	2	4	6	8	10		0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	
1	1		(REPAIR OR REPLACE) vs. (NEW OR EXPANSION)							10	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	1
2A	1		EXISTING PHYSICAL CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 35 Scoring Methodology. Must submit substantiating documentation. (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)							8	Excellent	Good	Fair	Fading	Poor	Falling	2A
2B	1		AGE							5	0-4 Yrs	5-8 Yrs	9-12 Yrs	13-16 Yrs	17-20 Yrs	21+ Yrs	2B
											Wastewater	0-4 Yrs	5-8 Yrs	9-12 Yrs	13-16 Yrs	17-20 Yrs	21+ Yrs
											Bridge/Overl	0-6 Yrs	7-12 Yrs	13-18 Yrs	19-24 Yrs	25-30 Yrs	31+ Yrs
											Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste	0-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	21-30 Yrs	31-40 Yrs	41-50 Yrs	50+ Yrs
3	2		PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR SAFETY CONCERNS Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question							12	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	3
4	2		LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues) *							20	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	4
5	1		OTHER FUNDING (Excluding Issue # Funds) (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant, including Gifts, Contributions, etc. - must submit copy of award or status letter)							0	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	5
6	2		OPWG GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED. Please refer to Criteria #8 of the Round 35 Methodology for clarification.							18	Grant or Loan Only	Grant or Loan Only	Grant/Loan Combination	Grant/Loan Combination	Grant/Loan Combination	Grant/Loan Combination	6
											\$500,001 to \$500,000	\$400,001 to \$500,000	\$325,001 to \$400,000	\$275,001 to \$325,000	\$175,001 to \$275,000	\$175,000 or less	6
											\$750,000 or more	\$500,001 to \$750,000	\$497,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$497,500	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500 or less	6
When scoring a project that is only grant or only loan, please use the chart labeled "Grant or Loan Only". When scoring a grant/loan combination, score the project for the grant in the first chart, then use the second chart labeled "Grant/Loan Combination" to score the total (grant and loan combined). Use the lower of the two as the score.																	
7	1		JOB CREATION/RETENTION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentation in the form of a commitment letter from business or third party entity.							0	0-6 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15-24 Jobs	25+ Jobs			7
8	1		BENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS (households or traffic counts) equivalent existing and direct connections. Traffic Counts within two years with certified documentation, etc.							10	0-99 Users	100-349 Users	350-499 Users	500-749 Users	750-1000 Users	1000+ Users	8
9	1		ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local MHI as a percentage of the District Median MHI							1	100%+	80%-100%	Less Than 80%				9
10	1		READINESS TO PROCEED							1	Plans Not Begun Yet	Preliminary Engineering Complete	Final Design Complete				10
11			SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX = 118)							85	Other Info: Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO Attach Impact statement if yes. Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO						
12			COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15)														
13A			DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX=1)								District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. Include documentation to support the claim of significance.						
13B			DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX=1)								District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized financial resources including assessments and utility rate structure.						
14			GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS														

* Applicants must certify local and other share contributions. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.

OTTAWA COUNTY
SANITARY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

315 Madison Street, Room 105
Ottawa County Courthouse
Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

James K. Frey, P.E., P.S.

www.co.ottawa.oh.us/index.php/sanitary-engineer
Telephone: (419) 734-6725
Fax: (419) 734-6858

**Phase II, Portage-Catawba Island Pump Station
Improvements Project**

The Portage-Catawba Island (PCI) Sanitary Sewer System was constructed in 1989-1990 and serves both the Portage Township and Catawba Island Township communities. The sanitary collection system consists of a combination of low pressure and gravity sewers that currently includes 5 wet well/dry well pump stations, 20 non-clog submersible pump stations and 39 submersible grinder pump stations. The majority of the sanitary sewer system was constructed of PVC; but there is also some RCP and DIP. The collection system transports wastewater from these townships to the PCI Wastewater Treatment Plant located at 1209 NW Catawba Road, Port Clinton, Ohio 43452.

In 2016, Ottawa County completed the Portage-Catawba Island Wastewater Treatment Plant and Sanitary Sewer Evaluation and Report to document treatment plant and collection system conditions/deficiencies throughout the entire sewer system. The wastewater treatment plant and first phase of the collection system rehab work was completed in 2019 at a total project cost of \$2.4 million dollars. The Phase II, PCI Pump Station Improvements Project involves the rehabilitation of 34 pump stations that are 30 years old and contain components that are in poor condition and have exceeded their useful life. The scope of work at each pump station involving repair and/or replacement was documented in the 2016 report; which was submitted and approved by Ohio EPA as part of the Phase I Rehabilitation/Upgrade Project. We are now moving forward with the Phase II work in order to restore the integrity of the pump stations.


The scope of work included in the Phase II project consists of installing cathodic protection, replacing valve chambers and piping, installing bypass piping outlets, replacing wet well access doors and replacing swing arm check valves as further described in the attached spreadsheet. The rehabilitation items included in this project will return the levels of service to current EPA design standards and ensure continued uninterrupted sanitary sewer service for township residences and businesses for an additional 30 years. The completed project will minimize the threat of sewer system overflows due to pump station failures, which when combined with increased wastewater flows, high lake levels and increased infiltration & inflow threaten the integrity of the collection system. When completed, the useful life of the pump stations will be an additional 30 years.

The total estimated project cost for the Phase II, PCI Pump Station Improvements Project is \$510,000. An outline of the scope of work included in the Phase II, PCI PS Improvements Project is included on the following page.

Date: 9-9-2020

Portage/Catawba Island Pump Station Improvements Phase 2 List of Items to Perform


Pump Station ID	Cathodic Protection	Bypass Piping Outlet	Swing Arm Check Valves	Replacement Valve Chamber and Piping	Replacement Valve Chamber Piping	Wetwell top &/or Access Door
Main	X		X			X
10				X		
20				X		
40				X		
50			X			
60		X				
90				X		
100				X		
110				X		
120				X		
130				X		
132			X		X	
135			X		X	
140		X				
160			X		X	X
170			X		X	X
190			X		X	X
200			X			
210			X		X	X
230			X		X	
240			X		X	X
270				X		
285		X				
300				X		
310				X		
330				X		
350				X		
360				X		
370				X		
430		X				
432		X				
437		X				
442		X				
495		X				
510		X				



1 inch = 2,500 feet


Site Map For: Catawba Island Pump Station Improvements - Phase 2

Legend

 = Pump Station




**Site Map For:
Portage Twp.
Pump Station
Improvements - Phase 2**




Legend
■ = Pump Station



PCI #10 Power



PCI #10 Site



PCI #10 Valve Chamber



PCI #10 Wet Well

R. Heyman
12/02/2015
POG-JOB# 3080-097
FILE: S130809097
PCI10.MXD

PCI #10

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos





PCI #20 Power



PCI #20 Site



PCI #20 Valve Chamber



PCI #20 Wet Well

R. Hayden
12/10/2015
POC JOB# 3005-007
FILE: S:\3005\007\
PCI00.MXD

PCI #20

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos





PCI #40 Site



PCI #40 Power



PCI #40 Well Well



PCI #40 Valve Chamber

S. Myer
12/10/2015
POC JOB# 3015-007
FILE: S:\3015\007\PCI40.MXD

PCI #40

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #50 Dry Well



PCI #50 Wet Well



PCI #50 Bypass Discharge



PCI #50 Site



PCI #60 Site



PCI #60 Power



PCI #60 West Well



PCI #60 Valve Chamber



PCI #90 Power



PCI #90 Site



PCI #90 Valve Chamber



PCI #90 Wet Well


R. Heyman
12/10/2015
PDC JOB# 10185-097
FILE: S:\30851007\
PCI90.MXD

PCI #90

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #100 Site



PCI #100 Power



PCI #100 Wet Well 2




PCI #100 Valve Chamber



PCI #110 Power



PCI #110 Site



PCI #110 Valve Chamber



PCI #110 Wet Well

R. Meyers
12/16/2015

POC JOB# 3085-007

FILE: 5/3/05/037/

PCI110.MXD

PCI #110

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #120 Site



PCI #120 Power



PCI #120 Wet Well



PCI #120 Valve Chamber



PCI #130 SKR



PCI #130 Power



PCI #130 Wet Well




PCI #130 Valve Chamber



PCI #132 Power



PCI #132 Site



PCI #132 Valve Chamber




PCI #132 Wet Well


R. Heyman
12/10/2015
POG JOB# 1005-097
FILE: 6130859971
PCI132.MXD

PCI #132

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos




PCI #135 Site




PCI #135 Power



PCI #135 Wet Well



PCI #135 Valve Chamber



PCI #140 Power



PCI #140 Site



PCI #140 Valve Chamber



PCI #140 Wet Well

R. Heyman
12/10/2015
POC JOB# 3045-017
FILE: 330851097
PCI140.NKD

PCI #140

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos




PCI #160 Site



PCI #160 Power



PCI #160 Wet Well



PCI #160 Valve Chamber




PCI #170 Power



PCI #170 SW



PCI #170 Valve Chamber



PCI #170 Wet Well

R. Heyman
12/10/2015
PDC JOB# 3085-097
FILE: S:\3085\997\
PCI170.MXD

PCI #170

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #190 Site



PCI #190 Wet Well



PCI #190 Power



PCI #190 Valve Chamber



PCI #200 Power



PCI #200 Wet Well



PCI #200

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #210 Power



PCI #210 Site



PCI #210 Valve Chamber




PCI #210 Wet Well

R. Heyman
12/16/2015
POC JOB# 3085-097
FILE: 513085097
PCI210.MXD

PCI #210

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #230 Power



PCI #230 Site



PCI #230 Valve Chamber




PCI #230 Wet Well

R. Heyman
12/10/2015
POG JOB# 5085-097
FILE: S:\0085\097.
PCI230.MXD

PCI #230

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #240 Wet Well



PCI #240 Valve Chamber



PCI #240 Site



PCI #240 Power

R. Heyman
12/10/2015
POC JOB# 5085-097
FILE: 5/3/05/097/
PCI240.MXD

PCI #240

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #270 Chem Feed



PCI #270 Power



PCI #270 Site



PCI #270 Valve Chamber

R. Heyman
12/10/2015
POG JOB# 3085-097
FILE: 5/13/05/097/
PCI270.JXD

PCI #270

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos




PCI #270 Wet Well




PCI #285 Site



PCI #285 Wet Well



PCI #285 Power




PCI #285 Valve Chamber



PCI #300 Power

PCI #300 Site



PCI #300 Valve Chamber

PCI #300 Wet Well


R. Heyman
12/16/2015
POC JOB# 3085-007
FILE: S:\3085\0197
PCI300.MXD

PCI #300

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #310 Site



PCI #310 Power



PCI #310 Wet Well




PCI #310 Valve Chamber



PCI #330 Site




PCI #330 Power



PCI #330 Wet Well



PCI #330 Valve Chamber



PCI #350 Power



PCI #350 Site



PCI #350 Valve Chamber



PCI #350 West Well

R. Heyman
12/16/2015

POC JOB# 3085-097

FILE: 513085097\
PCI350.MXD

PCI #350

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos




PCI #360 Site



PCI #360 Power




PCI #360 Wet Well



PCI #360 Valve Chamber



PCI #370 Site



PCI #370 Power




PCI #370 Wet Well



PCI #370 Valve Chamber



PCI #430 Power



PCI #430 Site



PCI #430 Valve Chamber



PCI #430 Wet Well

R. Heyman 12/10/2015
POC-JOB# 3085-097
FILE: S:\3085\097\ PCI430.MXD

PCI #430


Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #432 Site




PCI #432 Power



PCI #432 West Well



PCI #432 Valve Chamber



PCI #437 Control Panel



PCI #437 Site



PCI #437 Chem Feed Storage



PCI #437 Power



PCI #437 Wet Well



PCI #437 Valve Chamber



PCI #442 Site



PCI #442 Power



PCI #442 Wet Well



PCI #442 Valve Chamber

R. Heyman 12/16/2015
POC JOB# 3385-097
FILE: 53385097 PCI442.MXD



PCI #450 Wet Well



PCI #450 Power



PCI #450 Site



PCI #450 Valve Chamber

R. Heyman
12/10/2015

POC JOB# 3085-097
FILE: 5/3/05/097/
PCI450.MXD

PCI #450 495

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos



PCI #510 Site



PCI #510 Power



PCI #510 Well



PCI #510 Valve Chamber

Portage/Catawba Pump Station
Inspection Photos

PCI #510

R. Heyman
12/10/2015
POC JOB# 2005-097
FILE: S:\2005\097\
PCI510.MXD

Ohio Public Works Commission
Five Year Capital Improvement Plan/Maintenance of Effort
REQUIRED

Submit to Commission/Update Annually

Ottawa County

123-00123

8/18/2020

Subdivision

Code

Date

Project Name/Description	Funding Codes(s)	Status (A) Active (P) Pending (C) Complete	Total Cost	Two Year Effort			Five Year Plan						
				Yr 2019	Yr 2020	Yr 2021	Yr 2022	Yr 2023	Yr 2024	Yr 2025			
				Funded			Planned						
Phase I, Erie Township Sanitary Sewer Project	OPWC,ACE,EPA	C	\$1,272,571	\$1,272,571									
Phase I, PCI WWTP & Collection System Improvement	EPA, Local	C	\$2,411,112	\$2,411,112									
OCRW - Distribution Tower Repairs & Replacement	OPWC, Local	C	\$1,167,419	\$1,167,419									
OCRWTP - Clarifier Mechanism Re-coating	OPWC, Local	C	\$481,071	\$481,071									
State Road, Sanitary Sewer Emergency Subsidence	OPWC, Local	C	\$268,368	\$254,623	\$18,447								
Danbury WWTP & Collection System Improvements	Local	C	\$1,618,706	\$697,456	\$921,250								
OCRWTP - Rebuild Raw Water Pump #3	Local	C	\$22,681	\$22,681									
PCI - Moores Dock Rd Sanitary Sewer Replacement	OPWC, Local	A	\$390,037	\$37,767	\$325,000	\$27,270							
Danbury WWTS - Ph II Collection System Imp's	Local	P	\$1,615,575		\$300,000	\$1,300,000	\$15,575						
PCI - WWTS - Ph II Collection System Imp's	OPWC, WPCLF	P	\$484,111		\$50,000	\$430,000	\$4,111						
OCRWTP - Filter Bed Rehab & Media Replacement	OPWC	P	\$275,000		\$20,000	\$240,000	\$15,000						
RWTP & Interconnector Cathodic Protection	OPWC, Local	P	\$105,000				\$50,000	\$55,000					
Regional Water - Distribution Flow Monitoring	OPWC, Local	P	\$220,500					\$20,000	\$200,500				
Allen/Jerusalem Twp. Sanitary Sewer Extension	EPA, Local	P	\$19,784,988	\$24,000				\$1,250,000	\$15,510,988	\$3,000,000			