OHIO PUBLIC WORKS FOR YOU

State of Ohio

Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

RECEIVED SEP 6 RECD 9-6-19 2:40 P.M.

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

Applicant

Applicant: Margaretta Township — Subdivision Code: 043-47572

District Number: 5 — County: Erie — Date: 08/27/2019

Contact: Jim Neill — Phone: (419) 684-5549
(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions)

Email: jneill@margarettatwp.org — FAX: (419) 684-7083

Project

Project Name: Miller Road Resurfacing — Zip Code: 44824

Subdivision Type (Select one)

- [ ] 1. County
- [ ] 2. City
- [x] 3. Township
- [ ] 4. Village
- [ ] 5. Water (6119 Water District)

Project Type (Select single largest component by $)

- [x] 1. Road
- [ ] 2. Bridge/Culvert
- [ ] 3. Water Supply
- [ ] 4. Wastewater
- [ ] 5. Solid Waste
- [ ] 6. Stormwater

Funding Request Summary (Automatically populates from page 2)

Total Project Cost:	169,000 .00
1. Grant:	84,500 .00
2. Loan:	0 .00
3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement:	0 .00
Funding Requested:	84,500 .00

District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee)

Funding Type Requested (Select one)

- [ ] State Capital Improvement Program
- [ ] Local Transportation Improvement Program
- [ ] Revolving Loan Program
- [ ] Small Government Program

District SG Priority: _____

SCIP Loan - Rate: ____ % Term: ____ Yrs — Amount: _____ .00

RLP Loan - Rate: ____ % Term: ____ Yrs — Amount: _____ .00

Grant: — Amount: _____ .00

LTIP: — Amount: _____ .00

Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: — Amount: _____ .00

For OPWC Use Only

STATUS

Project Number: _____

_____

Release Date: _____

OPWC Approval: _____

Grant Amount: _____ .00

Loan Amount: _____ .00

Total Funding: _____ .00

Local Participation: _____ %

OPWC Participation: _____ %

Loan Type: [ ] SCIP [ ] RLP

Date Construction End: _____

Date Maturity: _____

Rate: _____ %

Term: _____ Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services

Preliminary Design:	500 .00		
Final Design:	1,250 .00		
Construction Administration:	3,250 .00		
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	5,000 .00	3 %
Right of Way:	b.)	0 .00	
Construction:	c.)	164,000 .00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)	0 .00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	0 .00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	0 .00	0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	169,000 .00	

1.2 Project Financial Resources

Local Resources

Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)	84,500 .00	
Local Revenues:	b.)	0 .00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.)	0 .00	
ODOT / FHWA PID: _____	d.)	0 .00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)	0 .00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)	0 .00	
CDBG: ☐ County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" ☐ Department of Development	g.)	0 .00	
Other: _____	h.)	0 .00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	84,500 .00	50 %

OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)

Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	84,500 .00	
Loan: 0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	0 .00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	0 .00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	84,500 .00	50 %
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	169,000 .00	100 %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local resources required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	169,000 .00	100 %	A Farmland Preservation letter is required for any impact to farmland
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	0 .00	0 %	
2.3 Total Project:	169,000 .00	100 %	

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date: 08/01/2019	End Date: 05/31/2020
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date: 06/01/2020	End Date: 07/20/2020
3.3 Construction	Begin Date: 07/21/2020	End Date: 11/30/2020

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: 15 Years Age: 2002 (Year built or year of last major improvement)

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge: Current ADT 1,278 Year 2019 Projected ADT 1,350 Year 2025

Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.

Residential Water Rate Current $_____ Proposed $_____

Number of households served: _____

Residential Wastewater Rate Current $_____ Proposed $_____

Number of households served: _____

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

Miller Rd., 26 A & B-1, beginning at the intersection Old Railroad Rd. and continuing west to Maple Avenue.

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

This project consists of repairing the areas needed before applying a one inch course of intermediate asphalt followed by another one inch course of surface asphalt. A one foot stone shoulder will then be placed to grade.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

The total length consists of 6,504 foot in length and 19 foot wide totaling 13,731 square yards. The final dimensions will remain the same.

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer

(Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: Timothy Riesterer
Title: Margaretta Township Trustee
Address: 3320 Maple Ave.
City: Castalia State: OH Zip: 44824
Phone: (419) 684-5960
FAX:
E-Mail: triesterer@margarettatwp.org

5.2 Chief Financial Officer

(Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: Robert Day
Title: Margaretta Township Fiscal Officer
Address: 6715 Susan Dr.
City: Castalia State: OH Zip: 44824
Phone: (419) 684-9500
FAX:
E-Mail: bobday@margarettatwp.org

5.3 Project Manager

Name: Jim Neill
Title: Margaretta Township Supervisor
Address: 5300 Wahl Road
City: Vickery State: OH Zip: 43464
Phone: (419) 684-5549
FAX: (419) 684-7083
E-Mail: jneill@margarettatwp.org

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

- [x] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.

- [x] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.

- [x] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.

- [ ] A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.

- [ ] Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.

- [ ] Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.

- [x] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Timothy E. Riesterer, Margaretta Twp. Trustee

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Sept 5, 2019

Original Signature / Date Signed

Resolution No. 2019- 07

AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING TIMOTHY E. RIESTERER TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND / OR LOAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, Margaretta Township is planning to make capital improvements to the Margaretta Township Resurfacing Project, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by MARGARETTA TOWNSHIP:

Section 1: The TIMOTHY E. RIESTERER is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: *The TIMOTHY E. RIESTERER* is authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: September 5, 2019

TIMOTHY RIESTERER

A. JOE BIAS

GARY POOCH

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL FUNDS / LOAN REPAYMENT LETTER

September 5, 2019

I, Robert Day, Fiscal Officer Margaretta Township, hereby certify that Margaretta Township has the amount of $84,500.00 in the Road & Bridge Fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the Miller Rd. Repair & Resurfacing Project when it is required.

Robert Day
Margaretta Township Fiscal Officer

ERIE COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST
MILLER ROAD RESURFACING
MAPLE AVE. TO OLD RAILROAD ROAD
MARGARETTA TOWNSHIP

REF.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	EST. UNIT COST	TOTAL AMOUNT
1	103.05	PREMIUM FOR CONTRACT PERFORMANCE BOND AND MAINTENANCE BOND	L.S.	1.0	$1,500.00	$ 1,500.00
2	254	PAVEMENT PLANING, ASPHALT CONCRETE	S.Y.	1275.0	$10.00	$ 12,750.00
3	407	TACK COAT(0.08 GAL./SY)	GAL.	1225.0	$2.50	$ 3,062.50
4	407	TACK COAT FOR INTERMEDIATE COURSE(0.05 GAL/SY)	GAL.	765.0	$2.50	$ 1,912.50
5	411	STABILIZED CRUSHED AGGREGATE	C.Y.	145.0	$75.00	$ 10,875.00
6	448	ASPHALT CONCRETE INTER. COURSE, TYPE 1, PG 64-22	C.Y.	435.0	$148.00	$ 64,380.00
7	448	ASPHALT CONCRETE SURF. COURSE, PG 64-22	C.Y.	435.0	$148.00	$ 64,380.00
10	604	MONUMENT BOX ADJUSTED TO GRADE	EACH	1.0	$500.00	$ 500.00
8	614	MAINTAINING TRAFFIC	L.S.	1.0	$3,836.00	$ 3,836.00
9	617	SHOULDER PREPARATION	S.Y.	2010.0	$0.40	$ 804.00

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF CONSTRUCTION COST **$ 164,000.00**

PRELIMINARY DESIGN	**$ 500.00**
FINAL DESIGN	**$ 1,250.00**
CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	**$ 3,250.00**
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST	**$ 169,000.00**

The estimated useful life of the Miller Road Resurfacing project is 10 years.

Certified by: _____

Date: AUG. 30, 2019



Small Government Commission
Engineer's Plan Status Certification
Required for Criterion No. 11, Part I

Applicant: Margaretta Township

District No.: 5

Project Name: Miller Road Resurfacing

	Item	Necessary for project?	Status	Completion Date
Met Completion dates for Items A – C (2 points)				
A	Surveying	Y ☐ N/A ☑		
B	R/W Acquisition Identified	Y ☐ N/A ☑		
C	Preliminary Design	Y ☑ N/A ☐	COMPLETED	8/30/19
Met Completion dates for Items A – H (5 points)				
D	Final Construction Plans	Y ☐ N/A ☐	ALL MEASUREMENTS HAVE BEEN DONE IN FIELD. NEED TO CALCULATE FINAL QTIES LOCATION SHEET, & MORE TYPICAL	PRIOR TO 7/1/20
E	Permit to Install Issued	Y ☐ N/A ☐	SECTION FROM PRIOR PLANS	
F	NPDES Issued	Y ☐ N/A ☐		
G	Other Permits Issued	Y ☐ N/A ☐		
H	Executed Right of Way Option or Agreement	Y ☐ N/A ☐		

I hereby certify that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

TIM LLOYD
Engineer's Printed Name

Engineer's Signature

9/4/19
Date



Engineer's Stamp/Seal

Margaretta Township Road Department
407 Bardwell Rd., P.O. Box 278
Castalia, OH 44824
Phone: 419-684-5549
Fax: 419-684-7083

MARGARETTA TOWNSHIP CERTIFICATION FOR AGE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

Please accept this letter as certification that the last major improvement on Miller Road consisted of wedge coat repairs followed by a seal coat in 2002.

James K. Neill September 6, 2019

James K. Neill, Former Margaretta Twp. Trustee and Current Road Supervisor/date

MARGARETTA TOWNSHIP, ERIE COUNTY

Resources Available for Appropriation

System Year 2019

2/5/2019 11:46:31 AM
UAN v2019.1

Fund	Name	Fund Balance 12/31	Reserved for Encumbrance 12/31	Reserved for Non-Spendable Balance 12/31	Reserve Balance (5705.13 (A)(1) & 5705.132) 12/31	Prior Year Advances Not Repaid 12/31	Estimated Revenue	New Reserve Balance (5705.13(A)(1) & 5705.132)	Total Estimated Resources
1000	General	$644,981.84	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$316,372.00	$0.00	$961,353.84
2011	Motor Vehicle License Tax	$17,058.04	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$18,860.00	$0.00	$35,918.04
2021	Gasoline Tax	$46,476.83	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$91,000.00	$0.00	$137,476.83
2031	Road and Bridge	$121,132.81	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$286,807.00	$0.00	$407,939.81
2041	Cemetery	$88,843.79	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$102,018.00	$0.00	$190,861.79
2111	Fire District	$25,857.12	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$984,498.00	$0.00	$1,010,355.12
2171	MARGARETTA TOWNSHIP	$34,495.42	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$29,089.00	$0.00	$63,584.42
2231	Permissive Motor Vehicle Lic	$49,665.33	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$42,150.00	$0.00	$91,815.33
2281	Ambulance And Emergency M	$185,947.22	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$303,000.00	$0.00	$488,947.22
2401	LIGHTING ASSESMENT FUI	$1,033.50	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$100.00	$0.00	$1,133.50
2902	MAUSOLEUM FUND	$16.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$100.00	$0.00	$116.00
2903	FEMA	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$45,000.00	$0.00	$45,000.00
4401	RESURFACE PHASE II	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$200,000.00	$0.00	$200,000.00
		$1,215,507.90	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$2,418,994.00	$0.00	$3,634,501.90

Not for county auditor budget submission. Instead use the Year End Balance Certificates in Budget > Transactions.

MARGARETTA TOWNSHIP

TAX YEAR: 2017 COLLECTION YEAR: 2018

VALUATIONS: January 1, 2017

Agricultural	Mineral	Industrial	Commercial	Residential	Public Utility Real	Public Utility Personal	Tangible Personal Property	Total Agr / Res	Total Other	Total Personal	Grand Total Valuation	
16,485,350	0	3,762,330	9,603,590	73,693,340	240,370	6,524,290	0	90,178,690	13,606,290	6,524,290	110,309,270	Township
121,290	0	0	2,008,600	12,450,900	0	921,180	0	12,572,190	2,008,600	921,180	15,501,970	Castalia Village
16,606,640	0	3,762,330	11,612,190	86,144,240	240,370	7,445,470	0	102,750,880	15,614,890	7,445,470	125,811,240	
						489,410	40,500					

Authorized Tax Rate	Res / Ag Effective Tax Rate	Other Effective Tax Rate	DESCRIPTION	Type	Levy Year	Date Of Vote	Tax Year Begins	Number Of Years	Tax Year Expires
General:									
1.00	1.000000	1.000000	General Fund (Inside)						
Special Revenue:									
Fire									
2.00	1.909666	1.682340	Fire Apparatus	Replacement	2015	11/03/2015	2015	5	2019
2.00	1.909666	1.680768	Fire Protection	Renewal	2007	05/02/2017	2017	5	2021
2.95	2.121592	2.479132	Fire & EMS	Renewal	1999	11/05/2013	2014	5	2018
Road & Bridge (Except Castalia Village)									
1.00	1.000000	1.000000	Road & Bridge (Inside)						
0.50	0.474649	0.412555	Road & Bridge	Replacement	2015	11/03/2015	2015	5	2019
1.00	0.949298	0.824216	Road & Bridge	Additional	2013	05/07/2013	2013	5	2017
Recreation									
0.25	0.153345	0.210096	Recreation	Renewal	1995	11/04/2014	2015	5	2019
Cemetery									
0.50	0.477416	0.420192		Additional	2014	05/06/2014	2014	5	2018
11.20	9.995632	9.709299	GRAND TOTAL						

Authorized Tax Rate	Res / Ag Effective Tax Rate	Other Effective Tax Rate	DESCRIPTION
General:			
1.00	1.00	1.00	General Fund (Inside)
Special Revenue:			
Fire			
2.00	1.909666	1.682340	Fire Apparatus
2.00	1.909666	1.680768	Fire Protection
2.95	2.121592	2.479132	Fire
Road & Bridge (Except Castalia Village)			
1.00	1.000000	1.000000	Road & Bridge (Inside)
0.50	0.474649	0.412555	Road & Bridge
1.00	0.949298	0.824216	Road and Bridge
Recreation			
0.25	0.153345	0.210096	Recreation
Cemetery			
0.50	0.477416	0.420192	
11.20	9.995632	9.709299	GRAND TOTAL

ESTIMATED COLLECTION

Total Agr / Res	Total Other	Total Personal	Total Taxes	Total Pers. Prop. Reimb.	TOTAL	
102,751	15,615	7,445	125,811	0	125,811	
102,751	15,615	7,445	125,811	0	125,811	
196,220	26,270	14,891	237,380	0	237,380	
196,220	26,245	14,891	237,356	0	237,356	
217,995	38,711	21,964	278,671	0	278,671	
610,435	91,226	51,746	753,407	0	753,407	
90,179	13,606	6,524	110,309	0	110,309	
42,803	5,613	3,262	51,679	0	51,679	
85,606	11,215	6,524	103,345	0	103,345	
218,588	30,434	16,311	265,333	0	265,333	
15,756	3,281	1,861	20,898	0	20,898	
49,055	6,561	3,723	59,339	0	59,339	
996,586	147,117	81,086	1,224,789	0	1,224,789	
					78,163	2018 TPP Reimbursement
					1,302,952	

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study
Computer Generated Summary Report
City: MARGARETTA TWP
Street: MILLER (BARDSHAR - OLD RAILROAD)

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 137033. The study was done in the EB & WB lane at MILLER (BARDSHAR - OLD RAILROAD) in MARGARETTA TWP, OH in ERIE county. The study began on 07/24/2019 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 07/25/2019 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1,278 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 55 on 07/25/2019 at [07:45 AM-08:00 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 07/25/2019 at [01:00 AM-01:15 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 1,278.

SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 35 - 40 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classified vehicles was 39 MPH with 0.94% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 55 MPH. 0.94% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH. The mode speed for this traffic study was 35MPH and the 85th percentile was 44.50 MPH.

< to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 to 69	70 to 74	75 to >
0	1	3	14	52	237	466	342	132	13	5	1	3	2	1

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 981 which represents 77 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans & Pickups in the study was 264 which represents 21 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses & Trucks in the study was 22 which represents 2 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 5 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 17	18 to 20	21 to 23	24 to 27	28 to 31	32 to 37	38 to 43	44 to >							
981	201	63	12	6	5	3	1							

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 07/25/2019 at [07:45 AM-08:00 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 16.071 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 07/25/2019 at [01:00 AM-01:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 68.00 and 120.00 degrees F.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study
Computer Generated Summary Report
City: MARGARETTA TWP
Street: MILLER (MAPLE - BARDSHAR)

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 134562. The study was done in the EB & WB lane at MILLER (MAPLE - BARDSHAR) in MARGARETTA TWP, OH in ERIE county. The study began on 07/24/2019 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 07/25/2019 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1,265 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 34 on 07/24/2019 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 07/25/2019 at [12:45 AM-01:00 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 1,265.

SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 45 - 50 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classified vehicles was 49 MPH with 20.03% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 55 MPH. 20.03% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH. The mode speed for this traffic study was 45MPH and the 85th percentile was 57.12 MPH.

< to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 to 69	70 to 74	75 to >
0	0	2	3	4	30	97	217	334	307	146	67	22	6	8

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 767 which represents 62 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans & Pickups in the study was 413 which represents 33 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses & Trucks in the study was 51 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 12 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 17	18 to 20	21 to 23	24 to 27	28 to 31	32 to 37	38 to 43	44 to >							
767	313	100	38	10	8	6	1							

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 07/24/2019 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 25.714 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 07/25/2019 at [12:45 AM-01:00 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 66.00 and 118.00 degrees F.

Vehicle Registration Permissive Tax FAQs
For County, Municipality and Township Officials
Revised February 8, 2018

What is "vehicle registration permissive tax?"
"Vehicle registration permissive tax "(hereinafter referred to as "permissive tax") is an optional tax that can be levied by counties, municipalities, and/or townships on vehicle registrations. It is defined in Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Chapter 4504: Local Motor Vehicle License Tax. There are nine $5.00 levies that are available to be enacted, but only five can be in effect at any one time in any single taxing district.
Permissive tax revenue is to be used by the counties and taxing districts per ORC Chapter 4504, which includes planning, constructing, improving, maintaining and repairing public roads, highways, streets, and for the maintaining and repair of bridges and viaducts.

What is a "taxing district?"
A taxing district is either a municipality (incorporated village or city) or a township.

How is a levy enacted?
Counties, municipalities and townships pass a resolution/ordinance per ORC. Once the resolution/ordinance has been passed, a copy is sent to the Ohio Bureau of Motor Vehicles, Tax Distribution Section by July 1 to be effective the following registration year (ORC section 4504.08).
For a sample resolution/ordinance, or for additional information, please contact the Tax Distribution Section (see below).

How do we find out if our taxing district has already enacted all levies allowed?
The 2018 Taxing District Code Book is published on the BMV website at the following site: http://www.publicsafety.ohio.gov/links/Taxing-District-Code-Book.pdf. This publication lists all the taxing districts within each county and the current permissive tax rates. The current maximum permissive tax for registration year 2018 in any single taxing district is $20.00 per registration per registration year. If $20.00 is the listed rate for a taxing district, no new permissive tax levies can be enacted for that taxing district.

PLEASE NOTE: Beginning with the 2019 registration year, the maximum permissive tax in any single taxing district will be $25.00 per registration per registration year. If $25.00 is the listed rate for a taxing district in the 2019 Taxing District Code Book, no new county, municipality or township permissive tax levies can be enacted for that taxing district.

Please contact the Tax Distribution Section for additional information.

Enacting Permissive Tax
Counties have the option of enacting levies under ORC sections 4504.02, 4504.15, 4504.16, and, beginning with the 2019 registration year, 4504.24. Enacting the first three levies is contingent on the respective municipal levies of 4504.06, 4504.17 and 4504.171. For example, if City X in County Y has already enacted 4504.06,

Ohio BMV website

then County Y now levying 4504.02 will not be able to collect permissive tax from vehicle registrations of the residents of City X under 4504.02. ORC section 4504.24 is not contingent on any other levy, so a county can pass a resolution at any time to enact this.

Municipalities have the option of enacting levies under ORC sections 4504.06, 4504.17, 4504.171 and 4504.172. Enacting 4504.06, 4504.17, and 4504.171 are contingent on the respective county levies 4504.02, 4504.15 and 4504.16. For example, if County X has enacted 4504.02, then City Y cannot enact 4504.06. ORC section 4504.172 is not contingent on any other levy, so a municipality can pass an ordinance at any time to enact this.

Townships have the option of enacting a levy under ORC section 4504.18. ORC 4504.18 is not contingent on any other levy, so a township can pass a resolution at any time to enact this.

How much of the permissive tax does the county/taxing district receive for each of the levies?

The percentage each county/taxing district receives in permissive tax depends on which levies are enacted in that county/taxing district. Please refer to the following charts:

County Levies

ORC Section	Amount	Distribution Rules
4504.02	$ 5.00	**100% of the revenue collected is distributed to the county (Municipalities can request from the county a portion of this revenue, per ORC Section 4504.05)** (Counties have the authority to enact this tax at any time, however cannot collect revenue from municipalities already enacting 4504.06)
4504.15	$ 5.00	**If a municipal registration: 50% to the municipality, 50% to the county If a township registration: 30% to the township, 70% to the county.** (Counties have the authority to enact this tax at any time, however cannot collect revenue from municipalities already enacting 4504.17)
4504.16*	$ 5.00	**If a municipal registration: 100% is distributed to the county If a township registration: 30% to the township, 70% to the county. (*Effective January 2002, 4504.051 allows an increase or decrease in the allocation to townships by passage of a resolution and county approval.)** (Counties have the authority to enact this tax at any time, however cannot collect revenue from municipalities already enacting 4504.171)
4504.24	$5.00	**100% of the revenue collected is distributed to the county** (Counties have the authority to enact this tax at any time)

(5x30¢) $1.50

$1.50 (5x30¢

100%

Municipal Levies

ORC Section	Amount	Distribution Rules
4504.06	$ 5.00	**100% to the municipality** (Municipalities cannot enact this tax if the County is already enacting 4504.02)
4504.17	$ 5.00	**100% to the municipality** (Municipalities cannot enact this tax if the County is already enacting 4504.15)
4504.171	$ 5.00	**100% to the municipality** (Municipalities cannot enact this tax if the County is already enacting 4504.16)
4504.172	$ 5.00	**100% to the municipality** (Municipalities have the authority to enact this tax at any time)

Township Levy

ORC Section	Amount	Distribution Rules
4504.18	$ 5.00	**100% to the Township** (Townships have the authority to enact this tax at any time)

How do I contact the Tax Distribution Section?

The Tax Distribution Section office hours are 7:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday; we are closed on state holidays.

Leora Knight
Chief
Phone: 614.752.7685
Fax: 614.752.7043
Email: lknight@dps.state.oh.us

Stephanie Cook
Assistant Chief
Phone: 614.752.7688
Fax: 614.752.7043
email: scook@dps.state.oh.us

Tax Distribution
Ohio Bureau of Motor Vehicles
1970 W. Broad St.
Columbus, OH 43223

Median Household Income (MHI) & Population

The Small Government Administrator will use data below to score criteria 1.B., 5, and 10. Information is listed by county with municipalities first followed by townships. If a municipality is situated in two counties, there will be a listing in both counties. The source for municipalities and whole townships MHI is the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. The MHI figures shown for the unincorporated areas of townships (denoted as "Remainder of") were estimated since such data are no longer available through ACS. The population figures are from the 2010 Census. This information has been provided by the Ohio Development Services Agency, Office of Research, for the exclusive use of the Ohio Small Government Commission.

County	Municipality	2017 Median Household Income	2010 Population
	Ohio	**$52,407**	
Adams	Manchester village	$27,031	2,023
Adams	Peebles village	$25,893	1,782
Adams	Rome village	N/A	94
Adams	Seaman village	$31,983	944
Adams	West Union village	$20,183	3,241
Adams	Winchester village	$34,643	1,051
Adams	Bratton township	$42,266	1,461
Adams	Brush Creek township	$33,108	1,236
Adams	Franklin township	$30,987	1,110
Adams	Green township (Remainder of)	$38,151	557
Adams	Jefferson township	$30,147	1,046
Adams	Liberty township (Remainder of)	$48,657	1,965
Adams	Manchester township (Remainder of)	$0	32
Adams	Meigs township (Remainder of)	$39,289	2,123
Adams	Monroe township	$27,375	686
Adams	Oliver township	$31,484	1,319
Adams	Scott township (Remainder of)	$46,794	1,245
Adams	Sprigg township (Remainder of)	$48,750	1,864
Adams	Tiffin township (Remainder of)	$48,819	2,319
Adams	Wayne township (Remainder of)	$67,289	1,140
Adams	Winchester township (Remainder of)	$80,859	1,157
Allen	Beaverdam village	$48,571	382
Allen	Bluffton village	$66,435	4,125
Allen	Cairo village	$49,886	524
Allen	Delphos city	$45,962	7,101
Allen	Elida village	$71,917	1,905
Allen	Harrod village	$46,250	417
Allen	Lafayette village	$43,750	445

Delaware	Ostrander village	$83,068	643
Delaware	Powell city	$139,851	11,500
Delaware	Shawnee Hills village	$115,714	681
Delaware	Sunbury village	$72,888	4,389
Delaware	Westerville city	$86,466	36,120
Delaware	Berkshire township (Remainder of)	$117,585	2,428
Delaware	Berlin township (Remainder of)	$126,181	6,496
Delaware	Brown township (Remainder of)	$86,006	1,416
Delaware	Concord township (Remainder of)	$136,426	9,294
Delaware	Delaware township (Remainder of)	$76,603	1,964
Delaware	Genoa township (Remainder of)	$127,284	23,090
Delaware	Harlem township	$81,151	3,953
Delaware	Kingston township	$100,324	2,156
Delaware	Liberty township (Remainder of)	$131,064	14,581
Delaware	Marlboro township	$79,063	281
Delaware	Orange township (Remainder of)	$120,454	23,762
Delaware	Oxford township	$51,188	987
Delaware	Porter township	$91,447	1,923
Delaware	Radnor township	$88,152	1,540
Delaware	Scioto township (Remainder of)	$79,830	2,350
Delaware	Thompson township	$64,000	684
Delaware	Trenton township	$86,447	2,190
Delaware	Troy township (Remainder of)	$82,403	2,115
Erie	Bay View village	$55,357	632
Erie	Bellevue city	$51,875	8,202
Erie	Berlin Heights village	$51,719	714
Erie	Castalia village	$66,146	852
Erie	Huron city	$53,555	7,149
Erie	Kelleys Island village	$63,000	312
Erie	Milan village	$65,833	1,367
Erie	Sandusky city	$36,117	25,793
Erie	Vermilion city	$54,730	10,594
Erie	Berlin township (Remainder of)	$80,497	3,009
Erie	Florence township	$67,300	2,448
Erie	Groton township	$70,959	1,427
Erie	Huron township (Remainder of)	$79,225	3,548
Erie	Margaretta township (Remainder of)	$58,792	4,497
Erie	Milan township (Remainder of)	$85,062	2,602
Erie	Oxford township	$80,375	1,201
Erie	Perkins township	$61,293	12,202
Erie	Vermilion township	$56,699	4,945
Fairfield	Amanda village	$54,188	737
Fairfield	Baltimore village	$42,386	2,966
Fairfield	Bremen village	$53,145	1,425
Fairfield	Buckeye Lake village	$41,397	2,746
Fairfield	Canal Winchester city	$83,304	7,101
Fairfield	Carroll village	$62,708	524

	County	Per capita income	Median household income	Median family income	Population	Number of households
1	Delaware	$40,682	$87,908	$101,698	174,214	62,760
2	Geauga	$32,735	$65,663	$76,780	93,389	34,264
3	Warren	$31,935	$71,274	$82,090	212,693	76,424
4	Medina	$29,986	$66,193	$76,699	172,332	65,143
5	Hamilton	$28,799	$48,234	$64,683	802,374	333,945
6	Greene	$28,328	$56,679	$70,817	161,573	62,770
7	Lake	$28,221	$54,896	$67,206	230,041	94,156
8	Clermont	$27,900	$58,472	$68,485	197,363	74,828
9	Ottawa	$27,809	$53,463	$64,258	41,428	17,503
10	Union	$27,389	$68,452	$78,254	52,300	18,065
	United States	$27,334	$51,914	$62,982	308,745,538	116,716,292
11	Franklin	$26,909	$49,087	$62,372	1,163,414	477,235
12	Summit	$26,676	$47,926	$62,271	541,781	222,781
13	Wood	$26,671	$53,298	$69,768	125,488	49,043
14	Cuyahoga	$26,263	$43,603	$58,064	1,280,122	545,056
15	Fairfield	$26,130	$56,796	$65,835	146,156	54,310
16	Butler	$25,892	$54,788	$68,539	368,130	135,960
17	Licking	$25,534	$53,291	$64,386	166,492	63,989
18	Auglaize	$25,290	$52,018	$60,318	45,949	17,972
19	Erie	$25,290	$46,593	$61,247	77,079	31,860
20	Hancock	$25,158	$49,070	$59,600	74,782	30,197
	Ohio	$25,113	$47,358	$59,680	11,536,504	4,603,435
21	Portage	$25,097	$50,447	$65,306	161,419	62,222
22	Miami	$25,006	$51,507	$61,190	102,506	40,917
23	Lorain	$25,002	$52,066	$62,082	301,356	116,274
24	Montgomery	$24,828	$43,965	$56,559	535,153	223,943
25	Putnam	$24,023	$56,573	$65,882	34,499	12,872
26	Stark	$24,015	$44,941	$55,976	375,586	151,089
27	Lucas	$23,981	$42,072	$54,855	441,815	180,267
28	Madison	$23,980	$50,533	$63,397	43,435	14,734
29	Champaign	$23,438	$49,246	$58,433	40,097	15,329
30	Preble	$23,290	$49,780	$57,711	42,270	16,341

2018 Ohio County Population Estimates

Ohio

	Estimate 7/1/2018	Estimate 7/1/2017	Census 4/1/2010	Net Change '17 to '18	Percent Change '17 to '18	Net Change '10 to '18	Annualized Percent Change '10 to '18
Ohio	**11,689,442**	11,664,129	11,536,504	25,313	0.22%	152,938	0.16%
Adams	**27,724**	27,805	28,550	-81	-0.29%	-826	-0.36%
Allen	**102,663**	103,069	106,331	-406	-0.39%	-3,668	-0.42%
Ashland	**53,745**	53,685	53,139	60	0.11%	606	0.14%
Ashtabula	**97,493**	97,692	101,497	-199	-0.20%	-4,004	-0.49%
Athens	**65,818**	66,664	64,757	-846	-1.27%	1,061	0.20%
Auglaize	**45,804**	45,791	45,949	13	0.03%	-145	-0.04%
Belmont	**67,505**	67,956	70,400	-451	-0.66%	-2,895	-0.51%
Brown	**43,602**	43,530	44,846	72	0.17%	-1,244	-0.34%
Butler	**382,378**	380,843	368,130	1,535	0.40%	14,248	0.46%
Carroll	**27,081**	27,341	28,836	-260	-0.95%	-1,755	-0.76%
Champaign	**38,754**	38,824	40,097	-70	-0.18%	-1,343	-0.41%
Clark	**134,585**	134,649	138,333	-64	-0.05%	-3,748	-0.33%
Clermont	**205,466**	204,171	197,363	1,295	0.63%	8,103	0.49%
Clinton	**42,057**	41,966	42,040	91	0.22%	17	0.00%
Columbiana	**102,665**	103,149	107,841	-484	-0.47%	-5,176	-0.59%
Coshocton	**36,629**	36,516	36,901	113	0.31%	-272	-0.09%
Crawford	**41,550**	41,741	43,784	-191	-0.46%	-2,234	-0.63%
Cuyahoga	**1,243,857**	1,248,371	1,280,122	-4,514	-0.36%	-36,265	-0.35%
Darke	**51,323**	51,577	52,959	-254	-0.49%	-1,636	-0.38%
Defiance	**38,165**	38,224	39,037	-59	-0.15%	-872	-0.27%
Delaware	**204,826**	200,542	174,214	4,284	2.14%	30,612	1.98%
Erie	**74,615**	74,857	77,079	-242	-0.32%	-2,464	-0.39%
Fairfield	**155,782**	154,557	146,156	1,225	0.79%	9,626	0.78%
Fayette	**28,666**	28,655	29,030	11	0.04%	-364	-0.15%
Franklin	**1,310,300**	1,295,706	1,163,414	14,594	1.13%	146,886	1.45%
Fulton	**42,276**	42,265	42,698	11	0.03%	-422	-0.12%
Gallia	**29,979**	30,143	30,934	-164	-0.54%	-955	-0.38%
Geauga	**94,031**	93,946	93,389	85	0.09%	642	0.08%
Greene	**167,995**	166,779	161,573	1,216	0.73%	6,422	0.47%
Guernsey	**39,022**	39,071	40,087	-49	-0.13%	-1,065	-0.33%
Hamilton	**816,684**	814,671	802,374	2,013	0.25%	14,310	0.21%
Hancock	**75,930**	75,869	74,782	61	0.08%	1,148	0.18%
Hardin	**31,480**	31,406	32,058	74	0.24%	-578	-0.22%
Harrison	**15,174**	15,210	15,864	-36	-0.24%	-690	-0.54%
Henry	**27,086**	27,163	28,215	-77	-0.28%	-1,129	-0.49%
Highland	**43,058**	42,933	43,589	125	0.29%	-531	-0.15%
Hocking	**28,385**	28,457	29,380	-72	-0.25%	-995	-0.42%
Holmes	**43,892**	43,887	42,366	5	0.01%	1,526	0.43%
Huron	**58,504**	58,462	59,626	42	0.07%	-1,122	-0.23%
Jackson	**32,384**	32,385	33,225	-1	0.00%	-841	-0.31%
Jefferson	**65,767**	66,366	69,709	-599	-0.90%	-3,942	-0.70%
Knox	**61,893**	61,303	60,921	590	0.96%	972	0.19%
Lake	**230,514**	230,370	230,041	144	0.06%	473	0.02%
Lawrence	**59,866**	60,111	62,450	-245	-0.41%	-2,584	-0.51%

development.ohio.gov/files/research

Erie County
2018 Population Estimates For Cities, Villages, and Townships

Ohio

Name	Population Estimate 7/1/18	Population Estimate 7/1/17	Estimates Base 4/1/10 (a)	Average Annual Rate of Change From 2010 (b)
Erie County	**74,615**	**74,857**	**77,066**	**-0.4%**
Bay View village	605	606	632	-0.5%
Bellevue city (pt.)	2	2	2	0.0%
Also located in Huron County				
Also located in Sandusky County				
Berlin Heights village	716	712	714	0.0%
Castalia village	819	822	850	-0.4%
Huron city	6,893	6,927	7,148	-0.4%
Kelleys Island village	313	311	312	0.0%
Milan village (pt.)	983	980	1,004	-0.3%
Also located in Huron County				
Sandusky city	24,714	24,859	25,919	-0.6%
Vermilion city (pt.)	4,612	4,631	4,745	-0.3%
Also located in Lorain County				
Balance of Erie County	34,958	35,007	35,740	-0.3%
Bellevue city (pt.)	2	2	2	0.0%
Berlin township	3,664	3,661	3,721	-0.2%
Berlin Heights village	716	712	714	0.0%
Balance of Berlin township	2,948	2,949	3,007	-0.2%
Florence township	2,380	2,385	2,448	-0.3%
Groton township	1,371	1,379	1,422	-0.4%
Huron township	10,498	10,523	10,709	-0.2%
Huron city	6,893	6,927	7,148	-0.4%
Balance of Huron township	3,605	3,596	3,561	0.1%
Kelleys Island village	313	311	312	0.0%
Margaretta township	5,831	5,844	5,983	-0.3%
Bay View village	605	606	632	-0.5%
Castalia village	819	822	850	-0.4%
Balance of Margaretta township	4,407	4,416	4,501	-0.3%
Milan township	3,534	3,539	3,594	-0.2%
Milan village (pt.)	983	980	1,004	-0.3%
Balance of Milan township	2,551	2,559	2,590	-0.2%
Oxford township	1,185	1,185	1,205	-0.2%
Perkins township	11,728	11,753	12,077	-0.4%
Sandusky city	24,714	24,859	25,919	-0.6%
Vermilion city (pt.)	4,612	4,631	4,745	-0.3%
Vermilion township	4,783	4,785	4,929	-0.4%

n.a. - Could not calculate. Division by zero.

(a) Used for calculating average annual rate of change. (b) Average annual rate of change from April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2018.

Source: Population Estimates Division, U.S. Census Bureau. Prepared by: Office of Research, Ohio Development Services Agency.

development.ohio.gov/files/research

July 2019 Ranking of Ohio County Unemployment Rates

(Not Seasonally Adjusted)

Among the state's 88 counties, preliminary July 2019 unemployment rates ranged from a low of 2.9 percent in Mercer County to a high of 7.5 percent in Monroe County. From June, unemployment rates increased in 87 counties and decreased in one county. The comparable unemployment rate for Ohio was 4.6 percent in July. (See table on next page.)

Five counties had unemployment rates at or below 3.5 percent in July. The counties with the lowest rates, other than Mercer were: Wyandot, 3.2 percent; Auglaize, 3.3 percent; Putnam, 3.4 percent; and Holmes, 3.5 percent.

Seven counties had unemployment rates at or above 6.5 percent in July. The counties with the highest rates, other than Monroe were: Athens, 7.1 percent; Meigs, 7.0 percent; Adams, 6.8 percent; Scioto, 6.6 percent; and Huron and Trumbull, 6.5 percent.

EDITOR'S NOTE: These estimates, prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, are based on 2018 benchmark and geared to county of residence. Unemployment rates for all Ohio counties as well as cities with populations of 50,000 or more are presented in the monthly ODJFS ***Civilian Labor Force Estimates*** publication. For updated statewide historical data, visit http://ohiolmi.com/asp/laus/vbLaus.htm, or contact the Bureau of Labor Market Information at (614) 752-9494.

August 2019 unemployment rates and nonagricultural wage and salary data for Ohio will be released by ODJFS on Friday, September 20, 2019. Unemployment rates for counties, cities, and metropolitan areas will be available on Tuesday, September 24, 2019. This information and the monthly statistical summaries they are based on are available at http://ohiolmi.com/laus/releases.htm.

Ohio Unemployment Rates
July 2019
(Not Seasonally Adjusted)



July Unemployment Rates
(Not Seasonally Adjusted)

United States	4.0%
Ohio	4.6%

Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
Office of Workforce Development - Bureau of Labor Market Information

Mike DeWine, Governor

Kimberly Hall, Director

Ranking Report
Ohio Unemployment Rates by County
July 2019

Rank [a]	County	Unemployment Rate	Rank [a]	County	Unemployment Rate
1	Monroe County	7.5	45	Summit County	4.6
2	Athens County	7.1	46	Seneca County	4.5
3	Meigs County	7.0	47	Hocking County	4.5
4	Adams County	6.8	48	Marion County	4.4
5	Scioto County	6.6	49	Knox County	4.4
6	Huron County	6.5	50	Ashland County	4.4
7	Trumbull County	6.5	51	Paulding County	4.4
8	Jackson County	6.4	52	Fayette County	4.4
9	Coshocton County	6.2	53	Ottawa County	4.4
10	Pike County	6.2	54	Sandusky County	4.4
11	Noble County	6.2	55	Geauga County	4.4
12	Jefferson County	6.2	56	Medina County	4.4
13	Mahoning County	6.1	57	Butler County	4.4
14	Lawrence County	6.0	58	Tuscarawas County	4.3
15	Gallia County	5.8	59	Ross County	4.3
16	Morgan County	5.7	60	Greene County	4.3
17	Columbiana County	5.5	61	Champaign County	4.3
18	Lorain County	5.5	62	Fulton County	4.2
19	Vinton County	5.5	63	Hamilton County	4.2
20	Highland County	5.4	64	Wood County	4.2
21	Washington County	5.4	65	Morrow County	4.2
22	Crawford County	5.4	66	Pickaway County	4.1
23	Cuyahoga County	5.3	67	Clermont County	4.1
24	Hardin County	5.2	68	Licking County	4.1
25	Clinton County	5.2	69	Fairfield County	4.0
26	Erie County	5.1	70	Preble County	4.0
27	Harrison County	5.1	71	Darke County	4.0
28	Perry County	5.1	72	Logan County	4.0
29	Guernsey County	5.1	73	Miami County	4.0
30	Brown County	5.0	74	Warren County	3.9
31	Belmont County	5.0	75	Franklin County	3.9
32	Clark County	4.9	76	Shelby County	3.9
33	Muskingum County	4.9	77	Madison County	3.6
34	Lucas County	4.9	78	Williams County	3.6
35	Ashtabula County	4.8	79	Hancock County	3.6
36	Richland County	4.8	80	Delaware County	3.6
37	Defiance County	4.7	81	Van Wert County	3.6
38	Allen County	4.7	82	Union County	3.6
39	Montgomery County	4.7	83	Wayne County	3.6
40	Lake County	4.6	84	Holmes County	3.5
41	Carroll County	4.6	85	Putnam County	3.4
42	Portage County	4.6	86	Auglaize County	3.3
43	Stark County	4.6	87	Wyandot County	3.2
44	Henry County	4.6	88	Mercer County	2.9

These estimates, prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, are based on 2018 benchmark, geared to county of residence, and NOT seasonally adjusted.

[a]Rankings are based upon unrounded unemployment rates.

Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
Office of Workforce Development
Bureau of Labor Market Information



development.ohio.gov/files/research 14

Ohio

Poverty in Ohio by County

2012-2016 American Community Survey

Statewide Poverty
1,732,839
15.4%

Percentage County Population in Poverty

- 4.9% - 9.9%
- 10.0% - 15.4%
- 15.5% - 19.9%
- 20.0% - 31.2%
- Appalachian Ohio

This map shows the 2012-2016 American Community Survey estimates of the number and percentage of persons in poverty by county

Source:
2012-2016 American Community Survey,
U.S. Census Bureau

Prepared by:
Office of Research
Ohio Development Services Agency
January 2018

RDH7 18A

QuickFacts

Erie County, Ohio; United States

QuickFacts provides statistics for all states and counties, and for cities and towns with a ***population of 5,000 or more.***

Table

All Topics	Erie County, Ohio	United States
Population estimates, July 1, 2018, (V2018)	**74,615**	**327,167,434**
PEOPLE		
Population		
Population estimates, July 1, 2018, (V2018)	**74,615**	**327,167,434**
Population estimates base, April 1, 2010, (V2018)	77,066	308,758,105
Population, percent change - April 1, 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2018, (V2018)	-3.2%	6.0%
Population, Census, April 1, 2010	77,079	308,745,538
Age and Sex		
Persons under 5 years, percent	▲ 5.3%	▲ 6.1%
Persons under 18 years, percent	▲ 20.2%	▲ 22.4%
Persons 65 years and over, percent	▲ 21.9%	▲ 16.0%
Female persons, percent	▲ 51.2%	▲ 50.8%
Race and Hispanic Origin		
White alone, percent	▲ 86.8%	▲ 76.5%
Black or African American alone, percent (a)	▲ 8.8%	▲ 13.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone, percent (a)	▲ 0.3%	▲ 1.3%
Asian alone, percent (a)	▲ 0.8%	▲ 5.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, percent (a)	▲ 0.1%	▲ 0.2%
Two or More Races, percent	▲ 3.2%	▲ 2.7%
Hispanic or Latino, percent (b)	▲ 4.6%	▲ 18.3%
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino, percent	▲ 83.1%	▲ 60.4%
Population Characteristics		
Veterans, 2013-2017	6,430	18,939,219
Foreign born persons, percent, 2013-2017	2.2%	13.4%
Housing		
Housing units, July 1, 2018, (V2018)	37,908	138,537,078
Owner-occupied housing unit rate, 2013-2017	69.2%	63.8%
Median value of owner-occupied housing units, 2013-2017	$132,400	$193,500
Median selected monthly owner costs -with a mortgage, 2013-2017	$1,143	$1,515
Median selected monthly owner costs -without a mortgage, 2013-2017	$455	$474
Median gross rent, 2013-2017	$716	$982
Building permits, 2018	71	1,328,827
Families & Living Arrangements		
Households, 2013-2017	31,577	118,825,921
Persons per household, 2013-2017	2.34	2.63
Living in same house 1 year ago, percent of persons age 1 year+, 2013-2017	86.3%	85.4%
Language other than English spoken at home, percent of persons age 5 years+, 2013-2017	3.2%	21.3%
Computer and Internet Use		
Households with a computer, percent, 2013-2017	85.4%	87.2%
Households with a broadband Internet subscription, percent, 2013-2017	76.8%	78.1%
Education		
High school graduate or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2013-2017	91.6%	87.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2013-2017	22.4%	30.9%
Health		
With a disability, under age 65 years, percent, 2013-2017	9.5%	8.7%
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years, percent	▲ 6.3%	▲ 10.2%
Economy		
In civilian labor force, total, percent of population age 16 years+, 2013-2017	62.1%	63.0%
In civilian labor force, female, percent of population age 16 years+, 2013-2017	58.0%	58.2%
Total accommodation and food services sales, 2012 ($1,000) (c)	305,935	708,138,598
Total health care and social assistance receipts/revenue, 2012 ($1,000) (c)	489,781	2,040,441,203
Total manufacturers shipments, 2012 ($1,000) (c)	2,021,643	5,696,729,632
Total merchant wholesaler sales, 2012 ($1,000) (c)	1,145,523	5,208,023,478
Total retail sales, 2012 ($1,000) (c)	1,072,375	4,219,821,871
Total retail sales per capita, 2012 (c)	$14,037	$13,443

Transportation		
Mean travel time to work (minutes), workers age 16 years+, 2013-2017	21.0	26.4
Income & Poverty		
Median household income (in 2017 dollars), 2013-2017	$51,033	$57,652
Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2017 dollars), 2013-2017	$30,223	$31,177
Persons in poverty, percent	▲ 12.4%	▲ 12.3%

BUSINESSES

Businesses		
Total employer establishments, 2016	1,851	7,757,807
Total employment, 2016	31,553	126,752,236
Total annual payroll, 2016 ($1,000)	1,178,848	6,435,142,055
Total employment, percent change, 2015-2016	3.1%	2.1%
Total nonemployer establishments, 2017	4,927	25,701,671
All firms, 2012	5,583	27,626,360
Men-owned firms, 2012	3,346	14,844,597
Women-owned firms, 2012	1,616	9,878,397
Minority-owned firms, 2012	408	7,952,386
Nonminority-owned firms, 2012	4,866	18,987,918
Veteran-owned firms, 2012	531	2,521,682
Nonveteran-owned firms, 2012	4,580	24,070,685

GEOGRAPHY

Geography		
Population per square mile, 2010	308.4	87.4
Land area in square miles, 2010	251.56	3,531,905.43
FIPS Code	39043	00

About datasets used in this table

Value Notes

⚠ Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels due to methodology differences that may exist between different data sources.

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable. Click the Quick Info ⓘ icon to the left of each row in TABLE view to learn about sampling error.

The vintage year (e.g., V2018) refers to the final year of the series (2010 thru 2018). *Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable.*

Fact Notes

- **(a)** Includes persons reporting only one race
- **(b)** Hispanics may be of any race, so also are included in applicable race categories
- **(c)** Economic Census - Puerto Rico data are not comparable to U.S. Economic Census data

Value Flags

- **-** Either no or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest or upper interval of an open ended distribution.
- **D** Suppressed to avoid disclosure of confidential information
- **F** Fewer than 25 firms
- **FN** Footnote on this item in place of data
- **NA** Not available
- **S** Suppressed; does not meet publication standards
- **X** Not applicable
- **Z** Value greater than zero but less than half unit of measure shown

QuickFacts data are derived from: Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, Current Population Survey, Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, State and County Housing Unit Estimates, County Business Patterns, Nonemployer Statistics, Economic Census, Survey of Business Owners, Building Permits.



OTTA
Bay Bridge
BAY
Bay View
Crystal Rock
CRYSTAL ROCK RD.
McCARTNEY RD.
BRUNNER RD.
WAHL RD.
THICKET RD.
HEYWOOD RD.
HOMEGARDNER RD.
MARGARETTA
OXBO RD.
Castalia
COUNTY
NORTHWEST RD.
VICKERY RD.
ROGERS RD.
PARKER RD.
MASON RD.
BOGART
BARDWELL RD.
MILLER
BARDSHAR RD.
OLD RAILROAD
SKADDEN
Sand Hill
DEYO RD.
BILLINGS
MAPLE AVE.
Cold Creek
269
101
6

MARGARETTA
LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Mr. Dennis Mock, Superintendent
Mrs. Diane Keegan, Treasurer

Board of Education
Mr. Andrew Warner, President
Ms. Mandi Yetter, Vice President
Mr. Kent Miller
Mr. Paul Schoenegge
Mr. Brian Sutorius

Board of Education Office
305 S Washington Street
Castalia, OH 44824
419.684.5322
419.684-9003 fax

Margaretta High School
209 Lowell Street
Castalia, OH 44824
419.684.5351
419.684.5632 fax

Margaretta Elementary School
5906 W Bogart Road
Castalia, OH 44824
419.684.5357
419.684.6049 fax
419.684.5710 preschool

Visit Us Online:
www.margarettaschooldistrict.com
facebook.com/margarettaschools

September 4, 2019

Margaretta Township Trustees
114 Main Street
Castalia, Ohio 44824

To Whom It May Concern:

The Margaretta Local Schools wish to express our support of your efforts to obtain funds to make the improvements of the Miller Road resurfacing projects. Many of our buses travel these roads on a daily basis. The buses must travel at a reduced rate or risk adversely affecting our school-aged children. These roads need to be repaved to improve bus transportation for Margaretta students. The lack of proper surfacing creates standing water which may also cause a road hazard.

Due to the above reasons, please consider applying for Issue II funding for this improvement project.

Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,

Dennis Mock
Superintendent

Diane Keegan
Treasurer

Margaretta Township

DIVISION OF FIRE

113 South Avenue P.O. Box 567 Castalia, OH 44824
Phone (419) 684-5686
Fax (419) 684-5601
Thomas D. Johnson, Fire Chief

September 4, 2019

Mr. James Neill
Margaretta Township Road Department
316 East Lucas St.
Castalia, Ohio 44824

Jim,

The Margaretta Township Fire Department supports the need for a repair project proposed on Miller Road. The current condition of this roadway needs to be addressed and preserved as not to pose A hazard to both to our responding fire apparatus and the citizens that travel this roadway daily. The Condition of the paved roadway and the berm can cause our emergency vehicles to favor one side of The road shoulder and endangers crews while responding. Miller Road is a heavily traveled road going Over to Old Railroad to get to Sandusky. Any improvements to our roadways in any area will be a Positive step toward keeping our response capabilities better and improving the safety of all.

Respectfully,

Thomas D. Johnson
Fire Chief

Margaretta Township Road Department

Miller Rd. Resurfacing Project

114 Main St., Castalia, OH 44824

James Neill, Supervisor

419-684-5549

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS FOR YOU

Ohio Public Works Commission

Small Government Capital Improvements Program

PY 34 Methodology – Rating Scales

Approved July 18, 2019

Ohio Public Works Commission
65 East State Street, Suite 312
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614.466.0880
http://www.pwc.ohio.gov

Complete and compliant support documentation must be provided for a criterion to be awarded points. See Applicant Manual for more information.

1. Ability and Effort of the Applicant to Finance the Project (Maximum 10 points)

A. Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects Only – "Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Resources" showing fund detail, as provided in ORC sections 5705.35 and 5705.36 is used to determine potential financial resources available for the project. Score is based on the project's total cost as a percentage of financial resources.

0 Total project cost represents 0 to 20% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type

(2) Total project cost represents 21 to 40% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type

4 Total project cost represents 41 to 60% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type

6 Total project cost represents 61 to 80% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type

8 Total project cost represents 81 to 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type

10 Total project cost exceeds 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type, or subdivision is in fiscal emergency

B. Water and Wastewater Projects Only – Determined by SG Administrator according to the Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort calculation described in Applicants Manual. Information is obtained from both water and wastewater rate ordinances, Small Government Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort Supplemental, and data from the *U.S. Census Bureau's American Fact Finder* web application. Points are provided for the hours worked to pay for water and wastewater services according to the highest of two variances as a percentage above or below State Averages: weighted average of household income or percentage of households making less than $25,000.

0 More than 50% above state average
2 25.1% - 50% above state average
4 0 - 25% above state average
6 0.1% - 25% below state average
8 25.1% to 50% below state average
10 More than 50% below state average

2. Importance of Project to Health and Safety of Citizens – Score is assigned according to the application project description and any pertinent supplemental documentation. (Maximum 10 points)

A. Road, Bridge, Culvert

0 New infrastructure to meet future or projected needs

2 New infrastructure to meet current needs; Roadway surface paving less than 2 inches; Bridges with General Appraisal of 6 or above or with a Sufficiency Rating of 81-100

4 Roadway surface paving equal to or greater than 2 inches with/without milling; Replace or install signal where warranted; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 5 or Sufficiency Rating of 66-80; Culvert replacement with no associated damage

6 Road widening to add paved shoulders or for safe passage, and/or roadway paving with full-depth base repair equal to or greater than 5% of roadway surface area; Intersection improvement to add turn lanes or realignment; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 4 or Sufficiency Rating of 51-65; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity

8 Complete roadway full-depth reconstruction (includes removal/replacement of base) or reclamation with/without drainage; Widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvements to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor ($0.0 < CRF < 0.2$); Bridges with a General Appraisal of 3 or Sufficiency Rating of 26-50; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity and property damage (i.e. flooding)

10 Complete roadway reconstruction or reclamation with/without drainage with widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvement to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor ($CRF >= 0.2$); Bridges with General Appraisal of 2 or less, or Sufficiency Rating of less than 26; Culverts that are structurally deficient

B. Water, Wastewater, Storm Water, Solid Waste

0 Infrastructure to meet future or projected needs

2 Expanded infrastructure to meet specific development proposal

4 Infrastructure to meet current needs; Update processes to improve effluent or water quality; To remain in compliance with permit due to increased standards; Increase storm sewer capacity in which there is no associated land damage; Increase sanitary sewer capacity; Replace water meters as part of an upgrade

6 OEPA recommendations; District health board recommendations; Increase storm sewer capacity that has associated land damage; Replace undersized waterlines as part of upgrade; Install new meters or replace meters that have exceeded useful life

8 Replacement of storm or sanitary sewers due to chronic flooding, back-up, or property damage; Inflow and/or Infiltration; Inadequate capacity to maintain pressure required for fire flows; Replacement of waterlines or towers due to excessive corrosion

10 OEPA Findings & Orders, OEPA orders contained in permit, Consent Decree or Court Order; Structural separations (CSOs)Age and Condition of System to be repaired or replaced. This is a two-part criterion. (Maximum 10 points)

3. **Age & Condition of System to be repaired or replaced**

Part I – Age: This uses provided documentation for existing infrastructure. Documentation pertains to source documentation or from a compliant letter written by an eligible local official who can vouch for the time period during his/her term in office. If no documentation the default score is 1 point. (Maximum 5 points)

Life	20	30	50
Project Type / Points	Road	Wastewater	Bridge/Culvert. Sanitary Sewer, Water, Storm Water, Solid Waste
0	New / Expansion	New / Expansion	New / Expansion
1	2014-2019	2011-2019	2004-2019
2	2009-2013	2004-2010	1993-2003
3	2004-2008	1996-2003	1981-1992
(4)	1999-2003	1989-1995	1969-1980
5	1998 or before	1988 or before	1968 or before

Part II – Condition (Maximum 5 points)

1 New/Expansion: New or expansion project components represent at least 50% of improvements

2 Expansion: New or expansion project components represent between 25% and 49% of improvements

(3) Poor: Infrastructure requires repair to continue functioning as originally intended and/or upgrade to meet current design standards.

4 Critical: Infrastructure requires replacement to continue functioning as originally intended.

5 Failed: Not functioning

4. **Leveraging Ratio** – Local and all non-OPWC funding sources as a percentage of total funding. (Maximum 10 points)

	Repair/Replacement (Poor/Critical/Failed in Criterion 3)	New/Expansion (New/Expansion &/or Expansion in Criterion 3)
0	10 or less	50 or less
1	11-15	51-55
2	16-20	56-60
3	21-25	61-65
4	26-30	66-70
5	31-35	71-75
6	36-40	76-80
7	41-45	81-85
(8)	46-50	86-90
9	51-55	91-95
10	56 or more	96 or more

5. **Population Benefit** – Number of those to benefit directly from the improvement as a percentage of applicant's total population. (Maximum 5 points)

0	10% or less
1	25% - 11%
(2)	35% - 26%
3	45% - 36%
4	55% - 46%
5	56% or more

6. **District Priority Ranking as provided by District** (Maximum 10 points)

6	5th ranked district project
7	4th ranked district project
8	3rd ranked district project
9	2nd ranked district project
10	1st ranked district project

7. **Amount of OPWC funding requested** (Maximum 10 points)

0	$500,000 or more
5	$250,000 - $499,999
(10)	249,999 or less

8. **Loan Request as a percentage of OPWC assistance** (Maximum 10 points)

1	15 - 29% of OPWC assistance
5	30 - 49% of OPWC assistance
10	50 - 100% of OPWC assistance

9. **Useful Life of Project** – Taken from engineer's useful life statement. (Maximum 5 points)

1	7 - 9 years
(2)	10 - 14 years
3	15 - 19 years
4	20 - 24 years
5	25 years or more

10. **Median Household Income** – Applicant's MHI as a percentage of the statewide MHI. Information derived from the most recent 5-year American Community Survey as published by the Ohio Development Services Agency. (Maximum 10 points)

(2)	110% or more
4	100% - 109%
6	90% - 99%
8	80% – 89%
10	79% or less

11. Readiness to Proceed (Maximum 10 points)

Part I – Status of Plans – This uses the Small Government Commission's Engineer's Plan Status Certification. (Maximum 5 points)

0 Plans not yet begun

2 Surveying through Preliminary Design Completed (Items A-C)

(5) Surveying through final construction plans, and secured permits and right-of-way as appropriate (Items A-H)

Part II – Status of Funding Sources – This uses source documentation including CFO certifications and loan letters. (Maximum 5 points)

0 All funds not yet committed

3 Applications submitted to funding entities

(5) All funding committed

Small Government Self-Score

(Input Score in box for each criterion; will total automatically)

Applicant:

		SCORE
1	**Ability & Effort (Use A or B according to project type)**	
	A. Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects ONLY	
	0 2 4 6 8 10	2
	B. Water & Wastewater Projects ONLY	
	Calculated by Administrator	N/A
2	**Health & Safety (Use A or B according to project type)**	
	A. Road, Bridge, Culvert	
	0 2 4 6 8 10	4
	B. Water, Wastewater, Storm Water, Solid Waste	
	0 2 4 6 8 10	N/A
3	**Age & Condition**	
	I. Age	
	0 1 2 3 4 5	4
	II. Condition	
	1 2 3 4 5	3
4	**Leveraging Ratio**	
	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	8
5	**Population Benefit**	
	0 1 2 3 4 5	2
6	**District Priority Ranking** - Completed by Administrator	N/A
7	**OPWC Funds Requested**	
	0 5 10	10
8	**Loan Request** (Default 0 points if no loan requsted)	
	1 5 10	0
9	**Useful Life**	
	1 2 3 4 5	2
10	**Median Household Income**	
	2 4 6 8 10	2
11	**Readiness to Proceed**	
	I. Status of Plans	
	0 2 5	5
	II. Status of Funding	
	0 3 5	5
	TOTAL	**47**

DISTRICT 5
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
QUESTIONNAIRE
ROUND 34

Name of Applicant: Margaretta Township
Project Title: Miller Rd Resurfacing

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. **Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.**

1. What percentage of the project in repair A= 0 %, replacement B=100%, expansion C= ___%, and new D= ___%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B=100% C+D= ___%

Repair/Replacement =Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating:

Closed or Not Operating: The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

Critical: The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. **(For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum of 25% of the total Project Cost).**

Poor: The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.

Fair: The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards.

Good: The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

	originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.
Excellent:	The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

* **In order to receive points provide supporting documentation (e.g. photos, a narrative, maintenance history, or third party findings) to justifying the rating.**

3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*
Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*
Major:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Moderate:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Minimal:	Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
No Impact:	Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the LOWEST category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:	*Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.*
Minor Access Road:	*Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.*
Preventative Maintenance:	*Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.*

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. **(Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3" of additional pavement, ect...)**

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, **overlays with greater than 3" of additional pavement,** etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
Moderate:	66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.
Minimal:	81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.
No Impact:	Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical:	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
Major:	Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical:	Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Critical:	There is a present health and/or safety threat.
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.

NOTE: *Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.*

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical ___, Critical X, Major ___, Moderate ___, Minimal ___, No Impact ___. Explain your answer.

Meets application criteria. See attached photographs.

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.

A.) Amount of Local Funds = $ 84,500.

B.) Total Project Cost = $ 169,000.

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A□B)= 50 %

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.

Grants ____% Gifts ____%, Contributions ____%

Other ____% (explain)_____ , Total 0 %

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.

_____	$500,001 or More
_____	$400,001-$500,000
_____	$325,001-$400,000
_____	$275,001-$325,000
_____	$175,001-$275,000
X	$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES _____ NO X

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) **Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.**

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time

equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week) ? Yes ___ No X. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? __ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ___ No X. If yes, how many jobs ____ **will be created/retrained** within 18 months **following the completion of the improvements**?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 4278 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) 24 hour traffic count, study included.

9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes X No ___

If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. **OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES**

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. **Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance.** The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- Grants are limited to $500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% ofthe project estimate.

- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.

- If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the **Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html**

- Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.

- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.

- Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. **It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.**

If you desire to have your Round 33 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 33 by accessing the OPWC Website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 32.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

List all specific user fees: Amount or
ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS: (OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage

Permissive license fee

4504.02 or 4504.06	
4504.15 or 4504.17	#1.50
4504.16 or 4504.171	#1.50
4504.172	
4504.18	#5.00

Special property taxes 5555.48 _____
5555.49 _____

Municipal Income Tax #0.00

County Sales Tax 6.75%

Others _____

_____

_____

(DO NOT INCLUDE SCHOOL TAXES)

SPECIFIC PROJECT AREA INFORMATION.

Median household income #58,792.

Monthly utility rate: Water #29.24

Sewer #32.62

Other _____

List any special user fees or assessment (be specific)
Margaretta Twp. valuations sheet included.

_____

_____

POLITICAL SUBDIVISION= _____

COUNTY= _____

DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)= _____

(25-20-15)

Date: September 3, 2019

Signature: Jim Neill

Title: Margaretta Twp. Road/Cemetery Supervisor

Address: 114 Main St. Castalia, OH 44824

Phone: 419-684-5549

FAX: 419-684-7083

Email: jneill@margarettatwp.org

District 5

Capital Improvement Project

Priority Rating Sheet, Round 34

Revised 04/23/19

PROJECT NUMBER

COUNTY: Erie

PROJECT: MILLER ROAD RESURFACING

EST. COST: $169,000

No.	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	"B" PRIORITY FACTORS	"A" x "B"	Priority Factors						No.
					0	2	4	6	8	10	
1	1	(Repair or Replace) vs. (New or Expansion)	0 2 4 6 8 10 X	10	0% + Repair or Replacement	20% + Repair or Replacement	40% + Repair or Replacement	60%+ Repair or Replacement	80%+ Repair or Replacement	100%+ Repair or Replacement	1
2	1.5	Existing Physical Condition: Must submit substantiating documentation and CIR (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)	0 2 4 6 X 8 10	9	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or Not Operating	2
3	2	Public Health and/or Public Safety Concerns Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.	0 2 4 6 8 X 10	16	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	3
4	2	Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues) *	0 2 4 6 8 10 X	20	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	4
5	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES (Excluding Issue II Funds) (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant; including Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must submit copy of award or status letter.)	0 X 2 4 6 8 10	0	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	5

No.	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	"B" PRIORITY FACTORS	"A"X"B"	Priority Factors						No.
					-9	-8	0	8	9	10	
					Grant or Loan Only						
6	2	OPWC Grant and Loan Funding Requested; Please refer to Item 6 on Questionnaire for Clarification.	-9 -8 0 8 9 10 X	20	$500,001 or more	$400,001 to $500,000	$325,001 to $400,000	$275,001 to $325,000	$175,001 to $275,000	$175,000 or less	6
					Grant/Loan Combination						
	2		-9 -8 0 8 9 10	0	$750,000 or more	$600,001 to $750,000	$487,501 to $600,000	$412,501 to $487,500	$262,501 to $412,500	$262,500 or less	6

When scoring a project that is only grant or only loan. Please use the chart labeled "Grant or Loan Only". When scoring a grant/loan combination, score the project for the grant in the first chart, then use the second chart labeled "Grant/Loan Combination" to score the total (grant and loan combined). Use the lower of the two as the score.

No.	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	"B" PRIORITY FACTORS	"A" x "B"	Priority Factors						No.
					0	2	4	6	8	10	
7	1	Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent Jobs or retain Jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Required)	0 X 2 4 6 8 10	0	0+ jobs	7+ jobs	15 + jobs	25 + jobs	50 + jobs	100 + jobs	8
8	1	Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0 2 4 6 8 10 X	10	0+	100+	350+	500+	750+	1000+	9
9		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)	85		Other Info: Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? NO *Attach impact statement if yes.* Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES						
10		COUNTY PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15)									
11		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=12)									
12		GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS									

*** Applicants must certify local share contribution. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.**