

Equal Opportunity · Affirmative Action Employer

September 11, 2020

Mr. Steve Poggiali Regional Planning Director Erie County Services Center 2900 Columbus Avenue Sandusky, Ohio 44870

Re:

Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2

City of Vermilion, Ohio

Dear Mr. Poggiali:

On behalf of the City of Vermilion, we respectfully submit this year's Ohio Public Works Commission Round 35 SCIP Application to you for the above referenced project. The application was prepared according to our understanding of the project.

If we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact me.

Respectfully,

BRAMHALL ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING COMPANY

City of Vermilion Consulting Engineers

オームル

Christopher L. Howard, P.E., CPESC

City Engineer

Cc: Mayor Jim Forthofer

Tony Valerius, Director of Public Services



State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

	Applicant: City of Vermilion		Subdivision	on Code: <u>(</u>	043-79716
icant	District Number: 5 County:	Erie		Date:	09/10/2020
Applicant	Contact: Tony Valerius (The individual who will be available during	business hours and who can best answer or coor	dinate the response to questions)	Phone: \(\)	440) 204-2424
	Email: tonyvalerius@vermilion.net			FAX: _	440) 204-2411
	Project Name: Hollyview Drive Reconst	ruction, Phase 2		Zip Code	44089
	Subdivision Type	Project Type	Funding	Request S	ummary
بپ	(Select one)	(Select single largest component by \$)	(Automatically populates fr	om page 2)	
Project	1. County	X 1. Road	Total Project Cost:		794,988 .00
Pro	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant:		325,000 .00
	3. Township	3. Water Supply	2. Loan:		137,500 .00
	4. Village	4. Wastewater	 Loan Assista Credit Enhar 		00.00
	5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste			100 500
		6. Stormwater	Funding Requested:		<u>462,500</u> .00
Di	istrict Recommendation	(To be completed by the District Co	ommittee)		
(Sel	Funding Type Requested	SCIP Loan - Rate:%	Term: Yrs A	mount: _	.00
	State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate:%	Term: Yrs A	mount: _	.00
	Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:	A	mount: _	.00
Ш	Revolving Loan Program	LTIP:	^	mount	.00
	Small Government Program	LIIF.	<i>A</i>	inount	.00
	District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Credit E	nhancement: A	mount: _	.00
Fo	r OPWC Use Only				
	STATUS	Grant Amount:	00 Loan Typ	ре: 🗌 S	SCIP RLP
Proje	ct Number:	Loan Amount:	00 Date Co	nstruction I	≣nd:
-		Total Funding:			
Relea	ase Date:	Local Participation:	% Rate:		_ %
OPW	C Approval:	OPWC Participation:	% Term:		_ Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services				
Preliminary Design:	.00			
Final Design: 61,0	76 .00			
Construction Administration: 61,0	76 .00			
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	122,152	.00	20_ %
Right of Way:	b.)		.00	
Construction:	c.)	610,760	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)		.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	1,000	.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	61,076	.00	10 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	794,988	.00	
1.2 Project Financial Resources Local Resources				
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.00	
Local Revenues:	b.)	332,488	.00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.)		.00	•
ODOT / FHWA PID:	_ d.)		.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)		.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)		.00	
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula Department of Development	g.)	•	00	
Other:	_ h.)		00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	332,488	00	42 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)				
Grant: 70 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	325,000	00	
Loan:30 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	137,500	00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	<u> </u>	00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	462,500	00	58_%
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	794,988 .	00	100_%

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Rep	pair / Replacement or New / Exp	oansion				
	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Repla	cement:	794,	.00	100 %	A Farmland Preservation letter
	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion	on:		0.00	0 %	is required for any impact to farmland
	2.3 Total Project:	-	794,	.00 .88	100 %	
					1	
3.0 Proj	ject Schedule					
-	3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:	07/05/2021	End Date:	12/03/2	2021
	3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:	01/10/2022	End Date:	02/25/2	2022
	3.3 Construction	Begin Date:	04/04/2022	End Date:	08/31/2	2022
	Construction cannot begin prior to release of	executed Projec	t Agreement and i	ssuance of N	lotice to P	roceed.
	Failure to meet project schedule may res Modification of dates must be requested Commission once the Project Agreement	in writing by pro	ject official of re			
4.0 Proj	ect Information					
lf ti	he project is multi-jurisdictional, information	must be consol	idated in this sec	tion.		
4.1 L	Jseful Life / Cost Estimate / Age	of Infrastru	ucture			
Pro	oject Useful Life: <u>20</u> Years Age:	1962	_ (Year built or ye	ear of last ma	jor improve	ement)
	Attach Registered Professional Engineer's project's useful life indicated above and de			nd signature	e confirmi	ng the
4.2 L	Jser Information					
Ro	oad or Bridge: Current ADT <u>383</u>	Year <u>2018</u>	Projected	ADT49	98 Year _	2038
Wa	ater / Wastewater: Based on monthly usa	ge of 4,500 gall	ons per househo	ld; attach cu	rrent ordir	nances.
	Residential Water Rate	Current \$	S	Proposed \$		
	Number of households served:	_				
	Residential Wastewater Rate	Current \$	3	Proposed \$		
	Number of households served:	_				

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

The project is located on Hollyview Drive in the City of Vermilion, Erie County, and extends from the intersection of Hollyview Drive and Forestview Drive (west terminus) to Hollyview Drive and Mapleview Drive (east terminus).

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

This project includes replacement of the existing deteriorated concrete roadway with new asphalt pavement with concrete curb and gutter. The existing subgrade will be undercut 12" and replaced with granular material and suitable backfill prior to placing the new 15" thick pavement section. The existing curb ramps at Forestview Drive and Mapleview Drive will be replaced with ADA compliant curb ramps. Ancillary items include new curb inlets and underdrains for improved drainage, driveway apron reconstruction, fire hydrant replacements to the watch valves, replacement of sanitary sewer manholes, adjustment of existing castings to grade, traffic control, maintenance of traffic, and final seeding and mulching of the roadside at the completion of construction.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

Approximately 1,265 linear feet of existing 7" thick concrete pavement will be replaced with a new 15" thick pavement section. The existing roadway is approximately 27' wide (back to back of curb). The proposed typical section will generally match the existing width of the roadway and consist of 2-11' lanes with concrete curb and gutter for a total width of 27' (back to back of curb).

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer

(Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Jim Forthofer Name: Mayor Title: Address: 5511 Liberty Avenue Vermilion City: ____ State: OH Zip: 44089 (440) 204-2402 Phone: (440) 204-2411 FAX: JimForthofer@vermilion.net E-Mail: 5.2 Chief Financial Officer (Can not also serve as CEO) Amy L. Hendricks Name: Director of Finance Title: Address: 5511 Liberty Avenue Vermilion City: (440) 204-2425 Phone: (440) 204-2423 FAX: amyhendricks@vermilion.net E-Mail: 5.3 Project Manager Tony Valerius Name: Director of Public Service Title: Address: 5511 Liberty Avenue Vermilion ____ State: OH Zip: 44089 City: (440) 204-2424 Phone: (440) 204-2411 FAX:

tonyvalerius@vermilion.net

E-Mail:

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share 1 funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 1 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland. Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form. Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking

7.0 Applicant Certification

Integrating Committee.

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Jim Forthofer, Mayor

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15

Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 City of Vermilion, Ohio Index of Attachments

Authorizing Legislation

Chief Financial Officer's Certification of Local Funds

Detailed Cost Estimate/Useful Life Statement

Project Location Map

Project Narrative

Project ADT

PCR Form

Project Photo Log

District 5 Capital Improvement Projects Questionnaire Round 35

RECORD OF ORDINANCES

BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO Form 62205 Ordinance No. 2020R-11 Passed. A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION CAPITAL **IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM** AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED. WHEREAS, the City of Vermilion of Lorain and Erie County, Ohio met this 17th day of August 2020, in regular session with the following members present: Emily Skahen, Ward One Frank Loucka, Ward Two Steve Holovacs, Ward Three Barb Brady, Ward Four Brian Holmes, Ward Five Monica Stark, Council at Large Steve Herron, Council President WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure; and Holly where As, the City of Vermilion is planning to make capital improvements to the WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Vermilion, Counties of Erie and Lorain, State of Ohio: SECTION 1: That the Mayor of the City of Vermilion, Ohio is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above; and SECTION 2: That the Mayor is further authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance; and THAT, this City of Vermilion hereby finds and determines that all formal actions relative to the adoption of this Resolution were taken in an open meeting of this Board; and that all deliberations of this Board and of its committees, if any, which resulted in formal action, were taken in meetings open to the public, in full compliance with applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Revised Code. WHEREFORE, this Resolution is hereby declared to be an emergency measure necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety, and welfare for the further reason that the City needs to apply and pursue all grants available; wherefore this Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and immediately after its passage and approval by the Mayor. PASSED: teve Herron, President of Council ATTEST: APPROVED: 7.17 Gwen Fisher, Clerk of Council Jim Forthofer, Mayor Mr. Holmes introduced the following Resolution and moved its adoption:

seconded the motion for the adoption of said Resolution; and the roll call

M1. 40 010 25

being called upon its adoption, the voted resulted as follows:

THE CITY OF VERMILION



CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL FUNDS / LOAN REPAYMENT LETTER

September 10, 2020

- I, Amy Hendricks, Finance Director of the City of Vermilion, hereby certify that the City of Vermilion has the amount of \$332,488 in the Road Improvement Levy Fund (200) and/or the Permissive Use Tax Fund (203) and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 Project when it is required.
- I, Amy Hendricks, Finance Director of the City of Vermilion, hereby certify that the City of Vermilion has / will have / will collect the amount of \$137,500 in the Road Improvement Levy Fund (200) and/or the Permissive Use Tax Fund (203) and that this amount will be used to repay the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP loan requested for the Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 Project over a 20 year term.

Amy L. Hendricks

Finance Director

City of Vermilion, Ohio

5511 Liberty Avenue Vermilion, Ohio 44089

Phone: (440) 204-2425 Fax: (440) 204-2423

E-mail: amyhendricks@vermilion.net

Hendricks

1	REF.	ODOT	DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED	UNIT	ESTIMATED UNIT PRICE	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST
1 202 PAYMANT REMOVAL, CONCRETE, INCLUDING INTEGRAL CURIN, T-17" AVIS. 4235 SY \$ 1,000 \$ 4,23,800.00			DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	·	PRICE	COST
2				T	Π		
3 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION, INCL. PROOF ROLL 4255 SV \$ 1.00 \$ 4,255.00	220		UNDERCUT EXCAVATION OF PAVEMENT SUBGRADE INCLUDING OFFSITE				
4 204 GRANULAS MATERIAL, TYPE B FOR INDORECTI BACKPIL, T-12" AVG. 1412 CY 5 40,00 \$ 55,680.07							
S							
		o re s		1412	CY	\$ 40.00	\$ 56,480.00
Total			6" PERFORATED UNDERDRAIN (PERFORATIONS DOWN) SDR 35 PVC, ASTM				
Solution							
8		•		2414	LF	\$ 22.00	\$ 53,108.00
DECAMATION, T-4", AS REQUIRED FOR AGGREGATE BASE REPAIR INCLUDING 10 233 EXCAMATION, T-10", FOR MINOR DRIVE WIDENING AREAS 5 CY 5 40.00 5 20.000 11 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION 380 57 5 1.00 5 380.000 12 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T-4", (NO SLAG) 42 CY 5 45.00 5 1,890.000 13 452 NON REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENT, T-5" CLASS QC MS 380 37 5 50.00 5 19.000.000 14 202 CONCRETE WALK REMOVED 445 5F 5 2.00 5 50.000 15 203 EXCAMATION T-4" INCLUDING OFFSITE DISPOSAL 6 CY 5 45.00 5 240.000 16 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION 56 56.000 5 56.000 17 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T-4" (NO SLAG) 6 CY 5 45.00 5 270.000 18 608 DETECTABLE WARRING 48 SF 5 40.00 5 5.000 19 608 CONCRETE WALK T-4" (MS) 600 CONCRETE WALK T-4" (MS)	DRIVEWA	AY REPAIR					
9 2030 OFFSTEE OSPOSAL 10 2038 EXCANATION, T-10", FOR MINOR DRIVE WIDENING AREAS 5 CY \$ 40.00 \$ 200.00 11 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION 12 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T-4", (NO SLAG) 12 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T-4", (NO SLAG) 13 452 NON REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENT, T-6" CLASS QC MS 13 452 NON REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENT, T-6" CLASS QC MS 14 202 CONCRETE WALK PEMOVED 15 203 EXCANATION T-4" INCLUDING OFFSITE DISPOSAL 16 CY \$ 40.00 \$ 200.00 17 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T-4" (NO SLAG) 18 608 DETECTABLE WARKINS 19 608 CONCRETE WALK T-4" (MS) 19 608 CONCRETE WALK T-4" (MS) 19 608 CONCRETE WALK T-4" (MS) 20 203 AVG. 20 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T-5" (NO SLAG) 21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T-1-1/4" 22 4 41 ASPHALT SITEMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T-1-1/4" 24 14 ASPHALT SITEMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T-1-1/4" 26 644 CROSSWALK 27 643 SIDENIAL AND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND 28 ERROYAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND 29 643 HORDING OF STEED OR SERVING COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T-1-1/4" 20 7 5 100.0 \$ 150.00 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	8	202		380	SY	\$ 10.00	\$ 3,800.00
11 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION 380 SY \$ 1.00 \$ 380.000	9	203		42	СУ	\$ 10.00	\$ 420.00
12 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T=4", (NO SLAG) 42 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 1,890.00	10	203	EXCAVATION, T=10", FOR MINOR DRIVE WIDENING AREAS	5	CY	\$ 40.00	\$ 200.00
380 SY S 50.00 \$ 19,000.00	11	204	SUBGRADE COMPACTION	380	SY	\$ 1.00	\$ 380.00
SIDEWALK AND CURB RAMP REPAIRS 14 202 CONCRETE WALK REMOVED 445 SF \$ 2.00 \$ 890.00 15 203 EXCAVATION T=4"INCLUDING OFFSITE DISPOSAL 6 CY \$ 40.00 \$ 240.00 16 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION 56 SY \$ 1.00 \$ 56.00 17 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T=4"(NO SLAG) 6 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 1,920.00 18 608 DETECTABLE WARNING 48 SF \$ 40.00 \$ 1,920.00 19 608 CONCRETE WALK T=4"(MS) 500 SF \$ 6.00 \$ 3,000.00 AVEWENT 20 203 AVG. 203 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 471 CY \$ 160.00 \$ 75,360.00 21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 471 CY \$ 160.00 \$ 75,360.00 22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 941 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 42,245.00 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 508 GAL \$ 4.50 \$ 2,286.00 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1.1/4" 147 CY \$ 190.00 \$ 27,930.00 RAFFIC CONTROL 26 644 CROSSWAIK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 46,000.00 TILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 TILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 1,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 1,250.00	12	304	LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T=4", (NO SLAG)	42	CY	\$ 45.00	\$ 1,890.00
14 202 CONCRETE WALK REMOVED	13	452	NON REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENT, T=6" CLASS QC MS	380	SY	\$ 50.00	\$ 19,000.00
15	SIDEWAL	K AND CUI	RB RAMP REPAIRS				
16 204 SUBGRADE COMPACTION 56 SY \$ 1.00 \$ 56,000 17 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T=4" (NO SLAG) 6 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 270.000 18 608 DETECTABLE WARNING 48 SF \$ 40.00 \$ 1,920.000 19 608 CONCRETE WALK T=4" (MS) 500 SF \$ 6.00 \$ 3,000.00 2AVEMENT 200 203 AVG. 200 \$ 18,820.00 21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 471 CY \$ 160.00 \$ 75,360.00 22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 941 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 42,345.00 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 508 GAL \$ 4.50 \$ 2,286.00 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 147 CY \$ 190.00 \$ 27,930.00 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 206 CY \$ 185.00 \$ 38,110.00 26 644 CROSSWAIK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 27 644 STOP LINE REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND 4 EACH \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 28 630 REINSTALIATION 4 EACH \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 1,000.00 30 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 32 MATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 32 MATER VALVE	14	202	CONCRETE WALK REMOVED	445	SF	\$ 2.00	\$ 890.00
17 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T=4" (NO SLAG) 6 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 270.00 18 608 DETECTABLE WARNING 48 SF \$ 40.00 \$ 1,920.00 19 608 CONCRETE WALKT=4" (MS) 500 SF \$ 6.00 \$ 3,000.00 PAVEMENT 20 203 EXCAVATION OF PAVEMENT SUBGRADE INCLUDING OFFSITE DISPOSAL, T=8" 941 CY \$ 20.00 \$ 18.820.00 21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 471 CY \$ 160.00 \$ 75,360.00 22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 941 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 42,345.00 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 508 GAL \$ 4.50 \$ 2,286.00 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 147 CY \$ 190.00 \$ 27,930.00 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 206 CY \$ 185.00 \$ 38,110.00 RAFFIC CONTROL 26 644 CROSSWALK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 27 644 STOP LINE 100.00 \$ 4,600.00 28 630 REINSTALLATION MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND 4 EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENTS 21 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 1,250.00	15	203	EXCAVATION T=4" INCLUDING OFFSITE DISPOSAL	6	CY	\$ 40.00	\$ 240.00
18 608 DETECTABLE WARNING	16	204	SUBGRADE COMPACTION	56	SY	\$ 1.00	\$ 56.00
19 608 CONCRETE WALK T=4" (MS) 500 SF \$ 6.00 \$ 3,000.00	17	304	LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE T=4" (NO SLAG)	6	CY	\$ 45.00	\$ 270.00
PAVEMENT 20 203 AVG. 941 CY \$ 20.00 \$ 18,820.00 21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 471 CY \$ 160.00 \$ 75,360.00 22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 941 CY \$ 45.00 \$ 42,345.00 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 508 GAL \$ 4.50 \$ 2,286.00 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 147 CY \$ 190.00 \$ 27,930.00 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 206 CY \$ 185.00 \$ 38,110.00 RAFFIC CONTROL 26 644 CROSSWALK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 27 644 STOP LINE REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND A EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 17ILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 1,250.00	18	608	DETECTABLE WARNING	48	SF	\$ 40.00	\$ 1,920.00
EXCAVATION OF PAVEMENT SUBGRADE INCLUDING OFFSITE DISPOSAL, T=8" 941	19	608	CONCRETE WALK T=4" (MS)	500	SF	\$ 6.00	\$ 3,000.00
20 203 AVG. 941 CY \$ 20.00 \$ 18,820,00 21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 471 CY \$ 160,00 \$ 75,360,00 22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 941 CY \$ 45,00 \$ 42,345,00 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 508 GAL \$ 4.50 \$ 2,286,00 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 147 CY \$ 190,00 \$ 27,930,00 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 206 CY \$ 185,00 \$ 38,110,00 RAFFIC CONTROL 26 644 CROSSWALK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600,00 27 644 STOP LINE REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND A EACH \$ 100,00 \$ 400,00 28 630 REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 100,00 \$ 10,000,00 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000,00 \$ 10,000,00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550,00 \$ 2,750,00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 550,00 \$ 1,250,00	PAVEMEN	IT					
21 301 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4" 22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 26 644 CROSSWALK 27 644 STOP LINE 28 630 REINSTALLATION REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND 28 630 REINSTALLATION 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 20 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 1,250.00 11,250.00 11,250.00 11,250.00 11,250.00	20	203		941	CY	\$ 20.00	\$ 18.820.00
22 304 LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG) 23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 508 GAL \$ 4.50 \$ 2,286.00 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 147 CY \$ 190.00 \$ 27,930.00 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 26 CY \$ 185.00 \$ 38,110.00 RAFFIC CONTROL 27 644 STOP LINE 28 630 REINSTALLATION 28 630 REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 10.00 \$ 400.00 TILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 20 EACH \$ 50,000 \$ 1,250.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 50,000 \$ 1,250.00	21	301	BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 64-22, T=4"				
23 407 NON-TRACKING TACK COAT, 0.06 GAL/SY (2 APPLICATIONS) 24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 26 644 CROSSWALK 27 644 STOP LINE 28 630 REINSTALLATION 28 630 REINSTALLATION 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 20 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 8ACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00 1,250.00	22	304	LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE, T=8" AVG. (NO SLAG)	941	CY	\$ 45.00	
24 441 ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-1/4" 147 CY \$ 190.00 \$ 27,930.00 25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 206 CY \$ 185.00 \$ 38,110.00 RAFFIC CONTROL 26 644 CROSSWALK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 27 644 STOP LINE 24 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 240.00 28 630 REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 VIILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00	23	407					
25 441 ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4" 26 644 CROSSWALK 27 644 STOP LINE REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND 28 630 REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 10.00 \$ 400.00 STILLITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 20 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 30 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00	24	441					
26 644 CROSSWALK 460 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 4,600.00 27 644 STOP LINE 24 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 240.00 28 630 REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 ITILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00	25	441	ASPHALT INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 2 (448), PG 64-22, T=1-3/4"	206	CY	\$ 185.00	
27 644 STOP LINE REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 \$ 100.00 \$	RAFFIC C	ONTROL				8	
27 644 STOP LINE 24 LF \$ 10.00 \$ 240.00 28 630 REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 ITILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00			CROSSWALK	460	LF	\$ 10.00	\$ 4,600.00
28 630 REMOVAL OF GROUND MOUNTED SUPPORTED TRAFFIC SIGN AND REINSTALLATION 4 EACH \$ 100.00 \$ 400.00 ITILITY ADJUSTMENTS 29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00	27	644					
17 17	28	630					
29 623 HYDRANT REMOVED AND REPLACED (INCLUDING WATCH VALVE) 2 EACH \$ 5,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00	JTILITY A	DJUSTMEN	ITS ·				
30 623 MONUMENT BOX 5 EACH \$ 550.00 \$ 2,750.00 31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00				2	EACH	\$ 5.000.00	\$ 10.000 00
31 623 WATER VALVE ADJUSTED TO GRADE 5 EACH \$ 250.00 \$ 1,250.00	30						
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER							
AURITHI E I EACH II S TUDIOU I S AURITHI	32		WATER SERVICE VALVES ADJUSTED TO GRADE	2	EACH		

REF.	ODOT	D. F. G. G. D. F. G.	ESTIMATED		ESTIMATED UNIT	TOTAL ESTIMATED
NO.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	PRICE	COST
STORM S	SEWER IM	PROVEMENTS				
33	202	CURB INLET REMOVED, INCL., OFFSITE DISPOSAL	8	EACH	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,600.00
34	202	MANHOLE REMOVED, INCL., OFFSITE DISPOSAL	3	EACH	\$ 500.00	\$ 1,500.00
35	202	STORM SEWER REMOVED INCL. OFFSITE DISPOSAL, 12" AND UNDER	260	LF	\$ 15.00	\$ 3,900.00
36	611	12" RCP STORM SEWER, INCL. BEDDING, COVER, PREMIUM BACKFILL AND CONCRETE MASONRY COLLARS, COMPLETE IN PLACE	200	LF	\$ 70.00	\$ 14,000.00
37	611	12" HDPE STORM SEWER, INCL. BEDDING, COVER, PREMIUM BACKFILL AND CONCRETE MASONRY COLLARS, COMPLETE IN PLACE	50	LF	\$ 65.00	\$ 3,250.00
38	611	YARD DRAIN, COMPLETE IN PLACE	1	EACH	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
39	611	2'X3' CURB INLET, INCLUDING FRAME AND GRATE & CONCRETE BOX OUT	8	EACH	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 20,000.00
40	611	STORM MANHOLE, ODOT NO.3 WITH 48" BASE	3	EACH	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 10,500.00
41	611	STORM MANHOLE ADJUSTED TO GRADE, REPLACE EXISTING CASTING, REMOVE EXISTING BRICK COURSES AS NECESSARY AND REPLACE WITH PRECAST GRADE RINGS	4	EACH	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 4,400.00
42	611	EXISTING STORM LATERAL TO BE RECONNECTED TO EXISTING STORM SEWER, INCL. CLEANOUT AND KOR-N-BOOT	6	EACH	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 3,000.00
43	611	POST TELEVISING STORM SEWER (INCL. CLEANING, VIDEO AND REPORT)	250	FT	\$ 8.00	\$ 2,000.00
SANITARY	Y SEWER I	MPROVEMENTS			-	
44	611	SANITARY MANHOLE REMOVED AND DISPOSED OFFSITE	5	EACH	\$ 350.00	\$ 1,750.00
45	611	SANITARY MANHOLE, ODOT NO.3 WITH 48" BASE, COMPLETE IN PLACE, INCL CONCRETE BOX OUT BYPASS PUMPING AND PREMIUM BACKFILL	5	EACH	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
46	611	8" PVC SAN SEWER, INCL. BEDDING, COVER, PREMIUM BACKFILL AND SOLID SLEEVE COUPLINGS	50	LF	\$ 75.00	\$ 3,750.00
MISCELLA	NEOUS					,
47	103	PREMIUM FOR CONTRACT PERFORMANCE BOND AND FOR PAYMENT BOND	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
48	614	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	1	LS	\$ 10,000.00	
49	624	MOBILIZATION	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
50	SPEC	MAILBOX REMOVED AND RESET	32	EACH	\$ 125.00	\$ 4,000.00
51	832	SEDIMENT AND EROSION PROTECTION	1	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
52	659	LINEAR GRADING INCLUDING RESTORATION, TOPSOIL T=4" (COMPACTED), SEEDING & MULCHING	1376	SY	\$ 8.00	\$ 11,008.00
53	SPEC	CONSTRUCTION STAKING	1	LS	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00
			·		SUBTOTAL	
					10% CONTINGENCY	\$ 61,076.00
					TOTAL	\$ 671,836.00
						•


The estimated useful life of the Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 Project is 20 years.





Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 Project Location Map Vermilion, Ohio





Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2

Project Narrative

Hollyview Drive is part of a subdivision known as Valleyview Estates in the City of Vermilion. The subdivision was developed in the early 1960's concurrent with the opening of the Ford Assembly Plant on the City's east side. The streets in this subdivision failed early on due to lack of proper base material and substandard drainage. Vermilion has been working to replace the streets in this neighborhood. Most recently, Hollyview Drive has been reconstructed from Sanford Street to Forestview Drive, and Mapleview Drive from Forestview Drive to Hollyview Drive is scheduled to begin construction in spring of 2021. The costs to replace these streets has been high due to the unsuitable material found beneath the pavement which must be removed and replaced.

Hollyview Drive is an important interconnecting street, providing access between Sanford Street and West River Road. This project will complete the pavement replacement of the worst remaining section of Hollyview Drive, from Forestview Drive to Mapleview Drive. The City intends to apply for funding to complete the pavement replacement of the remaining sections in future funding cycles.

A field review and PCR evaluation was conducted in September of 2018 with a resultant PCR of 29.6, which is Very Poor. As shown in the photo log, the pavement exhibits extensive longitudinal and transverse cracking that has been frequently patched over the years. There are areas of base failure that have led to settlement of the existing concrete pavement, which in turn has caused drainage issues along the roadway.

This project will have no impact to farmland.

Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 - ADT Vermilion, Ohio



In 2018, a traffic count ADT of 383 was observed on Hollyview Drive according to ODOT's Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS) data.

Date: 9/25/18	Rated by: JRN/JTS	# of Utility Cuts:	
		2	
	Mapleview Dr.		
4	to	to	
Hollyview Drive	Forestview Dr.		,165'
Section:	Segment Location:	Sta:	Segment Length: 1

PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING FORM

			SEVERITY*	₹ITY*			EXTENT WT.**	WT.**		
	DISTRESS				Rated				Rated	DEDUCT
DISTRESS	WEIGHT		Σ	I	Severity	0	ட	Ш	Extent	POINTS***
SURFACE DETERIORATION	10	0.4	0.7	-	7	9.0	0.8	-	ш	4
ONGITUDINAL JOINT SPALLING	5	0.4	0.7	-		9.0	0.8	_		0
PATCHING	10	0.4	0.7	,	I	0.5	0.8	-	ш	10
PUMPING	15	, -	ς-	~	7	0.3	0.7	+	0	4.5
FAULTING (JOINTS AND CRACKS)	10	0.4	0.7	1	I	0.5	0.8	+	Ш	10
SETTLEMENTS	0	0	0	0		0	0	_		0
TRANSVERSE JOINT SPALLING										
NOTE IF D-CRACKED)	10	0.4	0.7	_	Σ	0.5	8.0	~	ഥ	5.6
RANSVERSE CRACKING (PLAIN CONC.)	15	-	-	,	I	0.5	0.8	+	Ш	15
PRESSURE DAMAGE/UPHEAVAL	5	4	_	_	-	0.5	0.8	-	Ш	5
TRANSVERSE CRACKING (REINF. CONC.)	15	0.1	0.8	_		0.4	0.8	+		0
ONGITUDINAL CRACKING	10	0.5	0.7	-	Z	0.4	6.0	+	ш	6.3
CORNER BREAKS	10	9.0	9.0	-	Ŧ	0.5	0.8	+	Ш	10
L = LOW **O = OCCASIONAL								TOTAL DEDUCT =	DUCT =	70.4
M = MEDIUM F = FREQUENT						SUM OF S.	SUM OF STRUCTURAL DEDUCT (+) =	AL DEDU	CT (+) =	45.8
H = HIGH $E = EXTENSIVE$						100	100 - TOTAL DEDUCT = PCR =	EDUCT :	= PCR =	29.6


***DEDUCT POINTS = DISTRESS WEIGHT x SEVERITY WT. x EXTENT WT.

Very Poor

PCR: General Appraisal:

Remarks: Extensive longitudinal, transverse and corner patching with durapatch. Middle section has full depth joint replacement. Few catch basins. Isolated areas of base failure, holding water. Terrible rideability, each panel acts like a speed bump.

City of Vermilion Hollyview Drive Reconstruction, Phase 2 Photo Log



Picture 1 – Hollyview Drive at intersection with Forestview Drive. Concrete pavement is patched at most intersections of longitudinal and lateral joints. Ponding evident due to poor slope and localized failures.



Picture 2 – Hollyview Drive approx. 250' east of Forestview Drive. Shows full depth slab replacement and joint repairs.



Picture 3 – Hollyview Drive approx. 200' south of intersection with Mapleview Drive. Lack of drainage in this section and longitudinal profile of roadway provides poor rideability at each concrete panel joint causing a noticeable bump.



Picture 4 – Hollyview Drive approx. 150' south of intersection with Mapleview Drive. Transverse, longitudinal and diagonal cracking in several panels indicate multiple base failures which are contributing to the drainage issues.

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 35

Name of App	licant: City of Vermilion, Ohio	
Project Title:	Hollyview Drive Reconstruction,	Phase 2

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1.	What pe	rcentag	ge of th	e project	in repair A= _	_%, rep	lacement B=	<u>100%,</u>	, expan	sion (C=_	_%, a	ind new	v D=
	%?	(Use	dollar	amounts	of project t	o figure	percentages	and	make	sure	the	total	equals	one
	hundred	(100) p	ercent)) A+B=_	% C+D=	% 0	RC Referenc	e(s):1	64.06(B)(1);	164.	14(E)	(10)	

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2);
164.14(E)(8)

Points	Category	Description	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards - Complete Pavement Reconstruction -Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a significant part of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard

6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Replacement of pumps, hydrants, valves, filters, etc in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair ·	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	,
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

Life	20	30	50
Project		Wastewater and Water	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary
Type	Road	Treatment	Sewer, Water Supply,
9			Storm Water, Solid
			Waste
Points			
- 0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*

Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal:

Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact:

Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:

Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road:

Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance:

Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:

0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical:

27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major:

51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate:

66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal:

81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact:

Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical:

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

^{*(4}R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional payement. etc.).

Critical:

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major:

Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal:

New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical:

Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major:

Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal:

New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS</u> (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:

Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major:

Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal:

Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact:

No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical:

Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major:

EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate:

Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Struc

Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical:

Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major:

Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate:

Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical:

Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.

Critical:

Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.

Major:

Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.

Moderate:

Increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal:

New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Critical:

There is a present health and/or safety threat.

	Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.											
	Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.											
	Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.											
	Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.											
	No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.											
	NOTE:	Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.											
	(Submittals	without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)											
	Extremely C	Critical, Critical, Major, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain											
	your answer.	•											
	(Additional n	arrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)											
4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project												
	cost. ORC Reference164.06(B)(6); ORC164.06(B)(3)												
	A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$_332,488												
	B.) Total Pro												
	Note: Local	LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A B) = 42 % funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be ough local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.											
5.	as a percentag	mount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding <u>SCIP or LTIP Funds</u> , ge of the total project cost. ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(7);164.14(E)(4) % Gifts%, Contributions%											
	Other%	(explain), Total <u>0</u> %											
	Note: Grant should be con	funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant sidered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.											
6.	Total Amount	t of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the											

Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. ORC Reference(s):164.14(E)(10);164.06(B)(5)

	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 X \$275,001-\$325,000 \$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less												
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:												
	YES X NO (This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.												
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-												
	time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes No _X If yes, how												
	many jobs within eighteen months? Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be												
	permanently lost? Yes No X. If yes, how many jobs will be created/retrained within 18												
	months following the completion of the improvements?												
	ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)												
	(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that												
	specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or												
	improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media												
	news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development												
	Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the												
	infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will												
	receive 0 points for this question.)												
8.	What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if												
	completed? <u>383</u> (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you												
	arrived at your number.) ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10) Traffic Count per ODOT's Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS)												
9.	Economic Distress Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)												
	What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income?												
	97.92 %. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data												
	provided in Exhibit A.												

10.	Readiness to Proceed Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)											
	Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.											
	X Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)											
	Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)											
	Final Design Complete (2 Points)											
11.	Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= 82											
12.	County Subcommittee Priority Points=											
12.	(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)											
	(20 20 10 10 miles for the Best that Bill Troject Catogories)											
13.	DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)											
13a.	A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide,											
200.	County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance)											
	(Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee)											
	ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)											
13b.	A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has											
	maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the											
	water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for											
	combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only											
	systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for											
	discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive											
	Committee) ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)											
14.	Grand Total of Points											
15.	Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No X If yes, continue. You may want to											
	design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current											
	OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The											
	Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at											
ttps://	/www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019											
	-071749-143											

16. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small

Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at
- https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government
- Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 35 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 35 by accessing the OPWC Website at

 $\frac{https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment\%20Round\%2035\%20Methodology.pdf?}{ver=2019-08-07-071749-143}$

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 35.

Date: Signature:

-

Title:

Mayor

Address:

_5511 Liberty Avenue, Vermilion, Ohio 44089

Phone:

440-204-2402

FAX:

440-204-2411

Email:

jimforthofer@vermilion.net

Di Caj Prid	Strict 5 pital Impl ority Rati	o Provement Project Ing Sheet, Round 35																
	COUNTY	r: Erie	nst	tru	cti	on	P	has	se 2							PROJECT NUM	BER:	_
EST. COST: CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED WEIGHT				*B* PRIORITY FACTORS				Y		'A" x "B"		PRIORITY FACTORS						
	FACTOR	2.23 m - 2.40 m - 2.24	t	0 2	1	4	6	8 1	10	Lee II	Standard Co.	0	2	4	6	8	10	
1	1	(REPAIR OR REPLACE) VB. (NEW OR EXPANSION)					-			10		0% + Repair or Replacement	20% + Repair or Replacement	40% + Repair or Replacement	60%+ Repair or Replacement	80%+ Repair or Replacement	100%+ Repair or Replacement	1
2A	1	EXISTING PHYSICAL	t	0 2	1	4	6	8 1	10			0	2	4	6	8	10	2A
25		CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 35 Scoring Methodology. Must submit substantiating documentation. (100% New or					-			10		Excellent	Good	Fair	Fading	Poor	Falling	24
2B	1	Expansion = 0 Points)	1	0 1	#	2	3	4	6		Type Road	0	1	2	3	4	5	20
28	•	AGE								5	Wastewaler Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water	0-4 Yrs 0-6 Yrs 0-10 Yrs	5-8 Yrs 7-12 Yrs 11-20 Yrs	9-12 Yrs 13-18 Yrs 21-30 Yrs	13-16 Yrs 19-24 Yrs	17-20 Yrs 25-30 Yrs 41-50 Yrs	20+ Yrs 30+ Yrs	28
			L		1						Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste	2.70			31-40 Yrs	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	50+ Yrs	
3	2	PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR	Т	0 2	+	4	6	8 1	10	Married Co.		0	2	4	6	8	10	3
		Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.								20		No Impact	Minimal	Moderale	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	
_			1	0 2	1	4	6	8 1	10	-1018	la constant	0	2	4	6	8	10	
4	2	LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues).*								16		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	1
5	1	OTHER FUNDING	-	0 2	+	4	6	8 1	0 -	the multi-	学生的工学 位	0	2	4	6	8	10	5
35		(Excluding Issue II Funds) (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant; including Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must submit copy of award or status letter.)								0		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
6		OPWC GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED Please refer to Criteria #6 of the Round 35 Methodology for clarification.		Ī	Ī			T	T								Silve V	6
	2	Grant or Loan Only		9 -8	,	,	В	9 1	0	16		-9 Grant or	-8	0	8	9	10	6
	1.5		1.00		1		8	8 3		-	1.5.7.6.1	\$500,001	\$400,001 to	\$325,001	\$275,001	\$175,001	\$175,000	-
1	2		Г	Τ	T	T	T	T	T	-		or more Grant/Loan Combination	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	orless	角
		Grant /Loan Combination When scoring a project that is only	-g	into	10	nly I	oan.	Ple	ase u	16	hart labeled "Grant or Loar	\$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000 ring a granVloar	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500 ore the project fo	\$262,501 to \$412,500 the grant in the	\$262,500 or less	6
_		then use the second chart labeled	_	_	-	-	-	inati	on" t	o score t	he total (grant and loan co		ALL DE LA COLLEGIA DE	_				
7	1	JOB CREATION/RETENTION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentalon in the form of a commiment letter from business or third party entity.	0	2		1	5			0		0-6 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15-24 Jobs	6 25+ Jobs			7
		To business of the Massica per	0	2	†	=	3 1	3 1	0	al Elika		0	2	- 4	6	8	10	- 1
8	1	BENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS (households or traffic counts) Equipment uncertify unit or occ connections. Traffic Counts within								4		0 -99 Users	100 - 349 Users	350 - 499 Users	500 - 749 Users	750 - 1000 Users	1000+ Users	8
		two years with certified documentation, etc.	L	L	L	1			L				1 m ()	2 5.03				
9	1	ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local MHI as a percentage of the District Median MHI	0	1	1	2				1		100%+	80%-100%	2 Less Than 80%				9
		The later lates — 12 —	0	1	1	2		-	_	-00		0	1	2	4.5			
0	1	READINESS TO PROCEED										Plans Not Begun Yet	Preliminary Engineering Complete	Final Design Complete				10
1		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX = 115)					82		Other Info: Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO Attach impact statement if yes. Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO									
12 3A		COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15) DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY		_				_				District Discretion					Area-wide, Cou	unty,
3B		DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1) DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY							ļ			or Community Imp	oact. Include do	cumentaion to su	pport the claim of	of significance.		
		DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1)	_									financial resource	s including assi	essments and util	ity rate structure.		y maa maximi	
4		GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS																