

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form. Subdivision Code: <u>125-19176</u> Applicant: Crane Township Applicant District Number: 5 County: Paulding Date: <u>08/2</u>2/2019 Contact: Chad M. Crosby
(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions) Phone: (419) 399-2433 Email: chadc@pauldingcountyoh.com (419) 399-3363 FAX: Project Name: Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements Zip Code: ____ 45879 Subdivision Type **Project Type Funding Request Summary** (Select one) (Select single largest component by \$) (Automatically populates from page 2) 1. County 353,084 .00 1. Road Total Project Cost: 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 1. Grant: 175,000 .00 X 3. Township 3. Water Supply 2. Loan: 00.00 4. Village 4. Wastewater 3. Loan Assistance/ 00.00 Credit Enhancement: 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste Funding Requested: 175,000 .00 6. Stormwater **District Recommendation** (To be completed by the District Committee) **Funding Type Requested** SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: ____ Yrs Amount: __ (Select one) State Capital Improvement Program RLP Loan - Rate: ____ % Term: ___ Yrs Amount: ______.00 **Local Transportation Improvement Program** Amount: ______.00 Grant: Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount: ______.00 Small Government Program Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: District SG Priority: _ Amount: For OPWC Use Only **STATUS** Loan Type: SCIP RLP Grant Amount: ______.00 Project Number: _ Loan Amount: _____.00 Date Construction End: ___ Date Maturity: Total Funding: _____.00 Local Participation: ______ % Rate: Release Date: OPWC Participation: ______ % Term: OPWC Approval: _ Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services				
Preliminary Design:	.00			
Final Design:	.00			
Construction Administration:	.00			
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	0	.00	0 %
Right of Way:	b.)		.00	
Construction:	c.)	353,084	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)		.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)		.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)		.00	0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	353,084	.00	
1.2 Project Financial Resources				
Local Resources				
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)		.00	
Local Revenues:	b.)	178,084	.00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.)		.00	
ODOT / FHWA PID:	d.)		.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)		.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)		.00	
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development	g.)		.00	
Other:	h.)		.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	178,084	.00	50_ %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)				
Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	175,000	.00	
Loan: 0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)		.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	0	.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	175,000	.00	50_%
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	353,084	.00	100_%

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 2 of 6

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion				
2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	353,084	.00	<u>100</u> %	A Farmlan Preservation le
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	0	.00	0 %	required for a impact to farm
2.3 Total Project:	353,084	.00	100 %	
3.0 Project Schedule				
3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way Begin Date:_	06/01/2019 En	d Date:	09/01/2	019
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award Begin Date: _	06/01/2020 En	d Date:	07/01/2	020
3.3 Construction Begin Date:	07/01/2020 En	d Date:	12/31/2	020
Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project	Agreement and issua	nce of N	Notice to Pro	oceed.
Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination Modification of dates must be requested in writing by proj Commission once the Project Agreement has been exect	ect official of record			
4.0 Project Information				
If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolid	dated in this section.			
4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastru	cture			
Project Useful Life: <u>10</u> Years Age: <u>1969</u>	(Year built or year o	last ma	ijor improve	ment)
Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimates.		ignatur	e confirmin	g the
4.2 User Information				
Road or Bridge: Current ADT <u>282</u> Year <u>2019</u>	Projected ADT	3	11 Year _	2038
Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallo	ons per household; a	tach cu	ırrent ordin	ances.
Residential Water Rate Current \$	Prop	osed S	\$	
Number of households served:				
Residential Wastewater Rate Current \$	Prop	osed §	\$	
Number of households served:				

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

TR-59 commencing at CR-72 and ending north at TR-82 TR-108 commencing at TR-117 and ending west at US-127 TR-162 commencing at CR-87 and ending west 2,635'

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

Work will consist of; spraying tack oil to treat the road then laying hot mix asphalt in two lifts with an intermediate coarse followed by a surface coarse, tack oil will also be applied to intermediate coarse to help asphalt stick together. After asphalt is layed, temporary striping for safety purposed will be placed followed by placing berm stone along new pavements edge to protect the edge of pavement. Traffic will be maintained at all times in accordance to the Engineer's specifications.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

TR-59 is 5340 feet long and 16 feet wide TR-108 is 5400 feet long and 16 feet wide TR-162 is 2635 feet long and 17 feet wide

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer	(Person a	uthorized in legislation to sign project agreements)
	Name:	Mike Meyer
	Title:	Crane Township Trustee Chairman
	Address:	11031 Rd. 216
	City:	Cecil State: OH Zip:45821
	Phone:	(419) 769-5760
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	also serve as CEO)
	Name:	Kristine Stuart
	Title:	Crane Township Fiscal Officer
	Address:	8763 Rd. 176
	City:	Paulding State: OH Zip: 45879
	Phone:	(419) 258-9319
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	
5.3 Project Manager		
	Name:	Chad M. Crosby
·	Title:	Project Manager
	Address:	801 West Wayne Street
	City:	Paulding State: OH Zip: 45879
	Phone:	(419) 399-2433
	FAX:	(419) 399-3363

E-Mail:

chadc@pauldingcountyoh.com

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box) A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish auidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process, Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland, Capital Improvements Report, CIR Required by O.R.C, Chapter 164,06 on standard form,

Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works

7.0 Applicant Certification

Integrating Committee.

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Mike Meyer, Crane Twp Trustee Chairman

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Muchael My 9-6-19
Original Signature / Date Signed

PAULDING COUNTY

Date:

10-Sep-19

PAULDING COUNTY ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

Subdivision: Crane Township et al

Location: Crane, Jackson and Benton Townships

Type work: Asphalt Pave and Berm

NO.	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
	PAVEMENT				
		t.			
448	Asphalt Conc. Intermediate Crse, Type 2 Light	1068.86	CU. YD.	158.00	168,879.88
448	Asphalt Conc. Surface Crse, Type 1 Light	937.01	CU. YD.	158.00	148,047.58
407	Tack Coat	2407.05	GALLON	2.00	4,814.10
617	Compacted Agg. (1.5 foot width)	433.45	CU. YD.	64.00	27,740.80
	TRAFFIC CONTROL				
614	Maintaining Traffic		LUMP	SUM	3,601.64

GRAND TOTAL 353,084.00

REGISTERED ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COSTS AND CERTIFICATION OF USEFUL LIFE OF PROJECT

This is to certify that I Travis R. McGarvey a Professional Engineer, Ohio Registration Number 68039, have examined the above project being submitted to the Ohio Public Works Administration for funding, and do certify the following costs of the project to be as accurate as possible. The useful life is estimated to be 10 years.

TRAVIS R.

McGARVEY
E-68039

C/STERED

Travis R. McGarvey, P.E., P.S.

TRAFFIC COUNT 24 HRS

Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements

TR59 = 4707-16-19/07-17-19 (between CR72-TR82)

TR108 = 55 07-10-19/07-11-19 (between TR117-US127)

TR162 = 18007-1-19/07-2-19 (CR87 west for 2635')

SIGNED: _____

DATE: 9-6-19

CRANE TOWNSHIP et al 2019 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS




TR-59 Benton Township looking south from CR-82



TR-59 Benton Township looking south



TR-108 Jackson Township looking east from US-127



TR-108 Jackson Township looking west from TR-117



TR-162 Crane Township looking east towards CR-87



TR-162 Crane Township rut on east bound lane west of CR-87

"AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION"

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING Mike Meyer, Crane Township Trustee Chairman TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTIVE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, <u>Crane Township</u> is planning to make capital improvements to <u>Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements</u>, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by <u>Crane Township</u>:

Section 1: <u>Mike Meyer, Crane Township Trustee Chairman</u> is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: <u>Mike Meyer, Crane Township Trustee Chairman</u> is further authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: <u>8119/2019</u>
Date

Mike Meyer, Crane Township Trustee

Joe Sukup, Crane Township Trustee

Kevin Stuart, Crane Township Trustee

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

<u>Crane Township</u>, <u>Benton Township</u> and <u>Jackson Township</u> enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the <u>"Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements"</u>.

<u>Crane Township</u> will provide funds totaling 10.37 % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be \$353,084.00 and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the <u>Road + Bridge - 2031</u> account/fund.

Muchal May
Mike Meyer, Crane Township Trustee

Let Subuf
Jog Sukup, Crane Township Trustee

M. C. Star

Kevin Stuart, Crane Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of <u>Crane Township</u>, hereby certify that <u>Crane Township</u> has the amount of \$36,597.00 in the <u>Road+ Bridge-2031</u> account/fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the <u>"Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements"</u> when it is required.

Kristine Stuart, Crane Township Fiscal Officer

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

<u>Crane Township</u>, <u>Benton Township</u> and <u>Jackson Township</u> enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the <u>"Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements"</u>.

<u>Jackson Township</u> will provide funds totaling 19.92 % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be \$353,084.00 and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the <u>leasting + feemiliee</u> account/fund.

Dennis Sanderson, Jackson Township Trustee

August Sanderson, Jackson Township Trustee

Ray Johanns, Jackson Township Trustee

Bill Strahley, Jackson Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of <u>Jackson Township</u>, hereby certify that <u>Jackson Township</u> has the amount of \$70,360.00 in the <u>Jackson Township</u> account/fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the <u>"Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements"</u> when it is required.

Mary Howard, Jackson Township Fiscal Officer

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

<u>Crane Township</u>, <u>Benton Township</u> and <u>Jackson Township</u> enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the <u>"Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements"</u>.

Benton Township will provide funds totaling 19.71 % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be \$353,084.00 and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the gasoline / road * bridge account/fund.

Mark Crosby, Benton Township Trustee

Randy W. Noggle Benton Township Trustee

Joseph W. Thome, Benton Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of Benton Township, hereby certify that Benton Township has the amount of \$69,585.00 in the Gasoline foat; bridge acct account/fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the "Crane Township et al 2019 Road Improvements" when it is required.

Rachael Head, Benton Township Fiscal Officer

acheal Read

Farmland Preservation Review Letter

Farmland Preservation Review For The Ohio Public Works Commission

Crane Township and et al 2019 Road Improvements 9-3-19

This review is to comply with Farmland Preservation Review Advisory of the Ohio Public Works Commission and the Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV. This Review was accomplished by the Paulding County Engineer's Office.

1. The immediate impact the project will have on productive agricultural and grazing land related to land acquisition.

No impact

2. Indirect impact that will result in the loss of productive agricultural and grazing land from the development related to the project.

No impact

3. Mitigation measures that could be implemented when alternative sites or locations are not feasible

No impact

Travis McGarvey, County Engineer

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS **QUESTIONNAIRE** ROTIND 34

	ACT III
(rone Township	
Road Improvements	
	Crane Township Road Improvements

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

What percentage of the project in repair A= 0, replacement B=100, expansion C= 0, and new D= 1. 2 %? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B= 100 % C+D= 6 %

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater New/Expansion = systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating:

Closed or Not Operating:

The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components

have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

Critical:

The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum

of 25% of the total Project Cost).

Poor:

The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a

diminished capacity.

Fair:

The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design

standards.

Good:

The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.

Excellent:

The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

- In order to receive points provide supporting documentation (e.g. photos, a narrative, maintenance history, or third party findings) to justifying the rating.
- 3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal:

Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact:

Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:

Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road:

Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance:

Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3" of additional pavement, ect...)

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3" of additional pavement, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:

Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major:

Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal:

Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact:

No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical:

Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical:

Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical:

Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
WATER LINES/WA	TER TOWERS
Extremely Critical:	Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
<u>OTHER</u>	
Extremely Critical:	There is a present health and/or safety threat.
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
in the In gen	ined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. eral, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category which the project will be scored.
1.5	supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
Extremely Critical _	, Critical X, Major, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain
your answer.	
3R ON	Major Access Road
	
(Additional na	arrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.
	A.) Amount of Local Funds = $\frac{178.084}{}$
	B.) Total Project Cost = \$ 353,084
	RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A \square B)= 50 %
	Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be
	paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP
	Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.
	Grants% Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant
	should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.
	\$500,001 or More
	\$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001,\$400,000
	\$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000
	\$175,001-\$275,000
	\$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the Digtuist arounds all of the great means and has been made as a surviving.
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES NOX (This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.

If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time

7.

equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes ____ No ___. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ____ No ___. If yes, how many jobs ____ will be created/retrained within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the

infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 282 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.)

receive 0 points for this question.)

9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes ____ No ___ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 33 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 33 by accessing the OPWC Website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 32.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

List all specific user fees: Amount or ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS: (OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage

Permissive license fee 4504.02 or 4504.06 4504.17 4504.16 or 4504.17 4504.17 4504.17 4504.18

Special property tax				
Municipal Income T				
County Sales Tax _	7%			
(DO NOT INCLUD	E SCHOOL TAXES)			
SPECIFIC PROJEC	T AREA INFORMATION.			
Median household is	ncome	,		
Monthly utility rate:	Water			
monumy wanted there				
	Sewer	-		
	Other			
List any special user	r fees or assessment (be specific)			
- 11			<u> </u>	
POLITICAL SUBDIVI	ISION= Crave Township			
COUNTY= Pauld				
DISCRETIONARY PO	DINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE O	NLY)=		
(25-20-15)				
		/		
Date:	1-3-19			
Signature:	7-Mary			
Title:	County Engineer	1	11.5000	
Address:	BOI WEST Wayne St.		45879	
	419 - 399 - 2433			
FAX:	419-399-3363 tmcgarcy @ windstræm. n	• t		
Email:	Tracgary @ windstream. n			

Capital Improvement Project

Priority Rating Sheet, Round 34

1	PROJE	1110		T		_		_	_						PROJECT NUI		_
	EST. C	CIBIOC		-													_
No			D	100	90		В"	100		'A' x	ret!	ANT ROAD ROOM SON	p.//a	ue all			_
	1	是我们是是他的											Priori	ty Factors			
	FACTO						ORI										
1			141			9					0	2	4	8	B	1 10	10 60
'	1	(Repair or Replace) vs. (New or Expansion)		°	2	1	4 6	6 6	10	9	0% +	20% +	40% +	60%+ Repair o		100%+ Rep	
	1				1	1	1	1		10	Repair or	Repair or	Repair or	Replacement	Replacement	or Replacem	nen
					1	1	1	1		hora		Reolacemen				X	
		1	-		ı	1	1	ı	ı			1					
2	1.5	Existing Physical Condition:	\neg	0	2	1	4 6	8	10		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or N	lat
		Must submit substantiating	- 1		ı	ı				9	- 1	1		1		Operating	
	1	documentation and CIR (100% N	ew		l	ı	1	1		1	1	1		X			
3	2	or Expansion = 0 Points) Public Health and/or Public Safety	,	0	2	4	6	В	10	-	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major			
		Concerns	- 1			1		П					Incorrate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	
	1	Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 point	. 1			1	L	П	П	16		l			X		
1	2	for this guestion Percentage of Local Share (Local	_	_	_	Ļ	L	Ц	Ш	10					/		
	-	funds are funds derived from the	- 2	۱	2	14	ľ	ľ	10		0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	_
		applicant budget or a loan to be pe back through the applicant budget	id	- 1			П	П		20							
		assessments, rates or tax revenue	(8)	-			П	П		20						X	
	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	1	0	2	4	6	8	10		0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	_
			1	1		П	П							55,71	40%	30%*	
ı		(Excluding Issue II Funds)	1	-		П	П		1						l		ì
١		(Grants and other revenues not	1	1		П	П	1	-	15	×						
1		contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant including	1	1			П	1	1	0							
1		Gifts, Contributions, etc must		1	ı			1	1								
		submit copy of award or status letter.)		1	-	1	1	1	1								
I	Ά,	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		M		В.	2		1	A'X'B°			. Priority F	actors		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	
I	WEIGHT																9
	FACTOR	The state of the s			PRIC												1
-			-	_		_			_		-9 Grant or	-8	0	8	9	10	Ī
Т	2	OPWC Grant and Loan Funding	1-9		• 1	ماء	~T-	-1-	-1		Loan Only						1
		Requested; Please refer to Item 6 or	م ا م	T.	٦	ľ	"	"	٩,	76	\$500,001	\$400,001 to	\$325,001	\$275,001	\$175,001	\$175,000	1
L					- 1	1	1	1	1	20	or more						
l		Questionnaire for Clarification.						1			or more	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275.000	or less	ı
ı		Questionnaire for Clarification.	+	+	+	\dagger	†	t	t	_	Grant/Loan	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	or less	ļ
	2	Questionnaire for Clarification.	-9	+	8 (0 6	8 9	9 10	-	_		\$500,000 \$600,001 to	\$400,000 \$487,501 to	\$325,000 \$412,501 to	\$275,000 \$262,501 to	or less	-
	2	Questionnaire for Clarification.	-9	-	8 (0 6	8 9	10			Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000	\$600,001 to	\$487,501 to	\$412,501 to			-
	2	When scoring a project that is only a	rant		nov to		PI	1000		on the co	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500	
	2	When scoring a project that is only a	rant		nov to		PI	1000		e the ci	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500	
No.	2		rant		nov to	oan d °C	PI	1000	e us	e the cl Combir	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woan combinator e the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500	
A	*A*	When scoring a project that is only g in the first chart, then use the seconi	rant		nly k	oan d °C	PI	1000	e us		Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woan combinator e the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500	MARGOLI I
	'A'	When scoring a project that is only g in the first chart, then use the seconi	rant	or or or rt la	nly k bele *B	oan d *C	n. Pli Gran	1000	e us		Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woan combinator e the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500	MCDIRAGECS.
	A	When scoring a project that is only gin the first chart, then use the seconic CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	rant of cha	or or or rt la	nily k bele	oan d *C	n. Pli Gran	1000	e us		Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woan combinator e the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500 , score the project two as the score.	\$262,500 or less for the grant	AL SCHOOLSENSESS ST.
	"A" WEIGHT	When accoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create	rant	or or or rt la	nly k bele *B	oan d *C	n. Pl Gran	1000	e us oan		Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant of	\$600,001 to \$750,000 Ir Loan Only", WY otal (grant and to	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woan combination of the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500 . score the project two as the score.	\$262,500	STATE OF STREET STATE OF STREET
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs	rant of cha	or or or or or had	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas	e us oan	х'В'	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant or tation" to score the t	\$600,001 to \$750,000 I Loan Only". Without and load (grant and load)	\$487,501 to \$600,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Vloan combination to the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500 . score the project two as the score.	\$262,500 or less for the grant	STATE OF STREET STATE OF STREET
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the secon CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation)	rant of cha	or or or or or had	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas	e us oan		Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant or tation" to score the t	\$600,001 to \$750,000 I Loan Only". Without and load (grant and load)	\$487,501 to \$600,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Vloan combination to the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500 . score the project two as the score.	\$262,500 or less for the grant	SURE SECTIONS AND PROPERTY.
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the secon CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently toot (Written Documentation Recuired.)	rant of cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	e us	х'В'	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant or tation" to score the t	\$600,001 to \$750,000 I Loan Only". Without and load (grant and load)	\$487,501 to \$600,000 wen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woon combinator e the lower of the cotors 6 25 + Jobs	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	WEIGHT-FACTOR	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the seconic CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently toot (Written Documentation Recuired) Benefits to Existing Users such as households.	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	e us oan	У.В.	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant c aution" to score the t	\$600,001 to \$750,000 r Loan Only*, Will olail (grant and lo	\$487,501 to \$600,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Vloan combination to the lower of the	\$262,501 to \$412,500 . score the project two as the score.	\$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Required). Benefits to Existing Users such as households. (Equivalent dweling units), traffic	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	e us oan	х'В'	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant c aution" to score the t	\$600,001 to \$750,000 r Loan Only". We otal (grant and to	\$487,501 to \$600,000 wen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woon combinator e the lower of the cotors 6 25 + Jobs	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	e us oan	хв 3	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant c aution" to score the t	\$600,001 to \$750,000 r Loan Only*, Will olail (grant and lo	\$487,501 to \$600,000 wen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe	\$412,501 to \$487,500 Woon combinator e the lower of the cotors 6 25 + Jobs	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	CONTRACTOR SECURITY
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Required). Benefits to Existing Users such as households. (Equivalent dweling units), traffic	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	e us oan	3	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant c hatfor" to score the t 0 0+ jobs 0+	\$600,001 to \$750,000 I Loan Only*. Without total (grant and loa 2 7+ Jobs	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe 4 15 + jobs	\$412.501 to \$487,500 Whan combination to the lower of the chors	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	3	Grant/Loan Combination 3750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant aution" to score the to 0 0+ jobs 0+	\$600,001 to \$750,000 I Loan Only*. Without total (grant and loa 2 7+ Jobs	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe 4 15 + jobs	\$412.501 to \$487,500 Whan combination to the lower of the chors	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	2 7	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant c hatfor" to score the t 0 0+ jobs 0+	\$600,001 to \$750,000 I Loan Only*. Without total (grant and loa 2 7+ Jobs	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe 4 15 + jobs	\$412.501 to \$487,500 Whan combination to the lower of the chors	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	2 C	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant cattor" to score the to 0 0+ jobs 0+ Other Info: Description:	\$600,001 to \$750,000 If Loan Only*, Whiteled It of the It of the It of I	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe 4 15 + jobs	\$412.501 to \$487,500 Whan combination to the lower of the chors	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	2 C	Grant/Loan Combination 3750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant labout" to score the to 0 0+ jobs 0+ jobs 0+ jobs 0+ jobs to score this	\$600,001 to \$750,000 If Loan Only*, Whiteled It of the It of the It of I	\$487,501 to \$600,000 nen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fe 4 15 + jobs	\$412.501 to \$487,500 Whan combination to the lower of the chors	\$262,501 to \$412,500 a. score the project two as the score. 6 50 + jobs	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	CONTRACTOR SECURITY
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	2 C	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant aution" to score the to 0 0+ jobs	\$600,001 to \$750,000 Ir Loan Only'. Will total (grant and to 7+ jobs 100+ a elignificant im ent if yes.	\$487,501 to \$500,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa 4 15 + jobs 350+	\$412.501 to \$487.500 Whan combinations of the lower of the citors G	\$262,501 to \$412,500 b. ecore the project two as the score. 8 50 + jobs 750+	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	CONTRACTOR SECURITY
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	2 C	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant cattor" to score the to 0 0+ jobs 0+ Other Info: Description:	\$600,001 to \$750,000 Ir Loan Only'. Will total (grant and to 7+ jobs 100+ a elignificant im ent if yes.	\$487,501 to \$500,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa 4 15 + jobs 350+	\$412.501 to \$487.500 Whan combinations of the lower of the citors G	\$262,501 to \$412,500 b. ecore the project two as the score. 8 50 + jobs 750+	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	Welcht FACTOR	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the seconic CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently toot (Written Documentation Recorded). Benefits to Existing Users such as households. (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	oan	2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant aution" to score the to 0 0+ jobs	\$600,001 to \$750,000 Ir Loan Only'. Will total (grant and to 7+ jobs 100+ a elignificant im ent if yes.	\$487,501 to \$500,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa 4 15 + jobs 350+	\$412.501 to \$487.500 Whan combinations of the lower of the citors G	\$262,501 to \$412,500 b. ecore the project two as the score. 8 50 + jobs 750+	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	Weight 1	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, then use the seconic CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently toot (Written Documentation Received). Benefits to Existing Users such as households. (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX = 115)	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	o us oan	2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant hatton" to score the to 0 0+ jobs 0+ Other info: Describes this project have the Applicant ready	\$600,001 to \$750,000 Ir Loan Only'. Will total (grant and to 7+ jobs 100+ a elignificant im ent if yes.	\$487,501 to \$500,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa 4 15 + jobs 350+	\$412.501 to \$487.500 Whan combinations of the lower of the citors G	\$262,501 to \$412,500 b. ecore the project two as the score. 8 50 + jobs 750+	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section
	WEIGHT FACTOR	When scoring a project that is only in the first chart, than use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recourse). Benefits to Existing Users such as households, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)	d cha	PI F/	nly k bele *B	oan d'C	n. Pl Gran	leas nt/L	o us oan	2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000 or more hart labeled "Grant hatton" to score the to 0 0+ jobs 0+ Other info: Describes this project have the Applicant ready	\$600,001 to \$750,000 Ir Loan Only'. Will total (grant and to 7+ jobs 100+ a elignificant im ent if yes.	\$487,501 to \$500,000 sen scoring a gran an combined). Us Priority Fa 4 15 + jobs 350+	\$412.501 to \$487.500 Whan combinations of the lower of the citors G	\$262,501 to \$412,500 b. ecore the project two as the score. 8 50 + jobs 750+	or less \$262,500 or less for the grant	Section School Section

^{*} Applicants must certify local share contribution. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal,