

DISTRICT 5 SCIP-LTIP ROUND 36/PY2023 DISTRICT SCORING METHODOLOGY GUIDE

(Revised June 29, 2021)

OPWC Website Address: pwc.ohio.gov

District 5 Contact Information:

Dennis Miller, District 5 Liaison

c/o Maumee Valley Planning Organization, 1300 East Second Street, Suite 200, Defiance, Ohio 43512

Phone: 419-784-3882; FAX: 419=784-2061

Email: dmiller@mvpo.org

Applications Due: September 10, 2021by 4:00 P.M. at the County Engineer's Office in the County where the Project is located

Revised: June 29, 2021

Application Instructions Public WorksWise Training

(Right click on the Blue fields and Choose Open Hyperlink)

The Commission has been hard at work for the two years developing our Salesforce based internal cloud platform, Public WorksWise. WorksWise will allow our customers to apply online for OPWC grant and loan funds, process disbursement requests to vendors, submit relevant project documentation and schedule information, and navigate loan repayments all in one place!

We have been testing the system and the OPWC staff will be going live internally with the platform in the month of May. Our external users will begin using the platform after the Round 35 project agreements are released electronically on July 1st. On August 4th our portal will go live to the public and we will enable statewide use of WorksWise to manage and maintain your OPWC infrastructure and Clean Ohio project.

ONLINE TRAINING VIDEOS

Clean Ohio Training for Applicants: HOW TO SUBMIT A CLEAN OHIO APPLICATION THROUGH WORKSWISE PORTAL

Infrastructure Training for Applicants: HOW TO SUBMIT AN INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATION THROUGH THE WORKSWISE PORTAL

Upcoming Customer Training: Processing and Submitting Project Disbursements

July 7th 9:30-11:30: Statewide Infrastructure Disbursement Training (training link will be made available a few days prior to the trainings)

August 11th 9:30-11:30: Statewide Infrastructure Follow Up training for popular questions that arise after launch (training link will be made available a few days prior to the trainings)

Requesting Access to WorksWise

OPWC allows each community/applicant one license to access WorksWise in order to apply for funding and request disbursements once funded. In order to onboard new and existing applicants, we require that the applicant designate an appointee and request access via the link below.

Important Items to Note: if you want access for another community other than the one with which you are employed (this may be true for County Engineers Offices or consulting firms), a Letter of Authorization will be required to be uploaded when you make the request to be set up for that community. Below is a link to the template that must be put on the subdivision's letter head, signed, and scanned in as a PDF.

Letter of Authorization for WorksWise access to applicant's account

Liaisons: Please do not request a username through this form, you are automatically setup to receive usernames. However, if you are applying on behalf of a community, you will need to request access to do so with the Letter of Authorization above.

- 2) Cities/Counties: For larger communities that may need more than one login, there is a space on the form to explain why additional logins are necessary. We will review them on a case by case basis. Every community is afforded at least one login to the WorksWise Portal.
- 3) For Works Wise questions, please email **workswise@pwc.ohio.gov** and copy your Program Representative on the email. Someone will respond back to you as quickly as possible.
- 4)Please fill out the form below for each login requested. If you are representing multiple communities, please fill register for each community you plan to work with along with the Letter of Authorization. Please do NOT list more than one subdivision on the signup form or your entry will be deleted and we will ask that you resubmit your request(s).

Click here to request a login to the Public WorksWise portal

**Usernames and passwords for the system will not be granted until we go live in August.

Supplemental Application Instructions

Prerequisites for Project Consideration

Manner of submittal items to the County Subcommittee:

Paper Application Submittal Instructions

- 1) Must be one-sided, 8.5" x 11".
- 2) No dividers or cover sheets (a summary sheet may be submitted with "other documentation").
- 3) No Binding. A binder clip, folder, punch-less binder (has a clamp that holds papers together) are OK. No staples.

Format of application:

- 1) All must be in whole dollars (no cents).
- 2) Cannot use all caps.

 Page 4 of application must contain relevant information about project and not "see attached". If it will not fit in space provided, list what will fit and attach one supplement document to complete the information.
- 3) Page 3 must designate households or ADT ONLY for the direct area of the infrastructure. (Cannot count downstream or system users). Majority infrastructure type determines how project is scored when there are multiple components. ADT Traffic Counts are required within three years of application submittal with certified documentation.

Optional Electronic Application Submittal

Applicants may opt to submit applications in a pdf electronic file format on a CD, DVD or other electronic storage device.

OPWC Required Documents

- oOPWC Six Page Application
- oAuthorizing Legislation
- oCFO Certification of Local Funds and Loan Repayment Letter
- oEngineer's Estimate and Useful Life Statement
- o Cooperative Agreement (Multiple Jurisdictions)
- oFarmland Preservation Review Letter

District 5 Required Documents

- oA Self-Score Capital Improvements Questionnaire
- oPriority Rating Sheet, Round 36
- oADT Traffic Counts conducted within three years of the application submittal
- oEPA Findings and Orders, EPA Safe Drinking Water Regulations Notice of Violation, EPA NPDES

Permit Violations, EPA Consent Decree or Court Orders

- oDocumentation to support Functional Obsolescence Claim
- oDocumentation of Waterline Breaks, I and I Analysis, excessive corrosion, etc.
- oWritten Third Party Documentation supporting Job Creation/Retention Claims
- oAuditor's Certificate
- oOther items
 - a. Maps
 - b. Pictures
 - c. Summary Sheet
 - d. Letters supporting the project application.
 - e. Any other items deemed relevant to the project

Project Cost Overruns/Changes in Scope Procedure

- The applicant will prepare an amended application including a revised budget, revised engineering estimate, and a detailed explanation of the change(s) requested.
- 2) The amendment is due to the District 5 Liaison thirty days in advance of the date of the scheduled District 5 Executive Committee Meeting.

Revolving Loan Prioritization

- 1) RLP funds are funds repaid from previous loans. The money can only be used for loans. No grants may be made with the funds.
- 2) The interest rate for RLP Loans is established by the Executive committee at zero percent per year for the useful life of the improvement.
- 3) RLP Loans will be offered to projects based on the ranking of projects on the SCIP Slate. Consideration will be given to projects in order of score based on initial grant or grant/loan request, until the RLP funds are expended.

Evaluation Questionnaire and Priority Rating Sheet

- 1) Each application to District 5 shall be rated using the District 5 Capital Improvements Project Questionnaire and Priority Rating Sheet as adopted by the District 5 Executive Committee.
- 2) For Villages and Township with populations less than 5,000 special attention is called to the potential eligibility for Small Government Funding consideration. The scoring for the Small Government Program is established and implemented by the Ohio Public Works Commission. This program has an additional set of Evaluation Methodology. Each applicant should familiarize themselves with this methodology when planning your project funding request. If your project is not selected for District Funding each applicant under 5,000 in population will be considered for selection as a potential Small Government Project.

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 36

Name of Applicant:	
Project Title:	

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Villages and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1.	What percentage of the project in repair A=%, replacement B=%, expansion C=%, and new D=
	%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one
	hundred(100) percent) A+B=% C+D=% ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2);**164.14(E)(8)

Points	Category	Description	Examples
	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards - Complete Pavement Reconstruction -Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement-Replacement of a major component of a water and/or sewer treatment plant which would result in a failure in meeting WQ Standards
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a component such as a control mechanism, pumps, hydrants, valves, filters,

			etc of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Repair of a tank to maintain structural integrity in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

Life	20	30	50
Project		Wastewater and Water	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary
Туре	Road	Treatment	Sewer, Water Supply,
			Storm Water, Solid
			Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal: Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact: Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

^{*(4}R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement. etc.).

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a

consent decree, finding and orders or court order, and Health Department Construction

Ban.

Critical: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of

NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water

Regulations and/or Notice of Violations.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS</u> (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system

area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a

consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage) or improvements required by the Environmental

Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of

Violations.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a critical

safety hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property

damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health

Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements, sewer

system overflows, and/or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or; EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage; or

improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES permit requirements.

Major: EPA recommendations, or; reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical: Replace to solve low potable water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks

in project area.

Critical: Replacement/Rehabilitation due to structural deficiency such as excessive

corrosion and/or safety upgrades, etc.

Major: Replace undersized water mains as part of an overall upgrade process. Replace

water meters that have exceeded their useful life.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs. Spot repairs/recoating to restore

moderate corrosion of water components.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.

Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.

Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.

	Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
	No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
	NOTE:	Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.
	(Submittals	without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
	Extremely (Critical, Critical, Major, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain
	your answer.	
	(Additional i	narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)
1.	Identify the	amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project
	cost. ORC F	Reference164.06(B)(6);)ORC164.06(B)(7); ORC164.06(B)(3); ORC164.14(E)(4)
	A.) Amount	of Local Funds = \$
	B.) Total Pro	oject Cost = \$
	Note: Local	LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS ($A \square B$)=% funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be rough local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	as a percenta	amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds, age of the total project cost. ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(7);164.14(E)(4) % Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other%	% (explain), Total%
		nt funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant onsidered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	categories be request equa point penalty	nt of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the elow for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan I to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no y. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet ORC Reference(s):164.14(E)(10);164.06(B)(5)
		\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000

The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.

Moderate:

	\$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YESNO(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-
	time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 36 hours/week)? Yes No If yes, how
	many jobs within eighteen months? Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be
	permanently lost? Yes No If yes, how many jobs will be created/retrained within 18
	months following the completion of the improvements?
	ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)
	(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that
	specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or
	improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media
	news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development
	Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the
	infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will
	receive 0 points for this question.)
8.	What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if
	completed? (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you
	arrived at your number.) ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)
9.	Economic Distress Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)
	What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income?
	%. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data
	provided in Exhibit A.
10.	Readiness to Proceed Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)
	Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.
	Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)

	Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
	Final Design Complete (2 Points)
11. 12.	Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= County Subcommittee Priority Points= (25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)
13.	DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)
13a.	A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide,
	County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance)
	(Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee)
	ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)
13b.	A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has
	maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the
	water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for
	combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only
	systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for
	discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive
	Committee)ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)
14.	Grand Total of Points
15.	Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No If yes, continue. You may want to
	design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current
	OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The
	Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at
nttps:	//www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019
-08-0	7-071749-143

16. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

• District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the

Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government
- Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 36 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 36 by accessing the OPWC Website at

https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf? ver=2019-08-07-071749-143. Please follow the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and include supporting documentation to receive points. Specifically, include the Auditor's Certification of funds for your entity and documentation supporting the age of the infrastructure.

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 36.

Date:	
Date: Signature: Title:	
Title:	
Address:	
Phone:	
FAX:	
Email:	

Median Household Di		
Median Household Dit		
Paulding Cecil village \$33,750 188	2017 Economic Distress	
Paulding Cecil village \$33,750 188 Wood Bowling Green city \$33,794 30,028 Paulding Haviland village \$33,906 215 Wood Fostoria city \$35,125 13,441 Sandusky Fremont city \$35,296 16,734 Williams Bryan city \$35,815 8,545 Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,675 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Miligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage towns	, 400	
Wood Bowling Green city \$33,794 30,028 Paulding Haviland village \$33,906 215 Wood Fostoria city \$35,125 13,441 Sandusky Fremont city \$35,296 16,734 Williams Bryan city \$35,815 8,545 Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Dakwood village \$33,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Washridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Milligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney	CO 2007 1000	
Paulding Haviland village \$33,906 215 Wood Fostoria city \$35,125 13,441 Sandusky Fremont city \$35,296 16,734 Williams Bryan city \$35,815 8,545 Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,667 120 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Wabridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Millgrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,200 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Port	60.38% 60.46%	
Wood Fostoria city \$35,125 13,441 Sandusky Fremont city \$35,296 16,734 Williams Bryan city \$35,815 8,545 Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Wabridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Millgrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,177 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Poxty Ridge village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoy vi	60.66%	
Sandusky Fremont city \$35,296 16,734 Williams Bryan city \$35,815 8,545 Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,675 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,613 3,019 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Millgrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risi	62.84%	
Williams Bryan city \$35,815 8,545 Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,675 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,613 3,019 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Miligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,711 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp	100000	-
Erie Sandusky city \$36,117 25,793 Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Miligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,471 303 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding An	63,15% 64,08%	I 🔪 .
Defiance Sherwood village \$36,250 827 Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,613 3,019 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Millgrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,471 303 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,471 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding <	120000	
Paulding Broughton village \$36,667 120 Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Miligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,000 1,671 Ottawa West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,471 303 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,471 606 Wood Risingsun village \$41,471 606 Paulding A	64.62%	
Henry McClure village \$36,875 725 Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Millgrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,771 606 Wood Risingsun village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa	64.86% 65.60%	
Paulding Oakwood village \$37,273 608 Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Milligrow village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,339 1,194 Pau		
Williams Blakeslee village \$38,125 96 Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Miligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa	65.97%	
Wood Walbridge village \$38,613 3,019 Wood West Millgrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Antwerp village \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Ha	66.69%	
Wood West Miligrove village \$39,000 174 Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa	68.21%	
Paulding Grover Hill village \$39,107 402 Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Anfwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne viltage \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams	69.08%	
Williams West Unity village \$39,250 1,671 Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,667 1,380	69.78%	2 0-:
Ottawa Rocky Ridge village \$39,375 417 Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	69.97%	2 Poir
Ottawa Portage township \$40,000 1,291 Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	70.22%	
Defiance Ney village \$41,111 354 Wood Hoytville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	70.45%	
Wood Hotville village \$41,471 303 Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	71.57%	
Paulding Paulding village \$41,490 3,605 Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	73.55% 74.20%	
Wood Risingsun village \$41,771 606 Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	1000000	
Paulding Antwerp village \$41,827 1,736 Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	74.23%	*
Paulding Latty township (Remainder of) \$42,188 615 Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	74.73% 74.83%	
Ottawa Clay Center village \$42,321 276 Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380		
Paulding Payne village \$42,339 1,194 Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	75.48% 75.72%	
Paulding Scott village \$42,500 286 Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	75.75%	
Ottawa Bay township \$42,969 1,458 Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	75.75%	
Ottawa Oak Harbor village \$43,456 2,759 Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	76.04% 76.88%	
Ottawa Port Clinton city \$43,554 6,056 Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	76.88%	
Williams Pioneer village \$43,667 1,380	1990990	4 /
	77.92%	14
	78.13%	4 I
Williams Montpetier village \$43,955 4,072	78.64%	4
Fulton Fayette village \$44,120 1,283 Williams Edon village \$44,338 834	78.94% 79.33%	

TAPHY	- V- 3 17 12	644.700	4.000	00.000/1	
Williams	Northwest township	\$44,732	1,236	80.03%	
Wood	Liberty township (Remainder of)	\$44,846	1,633	80.23%	
Fulton	Metamora village	\$45,000	627	80.51%	
Paulding	Latty village	\$45,000	193	80.51%	
Wood	Wayne village	\$45,000	887	80.51%	_
					マック
Ottawa	Erie township	\$45,500	1,221	81.41%	
Williams	St. Joseph township (Remainder of)	\$45,833	815	82.00%	
Williams	Madison township (Remainder of)	\$46,079	976	82.44%	
Paulding	Melrose village	\$46,250	275	82.75%	
Wood	Bradner village	\$46,429	985	83.07%	
Henry	Napoleon city	\$46,786	8,749	83.71%	
Wood	Cygnet village	\$46,917	597	83.94%	
Henry	New Bavaria village	\$47,500	99	84.98%	
Paulding	Paulding township (Remainder of)	\$47,531	1,046	85.04%	
			40.404		
Defiance	Defiance city	\$47,716	16,494	85.37%	
Defiance	Hicksville village	\$47,841	3,581	85.59%	
Fulton	Wauseon city	\$47,885	7,332	85.67%	
Henry	Deshler village	\$48,015	1,799	85.91%	
	1985-1981 (A. 1987-1987-1987)	\$48,173	1,368	86.19%	
Sandusky	Green Springs village				
Ottawa	Salem township (Remainder of)	\$48,227	2,612	86.28%	
Henry	Hamler village	\$48,452	576	86.69%	
Sandusky	Riley township	\$48,520	1,226	86.81%	
Williams		\$48,750	1,335	87.22%	
The state of the s	Stryker village				
Williams	Pulaski township	\$49,199	2,357	88.02%	
Fulton	Lyons village	\$49,250	562	88.11%	
Williams	Edgerton village	\$49,375	2,012	88.34%	
Sandusky	Rice township	\$49,461	1,370	88.49%	
Wood	Weston village	\$49,702	1,590	88.92%	
Williams	Mill Creek township	\$49,760	802	89.03%	
Williams	Brady township (Remainder of)	\$49,919	931	89.31%	
Ottawa	Danbury township (Remainder of)	\$50,067	4,264	89.58%	
Sandusky	Clyde city	\$50,240	6,325	89.89%	
Wood	Troy township (Remainder of)	\$50,313	2,858	90.02%	
Fulton	Dover township	\$50,400	1,578	90.17%	1 Point
Sandusky	Gibsonburg village	\$50,603	2,581	90.54%	
Paulding	Auglaize township	\$51,202	1,454	91.61%	
Fulton	Chesterfield township	\$51,563	1,012	92.25%	
Wood	Milton Center village	\$51,667	144	92.44%	
Henry	Holgate village	\$51,700	1,109	92.50%	
Erie	Berlin Heights village	\$51,719	714	92.53%	
Erie	Bellevue city	\$51,875	8,202	92.81%	
Sandusky	Bellevue city	\$51,875	8,202	92.81%	
Williams	Superior township	\$52,022	1,393	93.07%	
Henry	Bartlow township (Remainder of)	\$52,159	568	93.32%	
Fulton	Franklin township	\$52,321	743	93.61%	
Wood	Custar village	\$52,500	179	93.93%	
Wood	Portage village	\$53,068	438	94.95%	
Fulton	Archbold village	\$53,106	4,346	95.01%	
Sandusky	Lindsey village	\$53,523	446	95.76%	
Gandusky					
Paulding	Brown township (Remainder of)	\$53,548	1,249	95.81%	
Erie	Huron city	\$53,555	7,149	95.82%	
Henry	Florida village	\$53,750	232	96.17%	
Wood	Bairdstown village	\$53,750	130	96.17%	
Williams	Bridgewater township	\$53,859	1,474	96.36%	
Ottawa	Genoa village	\$54,321	2,336	97.19%	
Sandusky	Helena village	\$54,375	224	97.28%	
Wood	North Baltimore village	\$54,435	3,432	97.39%	
110000000000000000000000000000000000000			871	97.54%	
Henry	Pleasant township (Remainder of)	\$54,520			
Wood	Milton township (Remainder of)	\$54,556	656	97.61%	
Paulding	Emerald township (Remainder of)	\$54,655	789	97.79%	
Erie	Vermilion city	\$54,730	10,594	97.92%	
Erie	Bay View village	\$55,357	632	99.04%	
Fulton	Gorham township (Remainder of)	\$55,366	977	99.06%	
Williams	Jefferson township (Remainder of)	\$55,384	1,879	99.09%	^
Sandusky	Green Creek township	\$55,587	3,646	99.45%	
Sandusky	Woodville village	\$55,652	2,135	99.57%	
Garidusky					
C	Woodville township (Remainder of)	\$55,690	1,256	99.64%	
Sandusky					
Ottawa	Elmore village	\$55,804	1,410	99.84%	
				99.84% 99.84%	

-	B.1. B. 1. 1. 18 . 1 . 1	050 000	105	100 100/1	
Ottawa	Put-in-Bay township (Remainder of)	\$56,000	495	100.19%	
Sandusky Erie	Sandusky township	\$56,317 \$56,699	3,619	100.76% 101.44%	H
Wood	Vermilion township Montgomery township (Remainder of)	\$56,845	4,945 1,752	101.70%	
Wood	Millbury village	\$56,932	1,752	101.86%	
Wood	Grand Rapids village	\$57,014	965	102.01%	マ ケ
Wood	Perrysburg township	\$57,155	12,512	102.01%	
Henry	Liberty Center village	\$57,303	1,180	102.52%	
Fulton	Swanton village	\$57,446	3,690	102.78%	
Sandusky	York township	\$57,500	2,532	102.7676	
Williams	County	\$57,551	35,801	102.97%	
Defiance	Highland township	\$57,841	2,372	103.49%	
Paulding	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$58,055	853	103.43%	
Paulding	Crane township (Remainder of)	\$58,061	1,232	103.88%	
Williams	Center township	\$58,504	2,874	104.67%	
Erie	Margaretta township (Remainder of)	\$58,792	4,497	105.19%	
Henry	Liberty township (Remainder of)	\$58,964	1,317	105.49%	
Wood	Northwood city	\$59,009	5,265	105.57%	
Henry	Monroe township (Remainder of)	\$59,318	877	106.13%	
Defiance	Delaware township (Remainder of)	\$59,561	1,307	106.56%	
Sandusky	Scott township	\$59,643	1,437	106.71%	
Sandusky	County	\$59,753	58,269	106.91%	-
Defiance	Mark township	\$59,770	908	106.94%	
Henry	Harrison township (Remainder of)	\$59,893	1,025	107.16%	
Defiance	Tiffin township	\$60,192	1,612	107.16%	
Sandusky	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$60,680	1,795	107.69%	
Fulton	Delta village	\$60,927	3,103	109.01%	-
Erie	Perkins township	\$61,293	12,202	109.66%	
Wood	Rossford city	\$61,682	6,293	110.36%	
Wood	Luckey village	\$61,705	1,012	110.40%	
Henry	Malinta village	\$61,875	265	110.70%	
Defiance	Defiance township (Remainder of)	\$62,404	1,792	111.65%	
Fulton	Swan Creek township (Remainder of)	\$62,576	6,013	111.96%	
Henry	Damascus township (Remainder of)	\$62,614	1,076	112.02%	
Henry	Freedom township	\$62,750	946	112.27%	
Wood	Pemberville village	\$62,885	1,371	112.51%	
Sandusky	Ballville township	\$62,904	5,985	112.51%	
Erie	Kelleys Island village	\$63,000	312	112.72%	
Paulding	County	\$63,122	18,863	112.93%	
Wood	Jerry City village	\$63,158	427	113.00%	
Williams	Springfield township (Remainder of)	\$63,548	1,812	113.70%	
Fulton	Clinton township (Remainder of)	\$63,622	2,222	113.83%	
Wood	Bloom township (Remainder of)	\$64,017	1,003	114.53%	0 Points
Wood	Henry township (Remainder of)	\$64,074	743	114.64%	0 i dinta
Wood	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$64,219	489	114.90%	
Erie	County	\$64,384	74,039	115.19%	
Paulding	Blue Creek township (Remainder of)	\$64,464	447	115.34%	
Defiance	County	\$64,669	37,694	115.70%	
Williams	Florence township (Remainder of)	\$64,821	1,096	115.70%	
Defiance	Farmer township	\$64,886	963	116.09%	
Paulding	Benton township (Remainder of)	\$65,230	671	116.70%	
Defiance	Richland township (Remainder of)	\$65,245	1,719	116.73%	
Sandusky	Townsend township	\$65,306	1,620	116.84%	
Ottawa	Marblehead village	\$65,417	903	117.04%	
Defiance	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$65,526	1,263	117.04%	
Williams	Holiday City village	\$65,625	52	117.41%	—
Ottawa	Carroll township	\$65,769	2,135	117.41%	
Erie	Milan village	\$65,833	1,367	117.78%	
Erie	Castalia village	\$66,146	852	118.34%	
Wood	Tontogany village	\$66,786	367	119.49%	
Defiance	Noble township (Remainder of)	\$66,885	2,419	119.67%	
Fulton	Pike township	\$67,115	1,854	120.08%	
Paulding	Carryall township (Remainder of)	\$67,151	1,244	120.00%	
Erie	Florence township	\$67,300	2,448	120.41%	
Fulton	County	\$67,327	41,824	120.41%	
Fulton	Royalton township (Remainder of)	\$67,929	953	121.53%	
Henry	County	\$68,966	27,027	123.39%	
Wood	Lake township (Remainder of)	\$69,148	6,753	123.72%	
Ottawa	County	\$69,155	39,946	123.73%	
Ottawa	Harris township (Remainder of)	\$69,186	1,608	123.78%	
Ottawa	Clay township (Remainder of)	\$69,750	2,722	124.79%	-
Defiance	Hicksville township (Remainder of)	\$69,830	1,398	124.94%	
Ottawa	Catawba Island township	\$70,000	3,599	125.24%	
Sandusky	Burgoon village	\$70,000	172	125.24%	
	PRIMOVII TIIIUUU	Ψ, υ, υυυ	112	120.2770	

Erie	Groton township	\$70,959	1,427	126.96%	
Henry	Ridgeville township	\$71,615	1,091	128.13%	
Wood	Weston township (Remainder of)	\$71,739	746	128.35%	
Henry	Marion township (Remainder of)	\$72,708	721	130.08%	1
Wood	Grand Rapids township (Remainder of)	\$72,717	642	130.10%	
Henry	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$72,849	1,794	130.34%	
Sandusky	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$73,061	1,303	130.72%	
Fulton	German township (Remainder of)	\$73,214	2,097	130.99%	
Fulton	Amboy township (Remainder of)	\$73,816	1,219	132.07%	
Wood	Webster township	\$74,063	1,283	132.51%	
Fulton	Fulton township (Remainder of)	\$74,073	1,519	132.53%	
Wood	Freedom township (Remainder of)	\$74,477	1,356	133.25%	
Sandusky	Madison township (Remainder of)	\$75,000	1,273	134.18%	
Wood	County	\$76,876	122,541	137.54%	
Fulton	York township (Remainder of)	\$77,742	1,678	139.09%	
Ottawa	Put-in-Bay village	\$78,250	138	140.00%	
Wood	Plain township	\$78,333	1,663	140.15%	
Paulding	Harrison township (Remainder of)	\$78,340	640	140.16%	
Ottawa	Benton township (Remainder of)	\$79,140	2,224	141.59%	
Erie	Huron township (Remainder of)	\$79,225	3,548	141.74%	
Henry	Flatrock township (Remainder of)	\$80,236	962	143.55%	
Erie	Oxford township	\$80,375	1,201	143.80%	
Paulding	Washington township	\$80,461	719	143.96%	
Erie	Berlin township (Remainder of)	\$80,497	3,009	144.02%	1
Ottawa	Allen township (Remainder of)	\$80,752	3,504	144.48%	i e
Defiance	Adams township	\$81,579	947	145.96%	1
Wood	Haskins village	\$81,705	1,188	146.18%	
Defiance	Milford township	\$83,750	1,081	149.84%	1
Wood	Middleton township (Remainder of)	\$84,802	3,266	151.72%	İ
Erie	Milan township (Remainder of)	\$85,062	2,602	152.19%	
Wood	Perrysburg city	\$87,947	20,623	157.35%	
Wood	Perry township (Remainder of)	\$88,081	1,431	157.59%	
Henry	Richfield township	\$92,500	682	165.49%	
Wood	Washington township (Remainder of)	\$96,023	1,474	171.80%	
Wood	Portage township (Remainder of)	\$96,456	1,083	172.57%	
Wood	Center township	\$97,337	1,206	174.15%	
Henry	Napoleon township (Remainder of)	\$106,710	1,551	190.92%	

COUNTY CONTRICT OF COURSE	17		ng Sheet, Round 36					_	_								Revised 06	/29/2021	
Control Cont																	PROJECT NUM	BER:	_
## PROPERTY OF ECONOMISMS PROPERTY OF ECONOMISMS											-								
Part		WEIGHT	CAITERIA TO SE CONSIDERED	PRORITY					'A' x'B'					PRORITY	FACTORS			No	
DECTION DEPONSORION	1	FACTOR		0	2	4	8	8	10	7	<u>L</u>								t
Page	1	1	(REPAIR OR REPLACE) vs. (NEW OR EXPANSION)			Г		П			Г		0%+	20%+	40%+	60%+	+263	100%+	Τ
1 Coccinion of the property of the propert													Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement		Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	
Controlled Con			CONTROL WINDOWS	·C	2	4	6	ō	10		T		0	2	4	6	1:8	10	†
		1	CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 35 Scoring Methodology. Wast submit substantiating documentation, (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)										Excellent	Good	Fair	Fading	Peor	Falling	2
Contention				-0	1	2	-3	4	5										T.
Part	"		AGE																2
2											San	nitary Sewer, Water oply, Storm Water,						50+ Yrs	
Substitution of Experience Months of Expe		_	Printed the Alexander	0	.2	4	8	8	10		Г		0	2	4	- 6	6	10	T
2 COMMINISTRATION Commin		2	SAFETY CONCERNS Submittels without supporting documentation will receive 0 points										No impact	Minimal	Moderate	Vajor	Critical	Extremely Critical	
Prescription of Local Date o	+			-0	2	4	8	8	10	- 19	-		0 .	2	4	6	8	10	+
ON INTERPRISENCE	4	2	Percentage of Local Share (Local hinds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax										0%	10%	50%	30%	40%	50%	
Country of the Territorian of Country of	5	1	OTHER FUNDING	⊕0	2	4.	6	8	10		Г	5 8)	0	2	4	- 6	8	10	
FILINGS RECURSTREP Please Infriest Script Service 98 of the Blood of 30 Annotation of the Blood of Script Service Scr			(Excluding Issue II Funds) (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant, including GRIs, Contributions, etc.—must submit copy of award or stabus										0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
Grand or Lean Only Grand or Lean Only Grand Continues	3		FUNDS REQUESTED Please refer to Criteria #5 of the Round 35									34							1000
Lean Orly STOROGO \$400,000 \$315,001 \$375,001	T	2	Constant are Cale				١.				1			-5	0	8	9	10	1
Carrad, A.D. an Combination 4 4 0 8 10	1		OFFICE CONTONE		1	Ľ	Ľ				1		Losn Only	\$400,001 to	\$115.001	\$275.001	\$175.001	\$175,000	1
Combits also													or more						
use the a second dead is belief of Grant Access from the score the botal (grant and loan combines). Use the lower of the box as the second. 1		2	Grant /Loan Combination	.9	-8		8	9	10				Combination \$750,000					\$262,500 crless	
1 JOB CREATION/RETENTION 0 2 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6			When scoring a project that is only use the second chart labeled "Gran	gran MLos	t or	only only	loar	on'	to se	core the to	hart l	abeled "Grant or Loan C rant and loan combined	only". When scorin	g a grantfoan o	ombination, score	the project for th	a grant in the fir	st chart, then	•
1 SOB CREATION SET PROCEED 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	т	_		-	_	-	_				Т	0 CV CVIII CV CV CV	DESCRIPTION OF STREET	and the second	-	6			7
DEMERTY TO EDISTING USERS County	7	1	Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentation in the form of a comminent letter										D-8 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15-24 Jobs	25+ Jobs			
County Subcommittee Counts within the process of the District desting and district destination and district desired district destination and district destination	1			0	2	4	8	8	10	- 2	Т		0			8		10	1
COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRORITY POINTS (BY OSRED NAT POINTS (BY OSRED NA			(households or traffic counts) Eqivalent dwelling unit direct connections, Traffic Counts within two years with certified										0 -99 Users			500 - 749 Users		1000+ Users	
UMB as a percentage of the District Vedan MH 100%	-	-	ECONOMIC DISTRESS. Less	0	1	2	Г				Γ		0	1	2				F
Plans Not Begin Preliminary Final Design Complete 1 SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115) Other hids: Ocean this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO Altach impact statement if yes, Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO OSCRETIONARY POINTS (BY OSTRETO ONLY) (MAX.=) OSTRETONARY POINTS (BY OSTRETO ONLY) (MAX.=)			MHI as a percentage of the District										100%+	50%-100%	Less Than 80%				
Plans Not Begun Yet Complete SUBITOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX = 113) Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO After those project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO After Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PROGRITY POINTS (25-20-15) DISTRICT ONLY] (MAX = 1) OUTSTRICT ONLY] (MAX = 1) COMMITTEE COMMITTEE PROGRITY POINTS (BY OUTSTRICT ONLY] (MAX = 1) COMMITTEE COMMITTEE COMMITTEE DISTRICT ONLY] (MAX = 1) COMMITTEE COMMITT	1	[4		0	1,	2		_			T		0	1	2				+
SUBTOTAL RAYKING POINTS (MAX. = 115) Coss this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO Attach impact statement if yes, is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PROGRITY POINTS (EY OSSTRET) ONLY POINTS (EY OSSTRET) ONLY (MAX.=1) Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significant Area-wide, Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significant are wide, Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significant are wide, Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significant are wide, Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significant are wide, Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significant are wide, Community Impact, include documentation to support the claim of significance.	0	1	READINESS TO PROCEED	Г									Plans Not Begun	Engineering					Ī
(MAX. = 415) Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmisend? YES NO Altoch impact statement if yes. Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (SEY OSSERTIONARY POINTS (SEY OSSERTIONARY POINTS (SEY) OSSERTIONARY POINTS (SEY) CONTRUCT OSSERTIONARY POINTS (SEY)	1		SUDIOTAL BANGES SOUTH	L					_		L			Completa	Complete				
PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15) AL DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY USER COMMUNITY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE			(MAX. = 415) Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES IND Altach impact stalement if yes. Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months?																
AN OLICREMONARY POINTS (BY OLIVER) District Discretionary Point may be enabled to projects that demonstartle significant Area-wide, Community impact, include documentation to support the claim of significance. So OLICREMONARY POINTS (BY OLIVER) DISCREMONARY POINTS (BY OLIVER) DISCREMO	_1		PRIORITY POINTS (25.20.15)					_			Г								
OISTRICT ONLY] (MAX=1) Community impact. Include documentation to support the claim of significance. OISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY County Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstants that the entity has made	2			L	_		_				L		Ostrict Disease	ary Point may b	a parted to no	acts that damage	lada eleniforad	Atanaida Co	mh e
DISTRICT ONLY] (WAX-1) Instability rate structure.	2 2A		DISTRICT ONLY (MAX =1)	1							1		Community Impa	L Include door	mentalion to supp	ort the claim of s	ignificance.	804,000	
	A		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY	L.							╁-		District Discretion	ary Pointmay b	e awarded to pro	ects that demons	tarte that the en	aty has maximiz	ied