

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form. Applicant: Village of Bloomdale ______ Subdivision Code: <u>173-07062</u> **Applicant** District Number: 5 County: Wood 09/10/2021 Date: Contact: Mayor Stephen A Schafer Phone: (419) 454-2941 (The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions) Email: villageofbloomdale@gmail.com <u>(419) 454-211</u>9 FAX: Project Name: Bloomdale Storm Sewer 44817 Zip Code: _ Subdivision Type **Project Type Funding Request Summary** (Select one) (Select single largest component by \$) (Automatically populates from page 2) Project 1. County **Total Project Cost:** 689,581 .00 1. Road 168,948 .00 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 1. Grant: 168,948 .00 3. Township 3. Water Supply 2. Loan: 4. Village 4. Wastewater 3. Loan Assistance/ 0 .00 Credit Enhancement: 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste Funding Requested: .00 337,896 6. Stormwater **District Recommendation** (To be completed by the District Committee) Funding Type Requested SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: ____ Yrs Amount: ______.00 (Select one) RLP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: ____ Yrs Amount: ______.00 State Capital Improvement Program Local Transportation Improvement Program Amount: ______ .00 Grant: Revolving Loan Program Amount: ______.00 LTIP: **Small Government Program** Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: .00 District SG Priority: _ Amount: _ For OPWC Use Only **STATUS** Loan Type: SCIP RLP Grant Amount: ______.00 Project Number: Loan Amount: ______.00 Date Construction End: Total Funding: _____.00 Date Maturity: Local Participation: _____ Rate: Release Date: OPWC Approval: _ OPWC Participation: _____ Term: Yrs

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 1 of 6

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services					
Preliminary Design:	5,000 .00				
Final Design:	32,250 .00				
Construction Administration:	26,250 .00				
Total Engineering Services:		a.)	63,500	.00	11 %
Right of Way:		b.)		.00	
Construction:		c.)	568,710	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:		d.)		.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:		e.)	500	.00	
Construction Contingencies:		f.)	56,871	.00	10_ %
Total Estimated Costs:		g.)	689,581	.00	
1.2 Project Financial Resource	S				
Local Resources					
Local In-Kind or Force Account:		a.)		.00	
Local Revenues:		b.)	271,685	.00	
Other Public Revenues:		c.)		.00	
ODOT/FHWA PID:		d.)		.00	
USDA Rural Development:		e.)		.00	
OEPA / OWDA:		f.)		.00	
CDBG: County Entitlement or Comn Department of Developmen	•	g.)		.00	
Other: Wood County		h.)	80,000	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:		i.)	351,685	.00	51_ %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested ar	nd enter Amount)				
Grant: 50 % of OPWC F	unds	j.)	168,948	.00	
Loan: 50 % of OPWC F	unds	k.)	168,948	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhar	ncement:	l.)	0	.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:		m.)	337,896	.00	49_%
Total Financial Resources:		n.)	689,581	.00	100_ %

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 2 of 6

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Rep	air / Replacement or New / Expa	ansion				
	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replace	689,5	<u>581</u> .00 _	100 %	A Farmland Preservation letter is	
	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion		0.00	0 %	required for any impact to farmland	
	2.3 Total Project:	-	689,5	<u>581</u> .00 _	100 %	
3.0 Proj	ect Schedule					
	3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:	05/01/2021	End Date:	12/01/2	2021
	3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:	08/01/2022	End Date:	09/15/2	2022
	3.3 Construction	Begin Date:	10/01/2021	End Date:	06/01/2	2023
	Construction cannot begin prior to release of ea	xecuted Projec	Agreement and i	ssuance of N	otice to P	roceed.
1 O Proje	Failure to meet project schedule may resul Modification of dates must be requested in Commission once the Project Agreement hect Information	writing by pro	ject official of re			
•						
	ne project is multi-jurisdictional, information m			tion.		
4.1 U	Iseful Life / Cost Estimate / Age	of Infrastru	ıcture			
Pro	oject Useful Life: <u>40</u> Years Age: _	2012	_ (Year built or ye	ear of last maj	or improve	ement)
	Attach Registered Professional Engineer's s project's useful life indicated above and deta			nd signature	confirmi	ng the
4.2 U	lser Information					
Ro	ad or Bridge: Current ADT	Year	Projected	ADT	Year ـ	
Wa	ater / Wastewater: Based on monthly usag	e of 4,500 gall	ons per househo	ld; attach cui	rent ordi	nances.
	Residential Water Rate	Current S	S	Proposed \$		
	Number of households served:					
	Residential Wastewater Rate	Current S	S	Proposed \$		
	Number of households served:					

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: __

59

4.3 Project Description

655' 12" Storm Sewer

along with 17 drainage structures.

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit. Village of Bloomdale - Bloomdale Road from Sterns Road South to Buckeye Street. Includes Sunset Drive, Hickory Street, Buckeye Street and N. Garfield Street. B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit. Project will replace existing 4" thru 18" storm sewers that are undersized and failing. Storm sewers will be replaced with new HDPE/PVC Storm sewers including the Northside outlet along Bloomdale Road to Sterns Road tieing into an existing county sewer. Sewer replacement will also occur on N. Garfield Street, Sunset Drive, Hickory Street, Buckeye Street and N. Main Street. This project will also include installing approximately 17 drainage structures. Restoration work will include seeding and mulching, pavement repair, etc. C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc. in detail.) 500 character limit. Existing storm sewers consist of 4" thru 18" sewers. They will be replaced with the following: 1,255' of 33" Storm Sewer 728' of 27" Storm Sewer 660' of 24" Storm Sewer 75' 15" Storm Sewer

Form OPWC0001 Rev, 12.15 Page 4 of 6

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

City:

Name: Stephen A. Schafer

Title: Mayor

Address: Village of Bloomdale

206 Vine Street, P.O. Box 186

Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817

Phone: (419) 454-2941

FAX: (419) 454-2119

E-Mail: villageofbloomdale@gmail.com

5.2 Chief Financial Officer

(Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: Diane Miller

Title: Interim Clerk/Treasurer

Address: Village of Bloomdale

206 Vine Street, P.O. Box 186

City: Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817

Phone: (419) 454-2941

FAX: (419) 454-2119

E-Mail: villageofbloomdale@gmail.com

5.3 Project Manager

Name: Stephen A. Schafer

Title: Mayor

Address: Village of Bloomdale

206 Vine Street, P.O. Box 186

City: Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817

Phone: (419) 454-2941

FAX: (419) 454-2119

E-Mail: villageofbloomedale@gmail.com

Form OPWC0001 Rev, 12.15 Page 5 of 6

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box) A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated 1 official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share 1 funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in V 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland. Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form. Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Mayor Stephen A. Schafer

Centifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 6 of 6

Resolution 5-R-21

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING POGGEMEYER DESIGN GROUP TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOAL TRANSPORATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, the Village of Bloomdale is planning to make capital improvement to storm sewer improvements, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvements herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Village of Bloomdale;

Section 1: The Mayor, Stephen A. Schafer is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: The Mayor, Stephen A. Schafer is authorized to enter into any agreement as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Vote: ______ aye _____ no
Passed this Date: ______ 8 - 10 - 2021

Stephen Schafer, Mayor

Rory Fitch, President

I, Diane Miller, Fiscal Officer for the Village of Bloomdale, hereby certify that the foregoing is true and accurate copy of Resolution 5-k-21 duly passed by the Council for the Village of Bloomdale at our Regular Council meeting on 444 10.202 (date).

Diane Miller, Fiscal Officer Village of Bloomdale

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE - OPTION #1

BLOOMDALE - STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS - PHASE II OPWC
N. MAIN ST., SUNSET DR & GARFIELD ST./SUNSET DR. TO STEARNS RD.

Job Number: 153000-00027

Poggemeyer Design Group, Inc. 101 Clinton Street, Suite 1300

Defiance, OH 43512

Estimated

lter	n Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total Price
NORT	H MAIN STREET, SUNSET DRIVE & GARFIELD STREET				
1	27" Storm Sewer, Type B	728	l.f.	\$155.00	\$112,840.00
2	24" Storm Sewer, Type B	660	l.f.	\$132.00	\$87,120.00
3	15" Storm Sewer, type B	35	l.f.	\$95.00	\$3,325.00
4	12" Storm Sewer, type B	655	l.f.	\$75.00	\$49,125.00
5	Catch Basin, Type 2-2-B	13.00	each	\$1,650.00	\$21,450.00
6	Catch Basin, Type 2-3	10	each	\$2,000.00	\$20,000.00
7	Traffic Maintenance	1	lump sum		\$10,500.00
8	Mobilization	1	lump sum		\$15,000.00
9	Restoration	1	lump sum	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
10	Preconstruction Video	1	lump sum	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00
SUNS	ET DRIVE TO STEARNS ROAD				
11	33" Storm Sewer, Type B	100	1.f.	\$175.00	\$17,500.00
12	33" Storm Sewer, Type C	1,155	l.f.	\$120.00	\$138,600.00
13	12" Storm Sewer, Type B	10	Lf.	\$75.00	\$750.00
14	Catch Basin, Type 2-3	3	each	\$2,000.00	\$6,000.00
15	Restoration	1	lump sum	\$10,000.00	<u>\$10,000.00</u>
					\$568,710.00
		10% Contingency			<u>\$56,871.00</u>
					\$625,581.00
	ENGINEERING:				
	Survey				\$5,000.00
	Design				\$28,500.00
	Advertising/Printing				\$500.00
	Bidding				\$3,750.00
	Construction Administration				\$6,750.00
	Staking				\$3,750.00
	Construction Observation				<u>\$15,750.00</u>
	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST				\$689,581.00

I hereby state that this is an accuarte estimate of probable cost and that the useful life of the project is at least 40 years.


Prepared by: POGGEMEYER DESIGN GROUP, INC. 101 Clinton Street, Ste. 1300

Defiance, OH 43512

Kenneth A. Maag, P.E. Principal Owner

Date: 09/02/2021

E OF ONAL ENGINEERS







Office of the Wood County Engineer

John M. Musteric, P.E., P.S.

One Courthouse Square Bowling Green, OH 43402 419-354-9060 (o) 419-354-1409 (f) www.engineer.co.wood.oh.us

July 1, 2021

Ohio Development Services Agency 77 South High Street, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Re: Critical Infrastructure Program

Village of Bloomdale

To Whom It May Concern:

Our office supports the Village of Bloomdale's request for \$500,000 in CDBG funds for their storm sewer project under the Critical Infrastructure Program. We believe this project is a very important one which will benefit all the residents of the Village of Bloomdale by reducing their widespread flooding problems.

To that end, the Wood County Engineer's Office is committing up to \$80,000 from our drainage funds toward the Village Storm Sewers, Phase 2 Project to contribute to the upgrade of the outlet sewer on Bloomdale Road (also known as North Main Street) between Sunset Drive and Stearns Road.

If you have questions or if you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our office at any time. Thank you for your funding consideration.

Sincerely.

John M. Musteric, P.E., P.S.

M. Muster

Wood County Engineer

JMM/nd

Jason P. Sisco, P.E., P.S., Roadway Engineer (.pdf)
 Duane Abke, Drainage Coordinator

Dana Schratt, Financial Manager Engineer's Village of Bloomdale File

VILLAGE OF BLOOMDALE

206 Vine Street P.O. Box 186 Bloomdale, OH 44817

September 6, 2021

I, Fiscal Officer of the Village of Bloomdale, hereby certify that the Village of Bloomdale has the amount of \$235,912 in the Storm Sewer Improvement fund, and \$35,773 in the American Rescue Plan and that this amount will be used to pay the local portion for the storm sewer improvements, when it is required.

I, Fiscal Officer of the Village of Bloomdale, hereby certify that the Village of Bloomdale will collect the amount of \$168,948 in the Storm Sewer Improvement Fund and that this amount will be used to repay the Ohio Public Words Commission SCIP, or RLP loan requested for the storm sewer improvement project over a 30 year term.

Diane Miller Fiscal Officer

Village of Bloomdale

Stelle

A weighted useful life statement stamped/sealed and signed by a licensed professional engineer must be included with the project application.

This spreadsheet has formulas to make a weighted useful life calculation and is populated with an example for illustrative purposes. Items can be added to column a.

Weighted Useful Life & Design Service Capacity Calculations

Major Component	Cost (\$1,000)	Portion Repair / Replacement (%)	Repair / Replace Product	Useful Life (Years)	Useful Life Product
Full-depth road construction w/ drainage Full-depth road construction				25	
w/o drainage Partial-depth road				25	
construction w/ drainage Partial-depth road		100		15	
construction w/o drainage		100		15	
Storm Sewers	6895	100	689581	40	275800
Sanitary Sewers		100		40	
Water Lines		100		40	
Water Tower		100		40	
Bridge				75	
Pumps, Lift Stations				15	
Sidewalks		100		25	
Bike Facility		100		7	

Totals	6895	689581	275800

Weighted Useful Life:

40.0 Years

Design Service Capacity (Project Application, Section 2.0):

Portion Repair / Replace 100 % Portion New / Expansion 0 %



09/02/2021

Cap	District 5 Lapital Improvement Project Priority Rating Sheet, Round 36 Revised 06/29/2021																
	COUNTY	f: Wood T: Village of Bloomdale Storm	Se	NA/O	ır										PROJECT NUM	BER:	
	EST. CO	ST: \$689,581	1 36	we	:			_									
No.	"A" WEIGHT	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED				"B" IOR CTO			"A" x "B"		PRIORITY FACTORS						No.
1	1	(REPAIR OR REPLACE) vs.	0	2	4	6	8	10	10		0 0% +	2 20% +	4 40% +	6	8 80%+	10	1
		(NEW OR EXPANSION)									Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	
2A	1	EXISTING PHYSICAL	0	2	4	6	8	10	8		0	2	4	6	8	10	2A
		CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 36 Scoring Methodology. Must submit substantiating documentation. (100% New or Expansion. #.0 Points).	0	1	2			5			Excellent 0	Good	Fair 2	Fading	Poor	Failing	
2B	1	AGE	U	1	2	3	4	5	5	Type Road	0-4 Yrs	5-8 Yrs	9-12 Yrs	3 13-16 Yrs	4 17-20 Yrs	5 20+ Yrs	2B
										Wastewater Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply. Storm Water. Solid Waste	0-6 Yrs 0-10 Yrs	7-12 Yrs 11-20 Yrs	13-18 Yrs 21-30 Yrs	19-24 Yrs 31-40 Yrs	25-30 Yrs 41-50 Yrs	30+ Yrs 50+ Yrs	
			0	2	4	6	8	10		Solid Waste	0	2	4	6	8	10	
3	2	PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR SAFETY CONCERNS Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.							12		No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	3
			0	2	4	6	8	10			0	2	4	6	8	10	
4	2	LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues) *							20		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	4
5	1	OTHER FUNDING	0	2	4	6	8	10	0		0	2	4	6	8	10	5
		(Excluding Issue II Funds) (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant; including Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must submit copy of award or status letter.)									0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
6		OPWC GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED Please refer to Criteria #6 of the Round 36 Methodology for clarification.															6
	2	Grant or Loan Only	-9	-8	0	8	9	10			-9 Grant or	-8	0	8	9	10	6
									18		\$500,001 or more Grant/Loan	\$400,001 to \$500,000	\$325,001 \$400,000	\$275,001 \$325,000	\$175,001 \$275,000	\$175,000 or less	
	2	Grant /Loan Combination	-9	-8	0	8	9	10			\$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500 or less	6
		When scoring a project that is only use the second chart labeled "Grant												e the project for the	ne grant in the fi	rst chart, then	
			0	2	4	6		Т	0		0	2	4	6			
7	1	JOB CREATION/RETENTION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentaion in the form of a commiment letter from business or third party entity.									0-6 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15-24 Jobs	25+ Jobs			7
8	1	BENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS	0	2	4	6	8	10	0		0 0 -99 Users	2 100 - 349	4 350 - 499	6 500 - 749 Users	8 750 - 1000	10 1000+ Users	8
		(households or traffic counts) Equivalent dwelling unit direct connections. Traffic Counts within three years with certified documentation, etc.									0	Users 1	Users 2	500 - 749 Users	Users	1000+ Users	
9	1	ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local MHI as a percentage of the District Median MHI	0	1	2				1		100%+	80%-100%	Less Than 80%				9
			0	1	2						0	1	2				
10	1	READINESS TO PROCEED							1		Plans Not Begun Yet	Preliminary Engineering Complete	Final Design Complete				10
11		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)							75		Other Info: Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO X Attach impact statement if yes. Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES X NO						
12		COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15)	L					_			D	B-1		to de de co	A		
13A		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1)									or Community Im	nary Point may b ipact. Include do	e awarded to pro ocumentaion to s	jects that demons upport the claim	starte significant of significance.	Area-wide, Cou	inty,
13B		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1)	J					+			District Discretion financial resource	nary Point may be as including asse	e awarded to pro	jects that demons ity rate structure.	starte that the en	tity has maximi:	zed
14		GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS			_			_									
			_														_

Revised: June 29, 2021

Application Instructions Public WorksWise Training

(Right click on the Blue fields and Choose Open Hyperlink)

The Commission has been hard at work for the two years developing our Salesforce based internal cloud platform, Public WorksWise. WorksWise will allow our customers to apply online for OPWC grant and loan funds, process disbursement requests to vendors, submit relevant project documentation and schedule information, and navigate loan repayments all in one place!

We have been testing the system and the OPWC staff will be going live internally with the platform in the month of May. Our external users will begin using the platform after the Round 35 project agreements are released electronically on July 1st. On August 4th our portal will go live to the public and we will enable statewide use of WorksWise to manage and maintain your OPWC infrastructure and Clean Ohio project.

ONLINE TRAINING VIDEOS

Clean Ohio Training for Applicants: HOW TO SUBMIT A CLEAN OHIO APPLICATION THROUGH WORKSWISE PORTAL

Infrastructure Training for Applicants: HOW TO SUBMIT AN INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATION THROUGH THE WORKSWISE PORTAL

Upcoming Customer Training: Processing and Submitting Project Disbursements

July 7th 9:30-11:30: Statewide Infrastructure Disbursement Training (training link will be made available a few days prior to the trainings)

August 11th 9:30-11:30: Statewide Infrastructure Follow Up training for popular questions that arise after launch (training link will be made available a few days prior to the trainings)

Requesting Access to WorksWise

OPWC allows each community/applicant one license to access WorksWise in order to apply for funding and request disbursements once funded. In order to onboard new and existing applicants, we require that the applicant designate an appointee and request access via the link below.

Important Items to Note: if you want access for another community other than the one with which you are employed (this may be true for County Engineers Offices or consulting firms), a Letter of Authorization will be required to be uploaded when you make the request to be set up for that community. Below is a link to the template that must be put on the subdivision's letter head, signed, and scanned in as a PDF.

Letter of Authorization for WorksWise access to applicant's account

Liaisons: Please do not request a username through this form, you are automatically setup to receive usernames. However, if you are applying on behalf of a community, you will need to request access to do so with the Letter of Authorization above.

- 2) Cities/Counties: For larger communities that may need more than one login, there is a space on the form to explain why additional logins are necessary. We will review them on a case by case basis. Every community is afforded at least one login to the WorksWise Portal.
- 3)For WorksWise questions, please email **workswise@pwc.ohio.gov** and copy your Program Representative on the email. Someone will respond back to you as quickly as possible.
- 4)Please fill out the form below for each login requested. If you are representing multiple communities, please fill register for each community you plan to work with along with the Letter of Authorization. Please do NOT list more than one subdivision on the signup form or your entry will be deleted and we will ask that you resubmit your request(s).

Click here to request a login to the Public WorksWise portal

**Usernames and passwords for the system will not be granted until we go live in August.

Supplemental Application Instructions

Prerequisites for Project Consideration

Manner of submittal items to the County Subcommittee:

Paper Application Submittal Instructions

- 1) Must be one-sided, 8.5" x 11".
- 2) No dividers or cover sheets (a summary sheet may be submitted with "other documentation").
- 3) No Binding. A binder clip, folder, punch-less binder (has a clamp that holds papers together) are OK. No staples.

Format of application:

- 1) All must be in whole dollars (no cents).
- 2) Cannot use all caps.
 - Page 4 of application must contain relevant information about project and not "see attached". If it will not fit in space provided, list what will fit and attach one supplement document to complete the information.
- 3) Page 3 must designate households or ADT ONLY for the direct area of the infrastructure. (Cannot count downstream or system users). Majority infrastructure type determines how project is scored when there are multiple components. ADT Traffic Counts are required within three years of application submittal with certified documentation.

Optional Electronic Application Submittal

Applicants may opt to submit applications in a pdf electronic file format on a CD, DVD or other electronic storage device.

OPWC Required Documents

- OPWC Six Page Application
- Authorizing Legislation
- oCFO Certification of Local Funds and Loan Repayment Letter
- o Engineer's Estimate and Useful Life Statement
- o Cooperative Agreement (Multiple Jurisdictions)
- oFarmland Preservation Review Letter

District 5 Required Documents

- OA Self-Score Capital Improvements Questionnaire
- o Priority Rating Sheet, Round 36
- OADT Traffic Counts conducted within three years of the application submittal
- oEPA Findings and Orders, EPA Safe Drinking Water Regulations Notice of Violation, EPA NPDES

Permit Violations, EPA Consent Decree or Court Orders

- ODocumentation to support Functional Obsolescence Claim
- ODocumentation of Waterline Breaks, I and I Analysis, excessive corrosion, etc.
- OWritten Third Party Documentation supporting Job Creation/Retention Claims
- Auditor's Certificate
- Other items
 - a. Maps
 - b. Pictures
 - c. Summary Sheet
 - d. Letters supporting the project application.
 - e. Any other items deemed relevant to the project

Project Cost Overruns/Changes in Scope Procedure

- 1) The applicant will prepare an amended application including a revised budget, revised engineering estimate, and a detailed explanation of the change(s) requested.
- 2) The amendment is due to the District 5 Liaison thirty days in advance of the date of the scheduled District 5 Executive Committee Meeting.

Revolving Loan Prioritization

- 1) RLP funds are funds repaid from previous loans. The money can only be used for loans. No grants may be made with the funds.
- 2) The interest rate for RLP Loans is established by the Executive committee at zero percent per year for the useful life of the improvement.
- 3) RLP Loans will be offered to projects based on the ranking of projects on the SCIP Slate. Consideration will be given to projects in order of score based on initial grant or grant/loan request. until the RLP funds are expended.

Evaluation Questionnaire and Priority Rating Sheet

- 1) Each application to District 5 shall be rated using the District 5 Capital Improvements Project Questionnaire and Priority Rating Sheet as adopted by the District 5 Executive Committee.
- 2) For Villages and Township with populations less than 5,000 special attention is called to the potential eligibility for Small Government Funding consideration. The scoring for the Small Government Program is established and implemented by the Ohio Public Works Commission. This program has an additional set of Evaluation Methodology. Each applicant should familiarize themselves with this methodology when planning your project funding request. If your project is not selected for District Funding each applicant under 5,000 in population will be considered for selection as a potential Small Government Project.

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 36

Name of Appl	icant: Village o	f Bloomdale	
Project Title:	Storm Sewer Imp	orovements	

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Villages and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1.	What percentage of the project in repair $A = 100$ %, replacement $B =$ %, expansion $C =$ %, and new
	D=%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one
	hundred(100) percent) A+B= 100 % C+D= % ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2);**164.14(E)(8)

Points	Catagowy	Description	Evamples
	Category	*	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it	-Intersection Reconfiguration
		requires replacement, reconstruction or	due to accident problem-
		reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	Structural paving of 3.5" or
			greater of additional pavement -
			Pavement Widening to meet
			ODOT L&D Standards -
			Complete Pavement
			Reconstruction -Water or Sewer
			Line Replacement - Water or
			Sewer Plant Replacement -
			Widening graded shoulder
			width to ODOT L&D Standard
			-Complete Bridge or Culvert
			replacement-Replacement of a
			major component of a water
			and/or sewer treatment plant
			which would result in a failure
			in meeting WQ Standards
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires	-Multiple course of paving -
		repair or restoration in order to return to the	Structural Culvert Lining -
		intended level of service and comply with	Bridge Deck Replacement -
		current design standards. Infrastructure	Replacement of a component
		contains deficiency and is functioning at a	such as a control mechanism,
		diminished capacity.	pumps, hydrants, valves, filters,
		diffillibiled capacity.	pumps, mydrams, varves, micrs,

			etc of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Repair of a tank to maintain structural integrity in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

Life	20	30	50
Project		Wastewater and Water	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary
Type	Road	Treatment	Sewer, Water Supply,
			Storm Water, Solid
			Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal: Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact: Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

^{*(4}R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement. etc.).

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a

consent decree, finding and orders or court order, and Health Department Construction

Ban.

Critical: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of

NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water

Regulations and/or Notice of Violations.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS</u> (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system

area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a

consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage) or improvements required by the Environmental

Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of

Violations.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a critical

safety hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property

damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health

Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements, sewer

system overflows, and/or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or; EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage; or

improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES permit requirements.

Major: EPA recommendations, or; reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical: Replace to solve low potable water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks

in project area.

Critical: Replacement/Rehabilitation due to structural deficiency such as excessive

corrosion and/or safety upgrades, etc.

Major: Replace undersized water mains as part of an overall upgrade process. Replace

water meters that have exceeded their useful life.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs. Spot repairs/recoating to restore

moderate corrosion of water components.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.

Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.

Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.

	Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
	No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
	NOTE:	Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.
	(Submittals	without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
	Extremely C	ritical, Critical, MajorX_, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain
	your answer.	
	(Additional n	arrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)
4.	Identify the a	mount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project
	cost. ORC R	eference164.06(B)(6);)ORC164.06(B)(7); ORC164.06(B)(3); ORC164.14(E)(4)
	A.) Amount	of Local Funds = $$\underline{351,685}$
	B.) Total Pro	$= $\underline{689,581}$
5.	Note: Local paid back thr	LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A \square B)= $_51$ % funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be ough local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant. mount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds,
٥.	•	ge of the total project cost. ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(7);164.14(E)(4)
		% Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other%	(explain), Total%
		t funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant asidered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	categories be request equal point penalty	t of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the low for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet PRC Reference(s):164.14(E)(10);164.06(B)(5)
	X	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000

The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.

Moderate:

	\$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES X NO (This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-
	time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 36 hours/week)? Yes No _X If yes,
	how many jobs within eighteen months? Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise
	be permanently lost? Yes No _X If yes, how many jobs will be created/retrained within
	18 months following the completion of the improvements?
	ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)
	(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that
	specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or
	improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media
	news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development
	Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the
	infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)
8.	What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if
	completed?59 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you
	arrived at your number.) ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)
9.	Economic Distress Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)
	What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income?
	Data provided in Exhibit A.
10.	Readiness to Proceed Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)
	Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.
	Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)

	_X Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
	Final Design Complete (2 Points)
11	Dans Cooks Total for Overtions 1 10- 75
11.	Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= <u>75</u>
12.	County Subcommittee Priority Points=
	(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)
13.	DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)
13a.	A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide,
	County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance)
	(Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee)
	ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)
13b.	A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has
	maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the
	water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for
	combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only
	systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for
	discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive
	Committee) ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)
14.	Grand Total of Points
15.	Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes X No If yes, continue. You may want
to	design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current
	OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The
	Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at
https:/	//www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019
	7-071749-143

16. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. **Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance.** The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

•District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the

Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- •Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- •The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 36 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 36 by accessing the OPWC Website at

https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf? ver=2019-08-07-071749-143. Please follow the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and include supporting documentation to receive points. Specifically, include the Auditor's Certification of funds for your entity and documentation supporting the age of the infrastructure.

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 36.

Date: <u>09/02/2021</u>
Signature:
Title: Project Administrative Assistant
Address: 1168 North Main Street, Bowling Green, Ohio 43402
Phone: <u>567-331-2691</u>
FAX:
Email: mhister@kleinfelder.com

Small Government Commission Application Checklist

This checklist will help ensure that your application is scored at its best competitive advantage. It will also assist with the timely release of the Project Agreement should your project be funded. This form is for your use only. See various templates and forms in this manual, on the Small Government webpage, and on the Application webpage.

[X] Compliant certified authorizing legislation by applicant's governing body (OPWC Application webpage) [N/A] Cooperative agreement if multi-jurisdictional (OPWC Application webpage). Road/bridge/culvert projects must include an engineer's statement certifying the percentages of each participating jurisdiction's share of the total project. [N/A] Compliant Chief Financial Officer's Certification and Loan Letter (OPWC Application webpage) [X] Funding commitment letters and or documentation for all non-OPWC matching funds [X] Signed/stamped registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate including in-kind costs (OPWC Application webpage). If project is a mix of new/expansion and repair/replacement items, engineer must include a percentage break-down by category. [X] Signed/stamped professional engineer's weighted useful life statement if not submitted with original application (cannot be modified) [X] Small Government Engineer's Plan Status Certification form (in this manual and on SG webpage) [X] Clear description of problem and scope of work with appropriate documentation [X] Source documentation for proof of age with year clearly visible or compliant letter from eligible public official {letter template in this manual} [X] Project site photos, if appropriate [X] Map showing project location/site [N/A] Farmland Preservation Review Letter if any impact to farmland (OPWC Application webpage) [X] ADT report for Road, Bridge & Culvert Projects Number of households/EDUs (with calculation) for Water, Wastewater, Storm Water Collection, Solid Waste Projects who directly benefit. If waterline or sewer project with additional benefitted users beyond scope of construction, then also Engineer's study documenting these additional users.

Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects Only:

- [X] Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Resources with line item detail unless applicant in State of Fiscal Emergency; also if Storm Water or Solid Waste project, the fund(s) typically used must be identified {examples in back of this manual}.
- [N/A] Low volume road projects that include documentation using ODOT's TIMS System showing a positive Rate of Return is required to maximize points under population.

(Continued on next page)

Water and Wastewater Projects Only:

- [N/A] "Current" water <u>and</u> wastewater rate ordinances/resolutions for all entities providing services unless applicant in State of Fiscal Emergency
- [N/A] Small Government Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort Supplemental form (in this manual and on SG webpage)

Small Government Self-Score

(Input Score in box for each criterion; will total automatically)

Арр	olicant:	Village	of Blo	oomda	le										
1	Ability 8	& Effort	(Use	A or E	3 accor	rding t	o proj	ect typ	e)						SCORE
	Α.							r, Solid		Projec	ts ON	ILY			
		0	2	4	6	8	10	•							8
	В.			astewa y Adm			ONLY								N/A
2	Health 8	& Safet	v (Us	e A or	В ассо	rding	to pro	iect tv	ne)						
_	Α.			e, Culve				,,	,						
		0	2	4	6	8	10								0
	В.	Water	, Wasi	tewate	r, Stori	m Wat	ter, So	lid Was	ste						
		0	2	4	6	8	10								8
3	Age & C	onditio	n												
	I.	Age													
		0	1	2	3	4	5								5
	II.	Condit	ion												
		1	2	3	4	5									4
4	Leverag	ing Rat	io												
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		9
5	Populat	ion Ber	nefit												
_	Горина	0	1	2	3	4	5								1
6	District	Priority	, Rank	ing - (Comple	eted h	v Adm	inistrat	tor						N/A
•	J.51.101		· ········	в	compi	sted b	, rum								14//
7	OPWC F	unds R	eques	ted											
		0	5	10											5
8	Loan Re	quest (Defau	lt 0 poi	nts if r	10 loar	n requ	sted)							
		1	5	10											10
9	Useful L	.ife													
		1	2	3	4	5									5
10	Median	Housel	hold Ir	ncome											
		2	4	6	8	10									8
11	Readine	ss to P	roceed	d											
	I.	Status	of Pla	ıns											
		0	2	5											0
	II.	Status	of Fui	nding											
		0	3	5											5

TOTAL

68

Complete and compliant support documentation must be provided for a criterion to be awarded points. See Applicant Manual for more information.

- 1. Ability and Effort of the Applicant to Finance the Project (Maximum 10 points)
 - A. <u>Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects Only</u> "Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Resources" showing fund detail, as provided in ORC sections 5705.35 and 5705.36 is used to determine potential financial resources available for the project. Score is based on the project's total cost as a percentage of financial resources.
 - Total project cost represents 0 to 20% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 2 Total project cost represents 21 to 40% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 4 Total project cost represents 41 to 60% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - Total project cost represents 61 to 80% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 8 Total project cost represents 81 to 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - Total project cost exceeds 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type, or subdivision is in fiscal emergency
 - B. Water and Wastewater Projects Only Determined by SG Administrator according to the Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort calculation described in Applicants Manual. Information is obtained from both water and wastewater rate ordinances, Small Government Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort Supplemental, and data from the *U.S. Census Bureau*. Points are provided for the hours worked to pay for water and wastewater services according to the highest of two variances as a percentage above or below State Averages: weighted average of household income or percentage of households making less than \$25,000.
 - 0 More than 50% above state average
 - 2 25.1% 50% above state average
 - 4 0 25% above state average
 - 6 0.1% 25% below state average
 - 8 25.1% to 50% below state average
 - More than 50% below state average
- 2. Importance of Project to Health and Safety of Citizens Score is assigned according to the application project description and any pertinent supplemental documentation. (Maximum 10 points)
 - A. Road, Bridge, Culvert
 - New infrastructure to meet future or projected needs
 - New infrastructure to meet current needs; Roadway surface paving less than 2 inches; Bridges with General Appraisal of 6 or above or with a Sufficiency Rating of 81-100

- 4 Roadway surface paving equal to or greater than 2 inches with/without milling; Replace or install signal where warranted; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 5 or Sufficiency Rating of 66-80; Culvert replacement with no associated damage
- Road widening to add paved shoulders or for safe passage, and/or roadway paving with full-depth base repair equal to or greater than 5% of roadway surface area; Intersection improvement to add turn lanes or realignment; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 4 or Sufficiency Rating of 51-65; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity
- 8 Complete roadway full-depth reconstruction (includes removal/replacement of base) or reclamation with/without drainage; Widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvements to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor (0.0 < CRF < 0.2); Bridges with a General Appraisal of 3 or Sufficiency Rating of 26-50; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity and property damage (i.e. flooding)
- Complete roadway reconstruction or reclamation with/without drainage with widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvement to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor (CRF >= 0.2); Bridges with General Appraisal of 2 or less, or Sufficiency Rating of less than 26; Culverts that are structurally deficient
- B. Water, Wastewater, Storm Water, Solid Waste
 - O Infrastructure to meet future or projected needs
 - 2 Expanded infrastructure to meet specific development proposal
 - Infrastructure to meet current needs; Update processes to improve effluent or water quality; To remain in compliance with permit due to increased standards; Increase storm sewer capacity in which there is no associated land damage; Increase sanitary sewer capacity; Replace water meters as part of an upgrade
 - OEPA recommendations; District health board recommendations; Increase storm sewer capacity that has associated land damage; Replace undersized waterlines as part of upgrade; Install new meters or replace meters that have exceeded useful life
 - Replacement of storm or sanitary sewers due to chronic flooding, back-up, or property damage; Inflow and/or Infiltration; Inadequate capacity to maintain pressure required for fire flows; Replacement of waterlines or towers due to excessive corrosion
 - OEPA Findings & Orders, OEPA orders contained in permit, Consent Decree or Court Order; Structural separations (CSOs)Age and Condition of System to be repaired or replaced. This is a two-part criterion. (Maximum 10 points)

3. Age & Condition of System to be repaired or replaced

Part I – Age: This uses provided documentation for existing infrastructure. Documentation pertains to source documentation or from a compliant letter written by an eligible local official who can vouch for the time period during his/her term in office. If no documentation the default score is 1 point. (Maximum 5 points)

Life	20	30	50			
Project Type Points	Road	Wastewater	Bridge/Culvert. Sanitary Sewer, Water, Storm Water, Solid Waste			
0	New / Expansion	New / Expansion	New / Expansion			
1	2016-2021	2013-2021	2006-2021			
2	2011-2015	2006-2012	1995-2005			
3	2006-2010	1998-2005	1983-1994			
4	2001-2005	1991-1997	1971-1982			
5	2000 or before	1990 or before	1970 or before			

Part II – Condition (Maximum 5 points)

- New/Expansion: New or expansion project components represent at least 50% of improvements
- 2 Expansion: New or expansion project components represent between 25% and 49% of improvements
- Poor: Infrastructure requires repair to continue functioning as originally intended and/or upgrade to meet current design standards.
- 4 Critical: Infrastructure requires replacement to continue functioning as originally intended.
- 5 Failed: Not functioning
- **Leveraging Ratio** Local and all non-OPWC funding sources as a percentage of total funding. (Maximum 10 points)

	Repair/Replacement	New/Expansion
	(Poor/Critical/Failed	(New/Expansion &/or
	in Criterion 3)	Expansion in Criterion 3)
0	10 or less	50 or less
1	11-15	51-55
2	16-20	56-60
3	21-25	61-65
4	26-30	66-70
5	31-35	71-75
6	36-40	76-80
7	41-45	81-85
8	46-50	86-90
9	51-55	91-95
10	56 or more	96 or more

- **5. Population Benefit** Number of those to benefit directly from the improvement as a percentage of applicant's total population. (Maximum 5 points)
 - 0 10% or less
 - 1 25% 11%
 - 2 35% 26%
 - 3 45% 36%
 - 4 55% 46%
 - 5 56% or more
- 6. District Priority Ranking as provided by District (Maximum 10 points)
 - 6 5th ranked district project
 - 7 4th ranked district project
 - 8 3rd ranked district project
 - 9 2nd ranked district project
 - 10 1st ranked district project
- 7. Amount of OPWC funding requested (Maximum 10 points)
 - 0 \$500,000 or more
 - \$250,000 \$499,999
 - 10 249,999 or less
- 8. Loan Request as a percentage of OPWC assistance (Maximum 10 points)
 - 1 15 29% of OPWC assistance
 - 5 30 49% of OPWC assistance
 - 50 100% of OPWC assistance
- 9. Useful Life of Project Taken from engineer's useful life statement. (Maximum 5 points)
 - 1 7 9 years
 - 2 10 14 years
 - 3 15 19 years
 - 4 20 24 years
 - 5 25 years or more
- **10. Median Household Income** Applicant's MHI as a percentage of the statewide MHI. Information derived from the most recent 5-year American Community Survey as published by the Ohio Development Services Agency. (Maximum 10 points)
 - 2 110% or more
 - 4 100% 109%
 - 6 90% 99%
 - 80% 89%
 - 10 79% or less

11. Readiness to Proceed (Maximum 10 points)

Part I – Status of Plans – This uses the Small Government Commission's Engineer's Plan Status Certification. (Maximum 5 points)

- O Plans not yet begun
- 2 Surveying through Preliminary Design Completed (Items A-C)
- 5 Surveying through final construction plans, and secured permits and right-of-way as appropriate (Items A-H)


Part II – Status of Funding Sources – This uses source documentation including CFO certifications and loan letters. (Maximum 5 points)

- 0 All funds not yet committed
- 3 Applications submitted to funding entities
- 5 All funding committed


Small Government Commission Engineer's Plan Status Certification Required for Criterion No. 11, Part I

A	pplicant: Village of Bloomd	ale									
D	District No.: 5										
Pı	Project Name: Storm Sewer Improvements										
Item Necessary for project? Status Completion Date											
Met Completion dates for Items A – C (2 points)											
A	Surveying	Y X	N/A		01/15/2022						
В	R/W Acquisition Identified	Y	N/A X								
С	Preliminary Design	Y X	N/A		05/15/2022						
M	et Completion dates for Items A -	Н (5 р	ooints)								
D	Final Construction Plans	Y X	N/A		07/01/2022						
Е	Permit to Install Issued	Y	N/A X								
F	NPDES Issued	Y	N/A X								
G	Other Permits Issued	Y	N/A X								
Н	Executed Right of Way Option or Agreement	Y	N/A X								
Ιŀ	I hereby certify that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.										

Kenneth A. Maag, P.E. Engineer's Printed Name	
La A-	
Engineer's Signature	
09/01/2021	
Date	



Engineer's Stamp/Seal





























SCALE: 1" = 1000'

LOCATION MAP
BLOOMDALE, OHIO



POGGEMEYER DESIGN GROUP, INC.

ARCHITECTS + ENGINEERS + PLANNERS
101 CLINTON STREET, SUITE 1300 DEFIANCE, OHIO 43512

DRAWN BY : NTH

DATE: 8/6/2020

CHECKED BY: KAM

JOB NO. 15300-00027

BLOOMDALE OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ESTIMATED RESOURCES

Office of the Budget Commission, Wood County, Ohio
BOWLING GREEN, OH

TO: BLOOMDALE VILLAGE

7/14/2021

The following is the amended official certificate of estimated resources for the fiscal year beginning **January 1st**, **2022**, as revised by the Budget Commission of said County, which shall govern the total of appropriations made at any time during such fiscal year:

FUND NAME	ENCUM BAL AN. 1, 2022	TAXES	1	OTHER SOURCES	TOTAL
GENERAL	\$ 178,160.96	\$ 36,147.23	\$	116,900.00	\$ 331,208.19
SPECIAL REVENUE	\$ 59,852.45	\$ ¥	\$	33,216.00	\$ 93,068.45
DEBT SERVICE	\$ -	\$ -	\$	4	\$ = 0
CAPITAL PROJECT	\$ 12,331.01	\$ -	\$	=	\$ 12,331.01
PERMANENT	\$ -	\$ = X	\$	-	\$ -
ENTERPRISE	\$ 215,799.97	\$ -	\$	501,000.00	\$ 716,799.97 ′
INTERNAL SERVICE	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
TRUST/AGENCY	\$ 12,815.06	\$ -	\$	50,000.00	\$ 62,815.06
TOTAL	\$ 478,959.45	\$ 36,147.23	\$	701,116.00	\$ 1,216,222.68

SIGNED:

BLOOMDALE OFFICIAL

FUND <u>NAME</u>		ENCUM BAL N. 1, 2022		TAXES	<u>.</u>	OTHER SOURCES		TOTAL
GENERAL FUND	\$	178,160.96	\$	36,147.23	\$	116,900.00	\$	331,208.19
GENERAL FOND	P	170,100.50	4	50,117.25	4	110/20010	75	and the state of t
SPECIAL REVENUE								
STREET M & R	\$	26,256.18		3-30	\$	28,010.00	\$	54,266.18
STATE HWY	\$	23,101.00			\$	2,206.00	\$	25,307.00
DRUG LAW ENF	\$ \$	-			\$ \$ \$ \$		\$	-
PERMISSIVE MVL		10,495.27			\$	3,000.00	\$	13,495.27
OTHER SPECIAL REV	\$				\$	-	\$	-
							\$	-
							\$	-
							\$	3
							\$	-
							\$	-
							\$	
							* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	-
							\$	
							\$	-
							4	-
							4	
							φ Φ	-
							¢.	- 1
							ф Ф	
							Ф Ф	_
							\$	20
TOTAL	¢	59,852.45	\$	_	\$	33,216.00	\$	93,068.45
TOTAL	\$	39,032.43	Þ		Ф	33,210.00	Ψ	33,000.13
DEBT SERVICE								
							\$	=
							\$	5
The second section is a second second section of the second section is a second second section of the second second second section sec			2010				\$	=:
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	\$	120	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
CAPITAL PROJECTS					lan.			
CAPITAL IMP	\$	12,331.01	7/1-		\$	-	\$	12,331.01
							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
							\$:=.
							\$	12
							\$	
TOTAL CAP PROJ.	\$	12,331.01	\$	-	\$	-	\$	12,331.01

BLOOMDALE OFFICIAL

FUND <u>NAME</u>	ENCUM BAL AN. 1,2022		<u>TAXES</u>	<u> </u>	OTHER SOURCES		TOTAL
PERMANENT FUND		0.440					
			3.730.50		Section 12.	\$	·-
						\$	*
						\$	-
						\$	·=
						\$	=
						\$ \$ \$	8
TOTAL PERMANENT	\$ =	\$	ē -	\$	~	\$	-
ENTERPRISE FUND							
ELECTRIC OPER	\$ 91,271.84	Solar a		\$	453,000.00	\$	544,271.84
STORM SEWER IMP	\$ 86,529.72			\$	18,000.00	\$	104,529.72
MUNI UTILITIES DEP	\$ 79			\$	=	\$	100
EMS	\$ 37,998.41			\$	30,000.00	\$	67,998.41
						\$	2.5
						\$	-
						\$	-
						\$ \$	=
TOTAL ENTERPRISE	\$ 215,799.97	\$	-	\$	501,000.00	\$	716,799.97
INTERNAL SER FUND	•	W. D. W.					
	and the second second second				V. Statistics	\$	RA.
						\$ \$ \$ \$ \$. *
						\$	-
						\$	-
						\$	-
TOTAL INTER SER	\$ =0	\$	=	\$	u .)	\$	E
FIDUC/TRUST FUNDS	 					W. oll	
REPARATIONS ROTARY	\$ -			\$	-	\$	-
REFUSE	\$ 12,815.06			\$	50,000.00	\$	62,815.06
						\$	=
						\$ \$ \$	
						\$	-
						\$	-
						\$	-
TOTAL FIDUC/TRUST	\$ 12,815.06	\$	-	\$	50,000.00	\$	62,815.06