P	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	State Public Work Application for F			
IMPO	RTANT: Please consult "Instructions for	Financial Assistance for Capital Inf	astructure Projects" for	guidance in col	mpletion of this form.
	Applicant: Benton Township		Subdivi	sion Code: <u>1</u> 2	25-00125
Applicant	District Number: <u>5</u> County:	Paulding		Date: 09	0/09/2016
Appl	Contact: Chad M. Crosby (The individual who will be available during	g business hours and who can best answer or coo	rdinate the response to question	Phone: <u>(4</u> s)	19) 399-2366
	Email: chadc@pauldingcountyoh.co	om		FAX: _ <u>(4</u>	19) 399-3363
	Project Name: <u>2019 Benton Towns</u>	hip et al, Road Improvements		Zip Code:	45879
	Subdivision Type	Project Type	Fundin	ig Request Su	mmary
ų	(Select one)	(Select single largest component by \$)	(Automatically populates	s from page 2)	
Project	1. County	🗙 1. Road	Total Project Cost:		310,504 .00
2	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant:		155,252 .00
	🔀 3. Township	3. Water Supply	2. Loan:		00. 0
	4. Village	4. Wastewater	3. Loan Assi		0.00
	5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste	Credit Enh	nancement:	
		6. Stormwater	Funding Requested	d:	155,252 .00
Di	strict Recommendatior	(To be completed by the District C	committee)		
(Sele	Funding Type Requested	_ SCIP Loan - Rate:9	% Term: Yrs	Amount:	.00
	State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate:	% Term: Yrs	Amount:	.00
	Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:		Amount:	.00
	Revolving Loan Program	LTIP:		Amount	00
	Small Government Program			Amount:	.00
_	District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Credit I	Enhancement:	Amount:	.00
Fo	r OPWC Use Only				
	STATUS	Grant Amount:		Type: 🗌 So	CIP 🗌 RLP
Projec	ct Number:	Loan Amount:	00 Date C	Construction E	nd:
		Total Funding:	00 Date N	laturity:	
Relea	se Date:	Local Participation:	% Rate:		%
OPW	C Approval:	OPWC Participation:	% Term:		Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services				
Preliminary Design:	.00			
Final Design:	.00			
Construction Administration:	.00			
Total Engineering Services:	a.)0	.00	0 %
Right of Way:	b.)	.00	
Construction:	c.) 310,504	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)	.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	·	.00	0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.) 310,504	.00	
1.2 Project Financial Resources				
Local Resources				
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.) 155,252	.00	
Local Revenues:	b.)	.00	
Other Public Revenues:	C.)	.00	
ODOT / FHWA PID:	d.)	.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)	.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)		.00	
CDBG:	g.)	.00	
Other:	h.)	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)155,252	.00	50 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)				
Grant: % of OPWC Funds	j.)	155,252	.00	
Loan:0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	0	.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m	.) 155,252	.00	<u> </u>
Total Financial Resources:	n.) 310,504	.00	100 %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local</u> <u>resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	310,504 .00	100%	A Farmland Preservation letter is
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	0.00	0 %	required for any impact to farmland
2.3 Total Project:	310,504 .00	100 %	

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date: 0	9/16/2016	End Date:	05/31/2017
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date: 0	6/14/2017	End Date: _	07/15/2017
3.3 Construction	Begin Date: 0	7/16/2017	End Date: _	12/31/2017

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: ____10 Years Age: ____1967 (Year built or year of last major improvement)

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge	: Current ADT	Year2016	Projected ADT	Year2036
Water / Wastew	vater: Based on monthly us	sage of 4,500 gallons p	per household; attach ci	urrent ordinances.
Residential W	/ater Rate	Current \$	Proposed	\$
Number of I	households served:			
Residential W	/astewater Rate	Current \$	Proposed	\$
Number of I	households served:			
Stormwater: N	umber of households serve	d:		

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

TR48 starting at CR49 and going west to new pavement in Benton Township TR70 starting at CR1 and going east to TR5 in Benton Township TR117 starting at CR60 and going south to TR48 in Latty Township TR122 starting at SR66 and going west to TR191 in Brown Township TR122 starting at TR191 and going west to TR169 in Brown Township

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

Laying 448 Asphalt Intermediate Course Type 2 Light design in Benton and Latty Townships then overlaying 448 Asphalt Surface Course Type 1 Light design in Benton, Brown and Latty Townships. Spraying 407 Tack Coat on existing asphalt for mix to adhere to and also laying 617 compacted aggregate on both edges of new pavement for safety and asphalt longevity.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

TR70=2610'x16' TR48=2425'x16' TR117=5300'x17' TR122=5265'x12' TR122=5475'x12'

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer

(Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

	Name:	Randy Noggle		
	Title:	Benton Township Trustee		
	Address:	6100 Rd. 33		
	City:	Payne Sta	te: OH	Zip <u>:</u> 45880
	Phone:	(419) 263-2459		
	FAX:			
	E-Mail:			
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	ilso serve as CEO)		
	Name:	Rachael Head		
	Title:	Fiscal Officer Benton Townsh	nip	
	Address:	403 West St.		
	City:	Payne Sta	_{ite:} OH	Zip: 45880
	Phone:	(419) 263-2625		
	FAX:			
	E-Mail:			
5.3 Project Manager				
	Name:	Chad M. Crosby		
	Title:	Project Manager		
	Address:	801 W. Wayne St.		
	City:	Paulding Sta	te: OH	Zip: 45879
	Phone:	(419) 399-2433		
	FAX:	(419) 399-3363		
	E-Mail:	chadc@pauldingcountyoh.co	m	

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)



A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.

 \checkmark

A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.



A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's <u>seal or stamp and signature.</u>



A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.

 \checkmark

Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.



Capital Improvements Report, CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.

Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Randy Noggle, Benton Township Trustee

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title) 9-9-16 Kandy W. 1 Original Sigurture / Date Sigu

PAULDING COUNTY ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Subdivision: Benton Township - et al Location: Benton, Brown and Latty Townships Type work: Asphalt Pave and Berm

NO.	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
	PAVEMENT				
448	Asphalt Conc. Intermediate Crse, Type 2 Light	610.46	CU. YD.	133.06	81,227.81
448	Asphalt Conc. Surface Crse, Type 1 Light	1446.64	CU. YD.	132.98	192,374.19
407	Tack Coat	3328.22	GALLON	1.82	6,057.36
617	Compacted Agg. (1.5 foot width)	511.36	CU. YD.	58.00	29,658.88
	TRAFFIC CONTROL				
614	Maintaining Traffic		LUMP	SUM	1,185.76

GRAND TOTAL

310,504.00

REGISTERED ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COSTS AND CERTIFICATION OF USEFUL LIFE OF PROJECT

This is to certify that I Travis R. McGarvey a Professional Engineer, Ohio Registration Number 68039, have examined the above project being submitted to the Ohio Public Works Administration for funding, and do certify the following costs of the project to be as accurate as possible. The useful life is estimated to be 10 years.



Travis R. McGarvey, P.E., P.S.

FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW LETTER

FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW FOR THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

2017 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements September 9, 2016

This review is to comply with Farmland Preservation Review Advisory of the Ohio Public Works Commission and the Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV. This review was accomplished by The Paulding County Engineer's Office.

1. The immediate impact the project will have on productive agricultural and grazing land related to land acquisition.

NONE

2. Indirect impact that will result in the loss of productive agricultural and grazing land from development related to the project.

NONE

3. Mitigation measures that could be implemented when alternative sites or locations are not feasible.

NONE

Sincerely,

Travis R. McGarvey, P.E., P.S.



TRAFFIC COUNT 24 HRS

2017 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements

TR48 = 53 08-02-16/08-03-16 (CR49 west for 2425')

TR70 = 1608-03-16/08-04-16 (between CR1-TR5)

TR122 = 9507-12-16/07-13-16 (between TR169-TR-191)

TR122 = 225 07-19-16/07-20-16 (between SR66-TR-191)

TR117 = 58 07-25-16/07-26-16 (between CR60-TR-48)

SIGNED: Chech Cashy

DATE: <u>9.8.16</u>







"AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION"

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING Randy Noggle, Benton Township Trustee Chairman TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTIVE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, <u>Benton Township</u> is planning to make capital improvements to <u>2016 Benton</u> Township et al, Road Improvements, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Benton Township :

Section 1: <u>Randy Noggle, Benton Township Trustee Chairman</u> is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: <u>Randy Noggle, Benton Township Trustee Chairman</u> is further authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: <u>9-12-16</u> Date

Randy Noggle, Benton Township Trustee

Joe Thome, Benton Township Trustee

Mark Crosby, Benton Township Drustee

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

Benton Township, Brown Township and Latty Township enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the "2017 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements".

<u>Benton Township</u> will provide funds totaling <u>15.49</u> % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be <u>\$310,503.00</u> and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the <u>Cas</u> <u>account/fund</u>.

Mark Crosby, Benton Township Trustee

W. Noggle, Benton Township Trustee Randv W h Hlen

Joseph W. Thome, Benton Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of Benton Township, hereby certify that Benton Township has the amount of

used to pay the local share for the <u>"2016 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements"</u> when it is required.

Rachael Head, Benton Township Fiscal Officer

Ohio Public Works Commission

Five Year Capital Improvement Plan / Maintenance of Effort

BENTON TOWNSHIP Subdivision Name				<u>125-05634</u> Code	4		County	Paulding	<u>22-Sc</u> Date	<u>22-Sep-16</u> Date
Project Name / Description	Funding Code(s)	Status (A)ctive (C)omnlete	Total Cost	Two Year Effort	ar Effort			Five Year Plan		
				2,015 Funded	2,016 ded	2,017	2,018	2,019 Planned	2,020	2,021
Bowmag And Install Base	Gen & Gas	с	6,206	6,206						
Stone & Grading Roads	MV & Gas	c	7,639	7,639						
Pavement Repair	Gas & R&B	c	19,841	19,841						
Chip & Seal	Gas	c	37,412	37,412						
Complete Resurface Road (OPW(Gas	/dGas	c	56,766		56,766					
Complete Resurface Road	Gas	С	156,585		156,585					
Bowmag And Install Base	RD. & BR.	С	10,247		10,247					
Stone & Grading Roads	MV/Gas/ R&B	с	13,572		13,572					
Payment Repair	MV & R&B	С	4,654		4,654					
Stone & Grading Roads						10,550	11,605	12,766	14.043	15,447
Patching						12,000	13,200	14,520	15,972	17.659
Chip And Seal						40,000	44,000	48,400	53,240	58,564
Road Resurfing						80,000	88,000	96,800	106,480	117,128

Page 4

16			Critical Unknown				0						
10/03/16	Date	Units/Physical Condition	Poor Crit	2.00		5							
		Units/Physic	Fair	3.00		20							
			Good	13.00		55							
Paulding	County		Excellent	30.50		57							
UL.			Total Units	Center Line Miles 48.50	Number of Bridges 0	Number of Culverts 137	Number of Facilities	Linear Feet (Thousands) 0.00	Number of Facilities 0	Linear Feet (Thousands)	Linear Feet (Thousands)	Capacity (Tons per Day) 0	
125-05634	Code	Repair	Cost	3,744,155		208,500							3,952,655
-	10	Replacement	Cost	10,905,300		850,205		-					11,755,505
Benton Township	Subdivision	Infrastructure	Component	Roads	Bridges	Culverts	Water Supply Systems	Water Distribution	Wastewater Systems	Wastewater Collection	Stormwater Collection	Solid Waste Disposal	Totals

Ohio Public Works Commission SUMMARY FORM

Current			Census Information	rmation	
Population	1,028	Population	1,035	% LMI	16.60%
Total Households		Total Households	401	401 % Poverty	8.70%
% Unemployment	450%	IHN	\$39,000	\$39,000 % Unemploy	5.20%

Subdivision Socio-Economic Characteristics

Preparer's Name, Phone Number, email : Randy Noggle 419-263-2459

Benton Township, Brown Township and Latty Township enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the "2017 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements".

<u>Brown Township</u> will provide funds totaling <u>15.64</u> % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be <u>\$310,503.00</u> and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the <u>Roud + Bridge / Special</u> account/fund. Levy Roud and Bridge

Danny J. Halter, Brown Township Trustee

Marty Adams, Brown Township Trustee

Dan Thomas, Brown Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of <u>Brown Township</u>, hereby certify that <u>Brown Township</u> has the amount of <u>\$48,567.50</u> in the <u>Road and Broke</u> <u>Special Levy</u> <u>Road account</u> fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the <u>"2016 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements"</u> when it is required.

Kevin Hornish, Brown Township Fiscal Officer

Ohio Public Works Commission SUMMARY FORM

Subdivision		Code		County			_1	Date	
Infrastructure	Replacement	Repair				Units/Physical Condition	al Condition	1	
Component	Cost	Cost	Total Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Unknown
Roads	2,954,560	250,000	^{Center Line Miles} 52.76	11.00	27.76	14.00			
Bridges			Number of Bridges						
Culverts	1,450,000	450,000	Number of Culverts	110	180	20			
Water Supply Systems			Number of Facilities						
Water Distribution			Linear Feet (Thousands)						
Wastewater Systems			Number of Facilities						
Wastewater Collection	-		Linear Feet (Thousands)						
Stormwater Collection			Linear Feet (Thousands)						
Solid Waste Disposal			Capacity (Tons per Day) 0						

Preparer's Name, Phone Number, email: Dan Halter 419-439-6050 dhalter@tds.net

Current			Census Information	rmation	
Population	2,132	Population	2,132	% LMI	33.00%
Total Households	958	Total Households	958	% Poverty	12.40%
% Unemployment	5%	MHI	\$45,931	\$45,931 % Unemploy	9.60%

Subdivision Socio-Economic Characteristics

Solid Waste Disposal

Totals

4,404,560

700,000

Brown Twp

125-09512

Paulding

8-18-2016

<u>www.pwc.state.oh.us</u>	Blank Forms Available At
----------------------------	--------------------------

	Codes(s)	(P) Pending (C) Complete	Cost	Yr 2015 Fun	Yr 2016 Funded	Yr 2017	Yr 2018	Yr ₂₀₁₉ Planned	Yr 2020	Yr 2021
Stone Roads (maintenance)										
T-128, 163, 211, 181, 159, 189,	MVL / Gas	С	5,500	5,500	6,000					
Patching Roads (maintenance)										
T-191, 122, 163, 213,	Gas/ R&B	с	33,000	33,000						
Replace culvert pipe T-110	R&B	С	5,000	5,000						
Berm various roads T- 104, 169, 122, 128,										
86, 165, 82,	Gas/ MVL	С	11,500	11,500						
Patching Roads T-128,120,211,104,148	Gas/ Permissive	c	18,500		18,500					
Resurface T-110, 86	CDBG/lssue1/Gas	С	89,567		89,567					
Resurface T 122 from SR66 to T169	Issue1/ Gas/R&B	P	95,000			95,000				
Resuface T128 from C209 to SR66	lssue1/Gas/R&B	P	48,500				48,500			
Resurface T 82 from C151 to T165	lssue1/Gas/R&B	P	62,000					62,000		
Resuface T 148 from C209 to T263	lssue1/Gas/R&B	P	48,500						48,500	
Resuface T21 from T128 to T110	Issue1/ Gas/R&B	σ	48,500							48,500
Various Stone Roads (maintenance)	Gas/ R&B	A	60,000			12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Stoned T163 from T146 to T156	Gas/ R&B	C	21,500		21,000					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Ohio Public Works Commission Five Year Capital Improvement Plan/Maintenance of Effort

Status (A) Active (P) Pending

125-09512 Code Date

8-18-2016

Brown Township

Subdivision

Project Name/Description

Funding

Total

Two Year Effort

Five-Year Plan

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

Benton Township, Brown Township and Latty Township enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the "2017 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements".

Latty Township will provide funds totaling 18.87 % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be \$ 310,503.00 and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the $\frac{2031-20,000}{2021-25,000}$ 2011-14,000_____account/fund.

Lyle Ebel, Latty Township Trustee

heiß - truster Jason Sheets, Latty Township Trustee

- Trustee

Blake Sinn, Latty Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of Latty Township, hereby certify that Latty Township has the amount of \$58,598.50 in the Bad Beidge, GASO/NP,+MUL account/fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the "2016 Benton Township et al, Road Improvements" when it is required.

Susan Hinchcliff, Latty Township Fiscal Officer

Project Name/Description 7-137 T-11-7 T-1/7 7-48 1-48 --137 1-72 84-1 7-72 48% 60 Chip-Se. 137 -131 Pere 123 to 117 Pave 123-131 48 to 60 Bomag 123 to 117 Bong 114 10 48 48 7060 137 to Peix 637 Pere 1/2 Pow 1'2" Pour mile 3 12 mile ιω_Σι 2 1.2 " mile 1 mile 2 Funding Codes(s) (A) Active (P) Pending (C) Complete Status Code р Ы Ũ Ú Ы \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} Ù T 50,274. 50 51 000 50 Cost 56,000 100,000 Total 52,000,00 40,000,00 55,000 00 40,000,000 20,000 30 ¥ 2016 **Two Year Effort** Funded ¥ 2017 2017 ¥ 2018 8102 ₹ 2019 Five Year Plan ¥ 2020 Planned ۲ 2021 ₹ 2022

Blank Forms Available At www.pwc.state.oh.us

Subdivision / awnship

Five Year Capital Improvement Plan/Maintenance of Effort

REQUIRED

Ohio Public Works Commission

Submit to Commission/Update Annually

<u>5-23-2016</u> Date

Chio Public Works Commission

SUMMARY FORM REQUIRED

Submit to Commission/Update Annually

Latty Jour Ship

County County

5-23-16 Date

	•								٢
Subdivision		Code		County				Date	
Infrastructure	Replacement	Repair				Units/Physi	Units/Physical Condition		
Component	Cost	Cost	Total Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Unknown
Roads	5,782,400,50	≈°00°5 688	$\frac{c_{\text{enter Line Miles}}}{444}$	g 1.00	23.48	9	3	/	
Bridges	N/A	7	Number of Bridges						
Culverts	500,000 00		Number of Culverts $0/62$		30	/20	9	Σ	
Water Supply Systems	~		Number of Facilities						
Water Distribution			Linear Feet (Thousands)						
Wastewater Systems			Number of Facilities						
Wastewater Collection			Linear Feet (Thousands)						
Stormwater Collection			Linear Feet (Thousands)						
Solid Waste Disposal			Capacity (Tons per Day) 0						
Totals	0	0		1 * 100 mg 1 M _ 1 1	na mena tera				

Subdivision Socio-Economic Characteristics

L

Current			2010 Census Information	Information	
Population		Population		% LMI	0.00%
Total Households		Total Households		% Poverty	0.00%
% Unemployment	0%	IHW		% Unemplov	0.00%

Preparer's Name, Phone Number, email :

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 31

Name of Applicant: <u>Benton Township</u> Project Title: <u>2017</u> Road Improvements

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses.

What percentage of the project in repair A=100%, replacement B= 0%, expansion C= ∞%, and new D=
 0%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B=100% C+D= 0%

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating from the Capital Improvements Report (CIR) Inventory :

Closed or Not Operating:	The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.
Critical:	The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum of 25% of the total Project Cost).
Poor:	The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.
Fair:	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards.
Good:	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

	originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.
Excellent:	The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

The CIR must be included with the application in order to receive points along with supporting documentation (e.g. photos or a narrative) justifying the rating.

3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*
Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*
Major:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Moderate:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Minimal:	Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
No Impact:	Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:	Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.
Minor Access Road:	Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.
Preventative Maintenance:	Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, microsurfacing, crack sealing, etc.

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements.

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
Moderate:	66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.
Minimal:	81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.
No Impact:	Bridge on a new roadway.
WASTEWATER TR	EATMENT PLANTS
Extremely Critical:	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
WATER TREATME	NT PLANT
Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)</u>

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.
Major:	Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.
Minimal:	Separate, to conform to current design standards.
No Impact:	No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Chronic flooding (structure damage).
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.
CULVERTS	
Extremely Critical:	Structure lie definition for standing lie should be Data in sting in the 1 standing
Extremely Chucal.	Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a safety Critical: hazard to the public.
Critical:	
·	safety Critical: hazard to the public. Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of
Critical:	safety Critical: hazard to the public. Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.
Critical: Major:	safety Critical: hazard to the public. Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage. Inadequate capacity (land damage).

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.										
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.										
Major:	EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.										
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.										
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.										
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.										
WATER PUMP STATIONS											
Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.										
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.										

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal:	New/Expansion to	meet a specific	development proposal.
	, , , ,	1	

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical:	Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Crit	cal: There is a present health and/or safety threat.
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
	Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical ____, Critical X_, Major ___, Moderate ___, Minimal ____, No Impact ____. Explain your answer.

Resurfacing Major Access Road (JR)

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

- 4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.
 - A.) Amount of Local Funds = $\frac{155,252}{310,504}$ B.) Total Project Cost = $\frac{310,504}{504}$

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS ($A \Box B$)= $\frac{50}{3}$ %

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding <u>State Issue II or LTIP</u>
 Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.

Grants ____% Gifts ____%, Contributions ____%

Other ____% (explain)______ , Total $\underline{\partial}$ %

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant

should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.

 \$500,001 or More

 \$400,001-\$500,000

 \$325,001-\$400,000

 \$275,001-\$325,000

 \$175,001-\$275,000

 \$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES ____ NO X

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes ____ No X. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be

permanently lost? Yes No X. If yes, how many jobs <u>will be created/retrained</u> within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

- 8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? <u>426</u> (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) $Troff_1 c Counts$
- 9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes ____ No Yes ____ No Yes ____ No Yes ____ No Yes ____ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. **Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance.** The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

•District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- •Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
 - •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- •The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more

cost-effective if regionalized.

•If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at <u>http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html</u>

•Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.

• Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.

• Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 31 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 31 by accessing the OPWC Website at <u>http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF</u>. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 31.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

List all specific user fees: Amount or ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS: (OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage

Permissive license fee	4504.02 or 4504.06
	4504.15 or 4504.17
	4504.16 or 4504.171
	4504.172
	4504.18
Special property taxes	5555.48
	5555.49

Municipal Income Tax
County Sales Tax
Others
(DO NOT INCLUDE SCHOOL TAXES)
SPECIFIC PROJECT AREA INFORMATION.
Median household income
Monthly utility rate: Water
Sewer
Other
List any special user fees or assessment (be specific)
POLITICAL SUBDIVISION=
COUNTY=
DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)=
(25-20-15)

Date:	9-1-16
Signature:	Frithathey
Title:	County Engineer
Address:	801 W. Weyne St.
Phone:	419-399-2433
FAX:	419-399-3363
Email:	tmcgarvey @ wmdstream.net

District 5

Capital Improvement Project

Priority Rating Sheet, Round 31

T	COUNTY:	PAULDING						•					Revised 06 PROJECT NUM		
- L	PROJECT:	Province													
	EST, COST	310, 504	19655	14 M	*B*	0.405	1005	'A' x'	18 25 83 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Sector and	Prionbr	Factors	965. A S.		No.
lo.,	WEIGHT FACTOR	CALEND TO BE CONSIDERED.	100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100		jor	UTY DRS			1						
1	1	(Repair or Replace) vs. (New or Expansion)	0	2	4	8	8 1	0	0% +	20%+	4 40% +	6 60%+ Repair or Replacement	80%+ Repair or Replacement	10 100%+ Repair or Replacement	
								10	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement			\times	
2	1.5	Existing Physical Condition: Must submit substantiating	0	2	4	6	8 1	9	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or Not Operating	†:
3	2	documentation and CIR (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points) Public Health and/or Public Safety	0	2	4	8	8 1	1	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely	3
		Concerns Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points	v	-				11			moderato	inajor	X	Critical	
4	2	for this guestion. Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues)	0	2	4	6	8 1	20	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	
5	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	0	2	4	8	8 1	0	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	5
		(Excluding Issue II Funds). (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through						0	X						
		taxes by the applicant, including Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must submit copy of award or status letter)									:				
Чо,	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		PR		UTY DRS		"A"X"E				Fattors			N
									-9 Grant or Loan Only	-8	0	8	9	10	+
6	2	OPWC Grant and Loan Funding Requested; Please refer to Item 6 on Questionnaire for Clarification.	-9	-8	0	8	9 1	20	\$500,001	\$400,001 to \$500,000	\$325,001 \$400,000	\$275,001 \$325,000	\$175,001 \$275,000	\$175,000	6
	2		-9	-8		8			Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000	\$600,001 to	\$487,501 to				
	2		-3						or more	\$750,000	\$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500 or less	e
	ľ	When scoring a project that is only gr in the first chart, then use the second	ant c char	or onl t lab	y lo elec	an. f I "Gr	Plea ant/	ise use tř Loan Co	e chart labeled "Gra	nt or Loan Only".	When scoring a g	rant/loan combina	ation, score the pr	i oject for the grant	t
No.	*A*	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	1	27	'B'		1	. 'A'' X'	¶′-	(AREA) C.C.	Priority	Factors		a wa ji ji	N
	WEIGHT FACTOR		San Shares			uty DRS				2	4		8	10	1.1.1.1.1
7	1	Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently	0	2	4	8	8 1	°	0+ jobs	7+ jobs	15 + jobs	25 + jobs	50 + jobs	100 + jobs	
8	1	lost (Written Documentation Required) Benefits to Existing Users such as households,	0	2	4	6	8 1		0+	100+	350+	500+	750+	1000+	
		(Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.						4			\times				
9		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)						79	Other Info: Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland?						
			YES												
									Attach impact sta	tement If yes .					
			Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 8						months?						
10 11		COUNTY PRIORITY POINTS (25- 20-15)						25	VES NO						
		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY						1	7						