Ohio Public Works Commission District 5 Round 36

Little Portage E TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice E & W TR #70, & Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing



Salem Township Ottawa County, Ohio

Little Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 & Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing

Table of Contents

OPWC Application

Resolution and Cooperative Agreement

Financial Certifications

Engineer's Estimate

Traffic Counts

Road Cards

Condition Ratings

Farmland Preservation Review Letter

Supporting Items: Project Narratives Maps Photos Road Detail Sheets Letters of Support

Questionnaire

Small Government Items: Rating Scales Official Certificate(s) of Estimated Resources Engineer's Plan Status Certification Calculations for Rating Scales – Questions 1, 5 and 10

Priority Rating Sheet



State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

Applicant: Salem Township Subdivision Code: 123-69946 District Number: \$	IMPC	RTANT: Please consult "Instructions for F	Financial Assistance for Capital I	nfrastructure Pro	jects" for guidan	ice in complet	ion of this form.
Email: Ottawa CoEng@co.ottawa.oh.us FAX: (419) 734-6768 Project Name: Little Portage E, Walbridge E, Curtice E & W, & Bier Roads Resurfacing Zip Code:43449 Subdivision Type Project Type Funding Request Summary (Balet one) (Balet single lingest component by 5) (Automatically populates from page 2) 1. County 1. Road 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 3. Township 3. Water Supply 4. Wilage 4. Wastewater 5. Solid Waste Credit Enhancement: 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste Email: Ottawa Commendation (To be completed by the District Committee) Funding Type Requested SCIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs State Capital Improvement Program CIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs State Capital Improvement Program LTIP: Amount:		Applicant: <u>Salem Township</u>			Subdivision C	ode: <u>123-69</u>	946
Email: Ottawa CoEng@co.ottawa.oh.us FAX: (419) 734-6768 Project Name: Little Portage E, Walbridge E, Curtice E & W, & Bier Roads Resurfacing Zip Code:43449 Subdivision Type Project Type Funding Request Summary (Balet one) (Balet single lingest component by 5) (Automatically populates from page 2) 1. County 1. Road 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 3. Township 3. Water Supply 4. Wilage 4. Wastewater 5. Solid Waste Credit Enhancement: 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste Email: Ottawa Commendation (To be completed by the District Committee) Funding Type Requested SCIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs State Capital Improvement Program CIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs State Capital Improvement Program LTIP: Amount:	icant						
Project Name: Little Portage E, Walbridge E, Curtice E & W. & Bier Roads Resurfacing Zip Code: 43449 Subdivision Type Project Type Funding Request Summary (Select one) (Automatically populates from page 2) 1. County 2 1. Road Cality 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 1. Waster Supply 2. Loan: 3. Township 3. Water Supply 3. Township 3. Water Supply 2. Kuter (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste 5. Water (6119 Water District) 6. Stornwater Funding Type Requested SCIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs Yrs Manount: 00 Local Transportation Improvement Program CIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs State Capital Improvement Program Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	Appl	Contact: <u>Ronald P Lajti, Jr., P.E., P.S</u> (The individual who will be available during	Ph e to questions)	one: <u>(419) 7</u>	34-6777		
Subdivision Type Project Type Funding Request Summary (select one) (Select single largest component by S) (Automatically populates from page 2) 1 1. County 1. Road Total Project Cost: 517.390.00 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 1. Grant: 2585.00.00 3. Township 3. Water Supply 2. Loan: 50.000.00 4. Village 4. Wastewater 3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: 0.00 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste 308.500.00 00 6. Stormwater Funding Requested: 308.500.00 00 Visite capital Improvement Program RLP Loan - Rate: % Term: Yrs Amount: .00 State Capital Improvement Program Grant: Amount: .00 .00 Small Government Program LTIP: Amount: .00 .00 Small Government Program LTIP: Amount: .00 .00 Small Government Program LTIP: Amount: .00 .00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enh		Email: <u>OttawaCoEng@co.ottawa.oh.</u>	US		FA	X: <u>(419) 7</u>	34-6768
Image: State Capital Improvement Program RLP Loan - Rate: % Term: Yrs Amount: .00 Image: State Capital Improvement Program Credit Enhancement: .00 Image: Capital Improvement Program Cred				<u>r Roads Resurf</u>	The second second		
Image: Signal	.	(Select one)	(Select single largest component by \$)	(Automatica	Ily populates from pa	ge 2)	
Image: Signal	jec			Total Proje	ect Cost:		
A. Village A. Wastewater A. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: 0.00 S. Water (6119 Water District) S. Solid Waste B. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: 0.00 District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee) 308.500.00 Funding Type Requested (select one) SCIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs Amount:00 Local Transportation Improvement Program RLP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs Amount:00 Local Transportation Improvement Program LTIP: Amount:00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount:00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount:00 Loan Type: SCIP	Pro					1- <u></u>	
S. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste Credit Enhancement: G. Stormwater Funding Requested: 308.50000 District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee) 00 Funding Type Requested SCIP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs Amount:00 State Capital Improvement Program RLP Loan - Rate:% Term: Yrs Amount:00 Local Transportation Improvement Program Grant: Amount:00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount:00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount:00 Loan Type: SCIP							
image: bit is in the image: bit is in the image: bit is it is in the image: bit is it is							00.00
Funding Type Requested SCIP Loan - Rate:% Term:Yrs Amount:00 (Select one) RLP Loan - Rate:% Term:Yrs Amount:00 Local Transportation Improvement Program Grant:00 Amount:00 Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount:00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount:00 Amount:00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount:00 Loan Type:SCIPRLP Project Number: Loan Amount:00 Date Construction End: Total Funding:00 Date Maturity:				Funding R	equested:		308,500 .00
(Select one) State Capital Improvement Program RLP Loan - Rate:% Term:Yrs Amount:00 Local Transportation Improvement Program Grant: Amount:00 Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount:00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount:00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount:00 Loan Type:SCIPRLP Project Number:	Di	strict Recommendation	(To be completed by the Distric	t Committee)			
Local Transportation Improvement Program Grant: Amount: .00 Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount: .00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount: .00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount: .00 Loan Type: SCIP RLP Project Number: Loan Amount: .00 Date Construction End: Total Funding: .00 Date Maturity: Release Date: Local Participation: % Rate: %	(Sel		SCIP Loan - Rate:	_% Term:	Yrs Amou	unt:	.00
Grant: Amount: .00 Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount: .00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount: .00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount: .00 Loan Type: SCIP Project Number: Loan Amount: .00 Date Construction End: .00 Total Funding: .00 Date Maturity: .00 Release Date: Local Participation: % Rate: %		State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate:	_ % Term:	Yrs Amou	unt:	.00
Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount: .00 District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount: .00 For OPWC Use Only Grant Amount: .00 Loan Type: SCIP RLP Project Number: Loan Amount: .00 Date Construction End:		Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant		Amo	unt:	00
Image: Small Government Program District SG Priority: Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount: .00 For OPWC Use Only STATUS Grant Amount: .00 Loan Type: SCIP RLP Project Number: Loan Amount: .00 Date Construction End:		Revolving Loan Program	Grant.		Anot		00
For OPWC Use Only STATUS Grant Amount:00 Loan Type: SCIP RLP Project Number: Loan Amount:00 Date Construction End: Total Funding:00 Date Maturity: Release Date: Local Participation:% Rate:%		Small Government Program	LTIP:		Amou	unt:	.00
STATUS Grant Amount: .00 Loan Type: SCIP RLP Project Number: Loan Amount: .00 Date Construction End:		District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Cred	it Enhancemen	t: Amou	unt:	.00
Grant Amount: .00 Loan Type. SCIP KLP Project Number: Loan Amount: .00 Date Construction End:	Fo	r OPWC Use Only					
		STATUS	Grant Amount:	.00	Loan Type:	SCIP	RLP
Release Date:	Project Number:		Loan Amount:	.00	Date Constru	uction End: _	
Release Date: Local Participation: % Rate: %			Total Funding:	.00	Date Maturit	y:	
	Relea	ase Date:			Rate:	%	
					Term:	Yrs	

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services		
Preliminary Design:	.00	
Final Design:	.00	
Construction Administration:15,000	.00	
Total Engineering Services:	i	a.) 15,000 .00 3 %
Right of Way:	ł	b.)00
Construction:		c.) <u>454,900</u> .00
Materials Purchased Directly:		d.)00
Permits, Advertising, Legal:		e.)2,00000
Construction Contingencies:	1	f.) 45,490 .000 %
Total Estimated Costs:	9	g.) <u>517,390</u> .00
1.2 Project Financial Resources		
Local Resources		
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	i	a.) <u>208,890</u> .00
Local Revenues:	ł	b.)00
Other Public Revenues:	(c.)00
ODOT / FHWA PID:		d.)00
USDA Rural Development:		e.)00
OEPA / OWDA:	1	f.)00
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development	!	g.)00
Other:	I	h.)
Subtotal Local Resources:		i.) <u>208,890</u> .00 <u>40</u> %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)		
Grant:84 % of OPWC Funds	j	j.)258,50000
Loan:16 % of OPWC Funds	1	k.)50,000 .00
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l	I.)0 .00
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	I	m.) <u>308,500</u> .00 <u>60</u> %
Total Financial Resources:	I	n.) 517,390 .00100 %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local</u> <u>resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	<u> </u>	<u> 100</u> %	Preservation letter is
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	00. 0	0 %	required for any impact to farmland
2.3 Total Project:	<u></u>	<u> 100 %</u>	

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:	01/03/2022	End Date: _	02/28/2023
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:	03/01/2023	End Date: _	03/31/2023
3.3 Construction	Begin Date:	04/01/2023	End Date: _	06/30/2023

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: ____15___ Years Age: ____2000 (Year built or year of last major improvement)

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge:	Current ADT <u>1,036</u>	Year <u>2021</u>	Projected	ADT <u>1,2</u>	<u>.64</u> Year	<u>2041</u>		
Water / Wastewater:	Based on monthly usag	ge of 4,500 gallons	per househ	old; attach c	urrent ordina	ances.		
Residential Water R	Rate	Current \$		Proposed	\$			
Number of house	holds served:	-						
Residential Wastew	vater Rate	Current \$		Proposed	\$			
Number of house	holds served:	_						

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

Ottawa County - Salem Township - Section 13 Little Portage East Road TR #112 Ottawa County - Allen Township - Sections 2, 11, 30 &31 Walbridge East Road TR #68 Curtice East & West Road TR #70

- Ottawa County Carroll Township Sections 26 & 27 Bier Road TR #97
- B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

Little Portage East Road

- 1. Apply 0.75" asphalt concrete scratch/leveling course to profile and smooth the roadway surface.
- 2. Overlay with 1.25" asphalt concrete surface course.
- 3. Apply berm stone.

Walbridge East Road

1. Apply an asphalt concrete scratch/leveling course to increase structural capacity as well as profile and smooth the roadway surface.

- 2. Overlay entire road with cold mix leveling course.
- 3. Apply berm stone.

Curtice East & West Road

1. Apply an asphalt concrete scratch/leveling course to increase structural capacity as well as profile and smooth the roadway surface.

- 2. Overlay entire road with cold mix leveling course.
- 3. Apply berm stone.

Bier Road

- 1. Perform spot pavement repairs as necessary to fix isolated pavement failures.
- 2. Apply 0.75" asphalt concrete scratch/leveling course to profile and smooth the roadway surface. Overlay with 1.25" asphalt concrete surface course.
- 3. Apply berm stone.
- C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

Little Portage East Road TR #112 - .1.03 miles long, 16 feet wide Walbridge East Road TR #68 - 1.00 miles long, 18.5 feet wide Curtice East & West Road TR #70 - .52 miles long, 20.5 feet wide Bier Road TR #97 - 1.52 miles long, 17.5 feet wide

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer (Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

	Name:	Richard Lenke							
	Title:	Salem Township Trustee							
	Address:	P.O. Box 417							
	City:	Oak Harbor State: OH Zip: 43449							
	Phone:	(419) 898-4579							
	FAX:	(419) 898-4126							
	E-Mail:	LenkeFarms@outlook.com							
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	lso serve as CEO)							
	Name:	Aaron Avery							
	Title:	Salem Township Fiscal Officer							
	Address:	11650 W Portage River South Road							
		P. O. Box 417							
	City:	Oak Harbor State: OH Zip: 43449							
	Phone:	(419) 351-9006							
	FAX:	(419) 898-4126							
	E-Mail:	salemtwp@cros.net							
5.3 Project Manager									
	Name:	Ronald P Lajti JR, PE PS							
	Title:	Ottawa County Engineer							
	Address:	8247 W State Route #163							
	City:	Oak Harbor State: OH Zip: 43449							
	Phone:	(419) 734-6777							
	FAX:	(419) 734-6768							
	E-Mail:	rlajti@co.ottawa.oh.us							

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

 \checkmark

A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.

A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for Ioan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the Ioan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.

 \checkmark

1

A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's <u>seal or stamp and signature.</u>

A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.

Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.



Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.

Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Richard Lenke, Salem Township Trustee

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15

The Board of Trustees of Salem Township, Allen Township, and Carroll Township, Ottawa County, Ohio, met in special session at the Ottawa County Engineer's Office, 8247 W State Route #163, Oak Harbor, Ohio on August 18, 2021 with the following in attendance:

Salem Township:Richard Lenke, Todd Winke, Aaron AveryAllen Township:Scott Everhardt, Craig BlauseyCarroll Township:Jordan Moore, James Meek

Jordan Moore, Carroll Township made the motion, second by Scott Everhardt, Allen Township to adopt the following resolution:

Resolution Number: SAC-2021-01 Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project Issue I Round 36 Ohio Public Works Grant/Loan Application Cooperation Agreement Date August 18, 2021

Whereas, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

Whereas, Salem Township, Allen Township, and Carroll Township are planning to make capital improvements to various roads in their respective townships, and

Whereas, the infrastructure improvements are considered to be a priority need for the communities and are qualified under the OPWC program, and

Whereas Salem, Allen, and Carroll Townships will execute a cooperation agreement for a joint road resurfacing project under Ohio Public Works Commission Issue 1, Round 36, in the amount of \$517,390.

Be it resolved, Salem Township, Allen Township, and Carroll Township, all of Ottawa County, Ohio, agree to enter into a cooperation agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project, and

Resolved, further agree to the following:

1. Salem, Allen, and Carroll Townships agree to provide a percentage of the total project costs based upon the Engineer's Estimates for their individual road projects. The preliminary ratio of cost sharing is:

Salem Township:	\$118,870 or 23%
Allen Township:	\$205,400 or 40%
Carroll Township:	\$193,120 or 37%

- 2. All participants agree that the proportions listed above are preliminary and subject to change based upon the final construction costs of each road in the application.
- 3. Salem, Allen, and Carroll Townships will each be responsible for cost overages for only their roads.
- 4. Salem, Allen, and Carroll Townships agree to pay their portion of the costs as invoiced by the County Engineer.
- 5. Salem, Allen, and Carroll Townships authorize the following:
 - Section 1: Salem Township to serve as lead applicant
 - Section 2: Richard Lenke, Salem Township Trustee, is hereby authorized and directed to apply to the OPWC for funds for the above described project.

Richard Lenke, Salem Township Trustee, is further authorized to enter into Section 3: any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

The resolution was duly adopted this 18th day of August, 2021.

Salem Township:

Allen Township:

Ronald Buehler, Township Trustee

Todd Winke, Township Trustee

Richard Lenke, Township Trustee

Ernest Cottrell, Jr, Township Trustee

Scott Everhardt, Township Trustee

Craig Blausey, Township Trustee

Carroll Township:

Jordan Moore, Township Trustee

Sandra Shearon, Township Trustee

Township Trustee James Meek,

I, Aaron Avery, Fiscal Officer of Salem Township, Ottawa County, Ohio, hereby do certify that the above Joint Board entered into this agreement this 18th day of August 2021 in a special meeting as stated.

wer

Aaron Avery Salem Township Fiscal Officer

Salem Township Ottawa County, Ohio PO Box 417 Oak Harbor, OH 43449

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Ronald Buehler Richard Lenke Todd Winke Aaron Avery, Fiscal Officer Matt Chasteen, Zoning Inspector

I, Aaron Avery, Fiscal Officer of Salem Township, hereby certify that Salem Township will collect the amount of \$50,000 in the Public Works Commission Project Fund and that this amount will be used to repay the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP loan requested for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project over a term of ten years.

Salem Township will collect loan payments from Allen Township and Carroll Township, co- applicants for this application, thirty days prior to the Ohio Public Works due date. Salem Township will submit payments to the Ohio Public Works Commission on the behalf of all three townships

Aaron Avery Salem Township Fiscal Officer

08-18-2021

Salem Township Ottawa County, Ohio PO Box 417 Oak Harbor, OH 43449

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Ronald Buehler Richard Lenke Todd Winke Aaron Avery, Fiscal Officer Matt Chasteen, Zoning Inspector

I, Aaron Avery, Fiscal Officer of Salem Township, hereby certify that Salem Township will have the amount of \$47,992 in the Road and Bridge Fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project when it is required.

Course

Aaron Avery Salem Township Fiscal Officer

08-18-2021

Allen Township Trustees Ottawa County P.O. Box 440 Williston, Ohio 43468-0440 (419) 836-4204

Ernest Cottrell 22095 West Honeysuckle Lane Curtice, Ohio 43412 (419) 340-7013

Scott Everhardt 22459 Bittersweet Lane Curtice, Ohio 43412 (419) 836-9614 Craig Blausey 4907 North Martin-Williston Road Martin, Ohio 43445 (419) 855-9056

Jennifer Witt, Fiscal Officer 3432 N. Nissen Road Martin, Ohio 43445 (419) 277-2761

I, Jennifer Witt, Fiscal Officer of Allen Township, hereby certify that Allen Township will have the amount of \$82,928 in the gas tax and/or road and bridge funds, and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project when it is required.

Jennifer Witt) Allen Township Fiscal Officer

42021

I, Tina Biggert, Fiscal Officer of Carroll Township, hereby certify that Carroll Township will have the amount of \$77,970 in the Road & Bridge and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project when it is required.

Tina Biggert / Carroll Township Fiscal Officer Date

8/18/2021

Allen Township Trustees Ottawa County P.O. Box 440 Williston, Ohio 43468-0440 (419) 836-4204

Ernest Cottrell 22095 West Honeysuckle Lane Curtice, Ohio 43412 (419) 340-7013

Scott Everhardt 22459 Bittersweet Lane Curtice, Ohio 43412 (419) 836-9614

Craig Blausey 4907 North Martin-Williston Road Martin, Ohio 43445 (419) 855-9056

Jennifer Witt, Fiscal Officer 3432 N. Nissen Road Martin, Ohio 43445 (419) 277-2761

I, Jennifer Witt, Fiscal Officer of Allen Township, hereby certify that Allen Township will collect the amount of \$19,849 in the gas tax and/or road and bridge funds ,and that this amount will be used to repay the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP loan requested for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project over a term of ten years.

Allen Township will submit loan payments to Salem Township, the lead applicant for this application, thirty days prior to the Ohio Public Works due date. Salem Township will submit payments to the Ohio Public Works Commission on our behalf.

Jennifer Allen Township Fiscal Officer

84/2021

I, Tina Biggert, Fiscal Officer of Carroll Township, hereby certify that Carroll Township will collect the amount of \$18,663 in the Road & Bridge and that this amount will be used to repay the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP loan requested for the Little-Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East & West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing Project over a term of ten years.

Carroll Township will submit loan payments to Salem Township, the lead applicant for this application, thirty days prior to the Ohio Public Works due date. Salem Township will submit payments to the Ohio Public Works Commission on our behalf.

Date

8/18/2021

Tina Biggert Carroll Township Fiscal Officer

Little Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East and West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 & Roads Resurfacing

Item	Qty	11.1.1.1.				
	~	Units	Description	U	nit Price	Total
			Little Portage East Road TR #112 (Salem Twp)		
407	1349	GALS	ТАСК СОАТ	\$	2.20	\$ 2,968.00
441	402	TON	0.75" ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE, TYPE 1	\$	80.00	\$ 32,160.00
441	681	TON	1.25" ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1, PG64	\$	80.00	\$ 54,480.00
411	226	TON	BERM STONE	\$	28.00	\$ 6,328.00
642	1.03	MILE	CENTERLINE, TYPE 1	\$	1,600.00	\$ 1,648.00
614	LUMP	SUM	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
103.05	LUMP	SUM	CONTRACT BOND	\$	1,539.00	\$ 1,539.00
			Subtotal - Little Portage East Road			\$ 104,200.00
			Percentage of Total Project Cost:			22.91%
			Walbridge East Road TR #68 (Allen Twp)			
405	869	TONS	#67 STONE	\$	52.00	\$ 45,188.00
405	64	TONS	CHOKE COAT, #9 STONE	\$	60.00	\$ 3,840.00
405	10779	GALS	CM-150, BITUMINOUS MATERIAL	\$	3.30	\$ 35,571.00
411	202	TONS	BERM STONE	\$	28.00	\$ 5,656.00
407	560	GALS	ТАСК СОАТ	\$	2.20	\$ 1,232.00
441	195	TONS	0.75" ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE (SCRATCH), TYPE 1	\$	90.00	\$ 17,550.00
614	LUMP	SUM	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	\$	3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00
103.05	LUMP	SUM	CONTRACT BOND	\$	1,681.00	\$ 1,681.00
			Subtotal - Walbridge East Road			\$ 113,800.00
			Percentage of Total Project Cost:			25.02%
			Curtice East and West Road TR #70 (Allen Twp)			
405	499	TONS	#67 STONE	\$	52.00	\$ 25,948.00
405	37	TONS	CHOKE COAT, #9 STONE	\$	60.00	\$ 2,220.00
405	6185	GALS	CM-150, BITUMINOUS MATERIAL	\$	3.30	\$ 20,411.00
407	258	GALS	ТАСК СОАТ	\$	2.20	\$ 568.00
441	90	TON	ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE (SCRATCH), TYPE 1	\$	90.00	\$ 8,100.00
411	105	TONS	BERM STONE	\$	28.00	\$ 2,940.00
614	LUMP	SUM	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	\$	4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
103.05	LUMP	SUM	CONTRACT BOND	\$	963.00	\$ 963.00
			Subtotal - Curtice East and West Road			\$ 65,200.00
			Percentage of Total Project Cost:			14.33%

Little Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East and West TR #70 and Bier TR #97 & Roads Resurfacing

	a hunderlight	1			AL AL	
			Bier Road TR #97 (Carroll Twp)			
253	54	CY	PAVEMENT REPAIR	\$ 200.00	\$	10,800.00
407	2189	GALS	ТАСК СОАТ	\$ 2.20	\$	4,816.00
441	652	TON	0.75" ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE, TYPE 1	\$ 78.00	\$	50,856.00
441	1117	TON	1.25" ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE, TYPE 1, PG64-22	\$ 78.00	\$	87,126.00
411	298	TON	BERM STONE	\$ 28.00	\$	8,344.00
642	1.52	MILE	CENTERLINE, TYPE 1	\$ 1,400.00	\$	2,128.00
614	LUMP	SUM	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	\$ 5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
103.05	LUMP	SUM	CONTRACT BOND	\$ 2,537.00	\$	2,537.00
			Subtotal - Bier Road		\$	171,700.00
			Percentage of Total Project Cost:			37.74%
			SUBTOTAL		\$	454,900.00
			ENGINEERING		\$	15,000.00
			PERMITS, LEGAL, ADVERTISING		\$	2,000.00
			CONTINGENCIES	10%	\$	45,490.00

Total

517,390.00

This Estimate was Prepared by :

Ronald P. Lajti, Jr., P.E., P.S. Ottawa County Engineer Project Life will be 15 years



\$

Little Portage East TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice East and West TR #70 and Bier Road TR #97 Roads Resurfacing

Traffic Counts - Actual and Estimated Ottawa County - Issue I 2021

Road Name	Road Number	Begin	End	Year	ADT actual	ADT 2041 est.
Little Portage East Walbridge East Curtice East & West Bier	TR #112 TR #68 TR #70 TR #97	Muddy Creek North Martin-Williston Wildacre Behlman	Salem/Bay Twp line Opfer-Lentz Genoa-Clay Center Atwater	2021 2021 2021 2021	75 178 542 241	92 217 661 294
			Total	1036	1264	

Estimates are based on actual 2021 traffic counts.

Traffic Counts were obtained by the Ottawa County Engineer's Office using mechanical counters. (see attached reports)

Ronald P. Lajti, Jr., P.E., P.S.

Ottawa County Engineer



Datasets: Site: Attribute: Direction: Survey Duration: Zone:	[SAL-TR112-0.50] SAL-TR112-0.50 LITTLE PORTAGE EAST ROAD 2 - East bound, A hit first. Lane: 0 14:52 Tuesday, June 22, 2021 => 9:07 Tuesday, June 29, 2021
File: Identifier: Algorithm: Data type:	SAL-TR112-0.50 0 2021-06-29 0908.EC0 (Plus) DH68A3TH MC56-L5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Oct04 Factory default (v3.21 - 15275) Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
Profile: Filter time: Included classes: Speed range: Direction: Separation: Name: Scheme: Units: In profile:	0:00 Wednesday, June 23, 2021 => 0:00 Tuesday, June 29, 2021 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 5 - 100 mph. North, East, South, West (bound) All - (Gap) OCE Traffic Count Vehicle classification (Scheme F) Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton) Vehicles = 449 / 519 (86.51%)

* Virtual Day - Total=75, 15 minute drops

0000	0100	0200	0300	0400	500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
C	0 0	0	0	1	1	3	3	5	5	6	5	4	7	7	6	7	4	2	2	3	1	1	1
C	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0
C	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	3	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
C	0 0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
C	0 0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
AM Pe	AM Peak 0945 - 1045 (7), AM PHF=0.83						Peak 1	430 - 1	530 (8), PM F	PHF=0.	82											

Numbers have been rounded to the nearest integer.

Datasets:	
Site:	[ALL-TR68-1.25] ALL-TR68-1.25
Attribute:	WALBRIDGE EAST ROAD
Direction:	2 - East bound, A hit first. Lane: 0
Survey Duration:	13:38 Tuesday, June 15, 2021 => 12:37 Monday, June 21, 2021
Zone:	•
File:	ALL-TR68-1.25 0 2021-06-21 1237.EC0 (Plus)
Identifier:	DH68A3TH MC56-L5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Oct04
Algorithm:	Factory default (v3.21 - 15275)
Data type:	Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
	an an and an
Profile:	
Filter time:	0:00 Wednesday, June 16, 2021 => 0:00 Monday, June 21, 2021
	1 0 0 1 5 0 7 0 0 10 11 10 10

Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:

0:00 Wednesday, June 16, 2021 => 0:00 Monday, June 21, 20 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 5 - 100 mph. North, East, South, West (bound) All - (Gap) OCE Traffic Count Vehicle classification (Scheme F) Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton) Vehicles = 889 / 1083 (82.09%)

* Virtual Day - Total=178, 15 minute drops

100 (200	0900	8468 8	500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
1	1	0	1	2	3	9	7	8	10	10	13	12	15	16	13	12	12	13	9	5	3	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	3	2	5	3	5	3	3	4	3	4	2	2	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	3	5	3	3	2	3	3	1	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	4	3	5	3	3	4	1	0	1	0
	100 (1 0 0 0 0	100 0200 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10000200000000000000000000000000000000	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 0 1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1		1 1 0 1 2 3 9 7	1 1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 3 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 4 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 4 4 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 5	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 4 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 4 4 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 5 3	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 5 3 3	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 <td< td=""><td>1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 <td< td=""><td>1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 <td< td=""><td>1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td></td<></td></td<></td></td<>	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 <td< td=""><td>1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 <td< td=""><td>1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td></td<></td></td<>	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 <td< td=""><td>1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td></td<>	1 0 1 2 3 9 7 8 10 10 13 12 15 16 13 12 12 13 9 5 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 2 5 3 5 3 3 4 3 4 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

AM Peak 1145 - 1245 (13), AM PHF=0.72 PM Peak 1445 - 1545 (16), PM PHF=0.86

Numbers have been rounded to the nearest integer.

Datasets:	
Site:	[C-E&W-TR-2.55] C-E&W-TR-2.55
Attribute:	CURTICE E&W ROAD
Direction:	8 - East bound A>B, West bound B>A. Lane: 0
Survey Duration:	14:35 Tuesday, June 15, 2021 => 11:43 Monday, June 21, 2021
Zone:	
File:	C-E_W-TR-2.55 0 2021-06-21 1144.EC0 (Plus)
Identifier:	DH81S60X MC56-L5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Oct04
Algorithm:	Factory default (v3.21 - 15275)
Data type:	Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
D CI	

Profile:

0:00 Wednesday, June 16, 2021 => 0:00 Monday, June 21, 2021
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13
5 - 100 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound)
All - (Gap)
OCE Traffic Count
Vehicle classification (Scheme F)
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Vehicles = 2709 / 3035 (89.26%)

Virtual Day - Total=542, ⁴5 minute drops

0000	0100	0200	0200	010	0.0000	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
3	2	1	1		3 8	13	17	18	25	28	35	44	42	42	48	44	44	34	31	24	18	12	5
1	1	0	()	1 1	2	3	4	6	6	8	11	12	13	10	8	10	8	8	6	5	4	1
1	1	0	C) (0 2	4	6	4	5	8	9	10	11	12	13	13	14	9	8	5	5	3	2
1	0	0	1		0 2	4	5	6	7	6	11	11	9	10	13	13	11	9	9	6	3	4	1
0	0	0	C)	1 4	2	3	5	7	8	7	11	9	8	12	10	8	8	6	7	4	1	1
AM Pe	ak 114	5 - 124	45 (40)	, AM F	PHF=0.8	7 PM	Peak	1500 -	1600 (48), PN	I PHF=	0.92											

Numbers have been rounded to the nearest integer.

00 0600 0700 0800 0900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500 1600 1700 1800 1900 2000 2100 2200 2300

6 3

1 2 2

<u>Datasets:</u> Site: Attribute: Direction: Survey Duration: Zone:	[CAR-TR98-3.80] CAR-TR98-3.80 BIER ROAD 8 - East bound A>B, West bound B>A. Lane: 0 15:39 Tuesday, June 22, 2021 => 9:32 Tuesday, June 29, 2021
File: Identifier:	CAR-TR98-3.80 0 2021-06-29 0932.EC0 (Plus) DH81S60X MC56-L5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Oct04
Algorithm:	Factory default (v3.21 - 15275)
Data type:	Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
Profile:	
Filter time:	0:00 Wednesday, June 23, 2021 => 0:00 Tuesday, June 29, 2021
Included classes:	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13
Speed range:	5 - 100 mph.
Direction:	North, East, South, West (bound)
Separation:	All - (Gap)
Name:	OCE Traffic Count

12 12

2 3

Vehicle classification (Scheme F) Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)

Vehicles = 1446 / 1643 (88.01%)

Scheme:

In profile:

Units:

0 0 0 0 1 1 4 4 3 3 3 4 3 AM Peak 1130 - 1230 (17), AM PHF=0.76 PM Peak 1600 - 1700 (24), PM PHF=0.84

8 11

Numbers have been rounded to the nearest integer.

Virtual Day - Total=241, 15 minute drops

COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT SECTION C.00.3.60 NPT COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND LOG RECORD Source 1000mm) NPT Source 1000mm NPT	SPECIA	L FEATURES			ОТ	TAWA CO	DUN	TY				ROAD NO. ROAD NAI		T-11 Little Portag	
Column Column <thcolumn< th=""> <thcolumn< t<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(</td><td>COUNTY EI</td><td>NGINEERING</td><td>G DE</td><td>PARTM</td><td>1Eľ</td><td>NT</td><td></td><td>SECTION</td><td>.69/ TH</td><td></td><td></td></thcolumn<></thcolumn<>				(COUNTY EI	NGINEERING	G DE	PARTM	1Eľ	NT		SECTION	.69/ TH		
Log CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND LOG RECORD DATE STAB					COUNTY		POAR	SYSTEM	1			R/W WID	ГН	60	
Core OF Schaft - 1 AUZ LOCATION_Edition at 5.8.453 Image assigned to 14.7.17.16 (Selection Tup) Selection 14.7.17.16 (Selection Tup) Selection 14.7.17.17.16 (Selection Tup) Selection 14.7.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.1												DATE EST	AB		
COLOR / OLC Statulation of S.R.453 Image and S														and the second se	5.00
1.02 mi. to Txop. line 000 mileage as of April 2008 100 mileage as of April 2008 YEAR B 1	LOCATIO	N Begin at T-217 in Salem Twp.		0.0	20 20 20	1.00	2.00 	t.			7 4.0	 1	1 1 1		
LO2 mi. to Txyp. line Image: Set of April 2008 Image: Set	<u>thence</u>	east ending at S.R.#53			7	Sa	7		-		SR	 	[
ODOT Tillege as of April 2008 VII DTI VII DTI </td <td></td> <td>1.02 mi. to Twp. line</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17</td> <td>em .</td> <td>14</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100'</td>		1.02 mi. to Twp. line			17	em .	14		3			 			100'
Number Network No. No. No. No. No. No. YEAR BUILT DESCRIPTION OF WORK COST TP No. No. No. 1982 single scal 0.50pal K5-2 20hs #Sr 56,745.38 No. 17 No. 1995 scal 0.50pal K5-2 20hs #Sr 56,775.39 1.02 1.02 1.02 1995 scal 0.50pal K5-2 20hs #Sr 56,775.39 1.02 1.02 1995 scal 0.50pal K5-2 20hs #Sr 56,775.39 1.02 1.02 1997 dia coat #57 6150h57. C0200 (#1.10pal/S.1. chike #75 512.8557.8) 440,108.89 1.02 1998 frag coat #57 6150h57. C0200 (#1.10pal/S.1. chike #75 512.8557.8) 440,108.89 17 2005 MIII 2" hem 404 w/15" hem 943,077.45 1.02 2018 Chip & scal 0.42 gal, HR5 22hs #51 55,202.37 1.02 109 Scal 0.42 gal, HR5 22hs #51 943,077.45 1.02 100 17 1.63 1.02 2018 Chip & scal 0.42 gal, HR5 22hs #51 943,077.45 1.02 109 1.02 1.02 1.02 1017 1.63 1.02 1.02 2018 Chip & scal 0.42 gal, HR5 22hs #51 943,077.45 1.03 1	0007								_			 	i		
VEAR BUILT DESCRIPTION OF WORK COST WIDTH TELESTH 12" 00% 1982 single seal 0.50gal R5-2 20hr #5% \$6,765.38 \$6,765.38 1.02 1		lieage as of April 2008		0.00		1.02	2.0d	2.76				 			100'
YEAR BUILT DESCRIPTION OF WORK COST WDTH TYPE LENGTH 100 1982 single scal 0.50gal R5-2 28hs #8's \$5,75.38 1.02 1.02 1982 single scal 0.50gal R5-2 28hs #8's \$6,312.06 1.02 1.02 1996 scal 0.50gal R5-2 28hs #8's \$6,312.06 1.02 1.02 1.02 1997 dring cost #57 @1508.05, V. CX000 @1.1508.05, WD CX000 \$40,059.41 \$45,971.40 1.02												 			
YEAR DESCRIPTION OF WORK COST TYPE 1982 single seal 0.50gal R5: 2.20bs #5% 95,75.38 1.02 1982 single seal 0.50gal R5: 2.20bs #5% 95,75.39 1.02 1996 seal 0.50gal R5: 2.20bs #5% 95,75.39 1.02 1997 drag coat #57 e11500/SY. Chi50 e1L0gal/SY. 940,105.99 100 1.02 1998 drag coat #57 e11500/SY. Chi50 e1L0gal/SY. 940,105.99 100 1.02 1998 drag coat #57 e11500/SY. Chi50 e1L0gal/SY. 940,105.99 1.02 17 1098 drag coat #57 e11500/SY. Chi50 e1L0gal/SY. 943,07.45 1.60 17 1007 1.02 17 1.60 17 1098 drag coat #57 e11500/SY. Chi50 e1L0gal/SY. 943,07.45 1.60 17 2007 Sturry Seal-0.47 gal.478-5 2205 8% 943,07.72 1.64 1.64 109 1.02 1.64 1.64 1.64												 			OVER
BUILT CENCENCION CENCENCION LENGTH 1982 single scal 0.50gal R5-2 28hs #8's 45,755.38 45,755.38 1.02 1982 single scal 0.50gal R5-2 28hs #8's 45,312.05 1.02 1.02 1996 seel 0.50gal R5-2 28hs #8's 45,312.05 1.02 1.02 1997 drag cost #57 @1300/SY. CM200 @1.102/SIX.s. cobs #95 @12.85/s.x. 490,08.98 1.02 1.7" 1998 drag cost #57 @1501/SY. CM200 @1.102/SIX.s. cobs #95 @12.85/s.x. 450,07.49 1.02 1.7" 2005 MIII 2" Item 404 w/15" berm 433,07.45 1.02 1.7" 2018 Chip & stal 0.42 gal. HFRS 22bs %5, Rog seal 0.15 gal/y. 534,307.22 1.60 17" 2018 Chip & stal 0.42 gal. HFRS 22bs %5, Rog seal 0.15 gal/y. 534,307.22 1.64 1.64	YEAR	DECEMPTION OF WORK	COST									 			∃
1962 single scal 0.50gal R5-2 29bs #8's 95,765.38 1978 single scal 0.50gal R5-2 29bs #8's 96,312.06 1998 sel 0.50gal R5-2 29bs #8's 96,312.06 1997 ding coat #67 @130bj/Sr. CM200 @1.102/11.004 986,0108.98 1997 ding coat #67 @130bj/Sr. CM200 @1.102/11.004 940,108.98 1998 ding coat #67 @130bj/Sr. CM200 @1.102/11.004 1.02 1998 ding coat #67 @130bj/Sr. CM200 @1.102/11.004 1.02 1998 ding coat #67 @130bj/Sr. CM200 @1.02/11.004 1.02 2007 Mill 2" ten 404 w/18" bern Fog seal 0.12 gal/Sr. 954,307.42 108 25,202.07 1.60 109 1.02 1.60 100 17 1.60 101 1.7 1.60 101 1.02 1.60 102 1.60 1.7 103 1.02 1.60 104 1.02 1.60 105 1.02 1.60 109 594,307.22 1.64 100		DESCRIPTION OF WORK	CUSI												1
1.02 1.02 1982 single scal 0.50gal HPR-5 20lbs #8's 96,312.05 1996 scal 0.50gal HPR-5 20lbs #8's 98,575.39 1997 dirag coat #67 @150lb/Sr. CMIS0 @L0gal/Sr. 940,108.98 1998 freg coat #67 @150lb/Sr. CMIS0 @L0gal/Sr. 940,108.98 1998 freg coat #67 @150lb/Sr. CMIS0 @L0gal/Sr. 946,971.40 1098 freg coat #67 @150lb/Sr. CMIS0 @L0gal/Sr. 956,971.40 1098 freg coat #67 @150lb/Sr. CMIS0 @L0gal/Sr. 956,971.40 1098 freg coat #67 @150lb/Sr. 943,077.45 2005 MHI 2' Item 404 w/16' Item 943,077.45 2018 Chip & stall 0.42 gai/ HPR-5 2205 & Sr. 954,307.22 2018 Chip & stall 0.42 gai/ HPR-5 2205 & Sr. 954,307.22 2019 Start Y Seal/LI & Stall 0.42 gai/ HPR-5 2205 & Sr. 954,307.22 2019 Start Y Seal/LI & Stall 0.42 gai/ HPR-5 2205 & Sr. 954,307.22 2010 Start Y Seal/LI & Stall 0.42 gai/ HPR-5 2205 & Sr. 954,307.22 2011 Start Y Seal/LI & Stall 0.42 gai/ HPR-5 2205 & Sr. 954,307.22 <	1082	single seal 0.50gal RS-2 25lbs #8's	\$6,765.38		<u> </u>	17'						 			1
1982 single seal 0.50gal RS-2 28bs #8's 96,312.05 1996 seal 0.50gal RS-2 28bs #8's 96,575.39 1997 @.frag coat #67 @150b/SY. CMS0 \$40,108.98 1998 drag coat #67 @150b/SY. CMS0 \$40,108.98 1998 reg coat #67 @150b/SY. CMIS0 @1.0ga/SY. \$16,971.40 1998 reg coat #67 @150b/SY. CMIS0 @1.0ga/SY. \$16,971.40 2005 MIII 2' Item 404 w/18' Item \$43,097.45 2018 Sturry Seal-Type II-(18bs) \$25,920.97 2018 Chip & sual 0.42 gal, HFR-5 2208 8's, frequencing \$34,307.22 Image: Seal CMS and DA2 gal, HFR-5 2208 8's, frequencing \$34,307.22 1.60 Image: Seal CMS and DA2 gal, HFR-5 2208 8's, frequencing \$34,307.22 1.64 Image: Seal CMS and DA2 gal, HFR-5 2208 8's, frequencing \$34,307.22 1.64 Image: Seal CMS and DA2 gal, HFR-5 2208 8's, frequencing \$34,307.22 1.64 Image: Seal CMS and DA2 gal, HFR-5 2208 8's, frequencing \$34,307.22 1.64 Image: Seal CMS and CMS	1902			4		1.02									
1996 seal 0.30gal HPR-2 20lbs #8's \$8,575.39 16' 1997 drag cost #5' 0 150b(S.*.CN200 @ 1.10pal(S.*.Ch200 @ 1.10pal(S.*.Ch200 @ 1.10pal(S.*.Ch200 @ 1.10pal(S.*.Ch200 @ 1.10pal(S.*.Ch200 bride #9'S @ 120b/S.*.Ch200 bride #9'S @ 120b/S.*.Ch200	1982	single seal 0.50gal RS-2 25lbs #8's	\$6,312.05							e and e a		 			=
1000 1.02 1997 drag coat #57 @150lb/SY, CM250 @1.0gal/SY, CM250 @1.				1											
1997 drag cost #57 @1500[\$Y, CM500 @110gdl(SY, choke #95 @12185/sy. \$40,108.98 17	1996	seal 0.50gal HFRS-2 30lbs #8's	\$8,575.39		1.02							 			
1998 drag cott #67 @1500/5/S.Y. CMIS0 @1.0gal/S.Y. choke #95 @12LB5/S.y. \$160 2005 Mill 2' item 404 w/18'' bern \$43,097.45 2007 Slurry Seal-Type II-(1Bits) \$25,920.97 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22bs 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22bs 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Sturry Seal-Type II-(1Bits) \$34,307.22 2019 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2010 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2011 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2012 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2013 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2014 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$31,500 2015 Surry Seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$31,500 2016 Surry	1007	drag coat #67 @150lb/S.Y. CM200	¢40 105 09	1			-laura		17'		-	 			_
1998 ^{creg} coat: #57 @150b/S.Y. CNIS0 @1.0ga/S.Y. choke #95 @12.B5/sy. st 12.B5/sy. 2005 Mill 2" item 404 w/18" bern st 43,097.45 17 2007 Sturry Seal-Type II-(18bs) ^s 25,920.97 1.0 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-S 22bb 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. ^s 34,307.22 1.60 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-S 22bb 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. ^s 34,307.22 1.64 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-S 22bb 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. ^s 34,307.22 1.64 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-S 22bb 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. ^s 34,307.22 1.64 2018 Chip & seal 0.25 gal./sy. ^s 34,307.22 ^s 34,807.22 ^s 34,807.22 YMBOLS FOR ROAD TYPES GRAVEL OR STONE GRAVEL OR STONE GRAVEL OR STONE GRAVEL OR STONE BIC/C/ BIT. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT BIC/C BIT. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT BIC/C	1997	@1.10gal/S.Y. choke #9'S @12LBS/s.y.	\$40,108.98				1		1.60		1				1
2005 Mill 2" item 404 w/18" bern \$43,097.45 2007 Sturry Seal-Type II-(18lbs) \$25,920.97 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,007.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,007.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,007.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,007.22 2018 Sturry Seal-Type II-(18lbs) \$34,007.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HR-S 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$31,007.22 2019 Sturry Seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$31,007.20 2010 Sturry Seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$31,007.20 2010 Sturry Seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$31,007.20 2010 <td< td=""><td>1008</td><td>drag coat #67 @150lb/S.Y. CM150 @1.0gal/S.Y.</td><td>\$16,971.40</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td>17'</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ゴ</td></td<>	1008	drag coat #67 @150lb/S.Y. CM150 @1.0gal/S.Y.	\$16,971.40		<u> </u>	17'									ゴ
2005 Mill 2" item 404 w/18" bern \$43,097,45 2007 Slurry Seal-Type II-(18lbs) \$25,920.97 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2019 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2019 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2018 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 2019 Starter and a seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8%, Fog		choke #9'S @12LBS/s.y.		_											
2007 Slury Seal-Type II-(18bs) \$25,920.97 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22bs 85, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 10 164 10 1.64 10<	2005	Mill 2" item 404 w/18" berm	\$43,097.45		<u> </u>					-		 			=
2007 Sturry Seal-Type II-(18lbs) \$25,920.97 2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-5 22lbs 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 19' 1.64				-		1.0			171						
2018 Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-S 22lbs 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy. \$34,307.22 1.64 1.64 1.64 1.64 1.64 1.64	2007	Slurry Seal-Type II-(18bs)	\$25,920.97									 			=
2018 Fog seal 0.15 gal/sy. \$34,307.22 1.64 Image: Symbol s for Road Types Image: Symbol s for Road Types Image: Symbol s for Road Types PRIMITIVE GRAVED AND DRAINED Image: Symbol s for Road Types Image: Symbol s for Road Types PRIMITIVE GRAVED AND DRAINED Image: Symbol s for Road Types Image: Symbol s for Road Types				-							1				
PRIMITIVE GRAVEL OR STONE GRAVEL OR STONE GRAVEL OR STONE GRAVEL OR STONE BUT, SURFACE-TREATED MIXED BITUMINOUS Image: Supplement of the supplem	2018		\$34,307.22		F				1.64			 			
PRIMITIVE GRAVEL OR STONE E BIT. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT				-	<u></u>							 			
PRIMITIVE GRAVEL OR STONE E BIT. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT												 			
PRIMITIVE GRAVEL OR STONE E BIT. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT				1	J							 			_
PRIMITIVE GRAVEL OR STONE E BIT. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT												 			
GRADED AND DRAINED MIXED BITUMINOUS BRICK							RE	MARKS				 			
GRADED AND DRAINED L					 BIT. CONC. OR SH CONCRETE 	HEET ASPHALT	_								
	G	RADED AND DRAINED MIXED	BITUMINOUS	C2	BRICK							 			

SPECIAI	_ FEATURES			0	TTA	WA COL	JNTY			ROAD NO ROAD NAME_		
				COUNTY	ENG	NEERING	DEPART	MEN	IT	SECTION NET LENGTH	0-3.68 3.68 ^{Sa} Ba	
						TOWNSHIP R				R/W WIDTH_ DATE ESTAB	60′	
CARD_LO											SCALE 1" = 1 MILE	
LOCATION	<u>N Begin at T-217 in Sale</u> e east ending at S.R.#53	m Twp.	0	.00	1,00			711131				00
					S III							OVER
	1.02 mi. to Twp. line			217	len		E A	-27				200'
1					1.02	2.00		27	36			1007
												200
												OVER
			WIDTH	13'	15'		,	6'	14'	l		l
YEAR BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	TYPE LENGTH			3.68			E2			1
			CENGIN				16'					
1947	See over						2.68					1
1050	drag CBAE RS-2	\$9,812.22		<u> </u>			16'		J			1
1956		*9,01C.CC	ļ	1.41			2.64					1
1960	drag 0.85 MC-4 #46 951bs #9 101bs	\$3,487		14'	-							1
1961	seal 0.4 RS-2 301bs #6	\$1,304				1.0						1
1966	1½" drag CBAE-3 21.10 #67 21351bs	\$9,426.36		<u> </u>				16'				1
1967	0.85gal MC-800 100lbs #67	\$4,198.78		15'				1.64	20 			1
				1.02								l
1968	1.10gal CBAE 1351bs #67	\$6,342.40		<u> </u>		17'						1
			-			0.96		16'				
1969	301b single seal MC-3000	\$2,419.81	1									1
			-		Ξ.	17'		1.68				
1971	single seal MC-3000 20.50 #9 230lbs	\$2,035.62				0.96						1
			-	14'								
1977	1001b drag CMS 21.10gal	\$11,513.73		1.02	- 1							ł
1981	seal	\$12,168.80	1					17'				1
							-	1.64				
		BOLS FOR RO					REMARKS_					
UNI	MPROVED BIT. SI	JRFACE-TREATED		CONCRETE	SHEET AS	HALT CZ						
	ADED AND DRAINED MIXED	BITUMINOUS INOUS PENETRATI		BRICK BLOCK								

		Τ	T	Τ	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
or the second management of the second structure of the second structure of the second structure of the second						Cost
1947 - S.T. 1.25gal MS-1a 75lbs #46 10lbs #9 22lbs #6						\$9,109.12
· ·						
						+
			<u> </u>			
					A	
	-					
				l		

				OUNTY ENC COUNTY AN CONSTRUCTIO	ND TOWNSHIP I ON PROJECT AN	DEPARTMEN ROAD SYSTEM ND LOG RECORD	ດ	RO/ SEC NET R/W DAT	TION LENGTH_7.17/ / WIDTH E ESTAB SCALE 1" =	T-68 Valbridge East 0.0-7.17 ODOT Benton=4.03 ODOT Allen=3.06 = 1 MILE OVER 200' 100' E-F 100'
							Ţ			200'
YEAR BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	WIDTH TYPE				<u>\</u>			OVER
1994	Seal by Twp.	Est. \$8,699.40	F			16'		16'	-	
1995	Seal by Twp.		⊨				16'	1.04		
2001	Seal by Twp.		=				16'			
2010	150lb drag w/#67 stone 0.93gal CM-150, w/choke coat 12lbs. #9	\$61,751.58			18.5' 0.99					
2011	150lb drag w/#67 stone 0.93gal CM-150, w/choke coat 12lbs. #9	\$108,573.97					17.5' 1.00Mi.			
2015	150lb drag w/#67 stone 0.93gal CM-150, w/choke coat 12lbs. #9	\$177,527.60						_	18'	
			=							
			- · 							
					0	1				
UN GR	IMITIVE GRAVI IMPROVED BIT. S ADED AND DRAINED MIXED	BOLS FOR RO EL OR STONE URFACE-TREATED DITUMINOUS MINOUS PENETRAT		BIT. CONC. OR SHEET CONCRETE BRICK BLOCK	ASPHALT	REMARKS				

SPECIAL FEA		Тwp		COUNTY E	NGI Y AND	TOWNSH	IG E IP RC	DEPART		T			ROAD N SECTION NET LEN R/W WI	N	Walbridge 0.0-7. 7.17 Ben	17
LOCATION I	Begin at C-51 in Allen	Тwp		COUNT	Y AND	TOWNSH	IP RC	AD SYST		T			NET LEP	IGTH		
LOCATION I	Begin at C-51 in Allen	Тwp		COUNT	Y AND	TOWNSH	IP RC	AD SYST							7.17 Ben	4.03
LOCATION I	Begin at C-51 in Allen	Т <u>wp.</u>	0.00	CONSTRU	Y AND	TOWNSHI PROJECT		AD SYST	FM				R/W WI	DTH		
LOCATION I	Begin at C-51 in Allen	Т <u>wp</u>	0.00	CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT										
LOCATION I	Begin at C-51 in Allen	Тмр	0.00				AND	LOG REC	ORD				DATE ES	STAB		
LOCATION_I thence e	<u>Begin at C-51 in Allen</u> ast ending at S.R. 590	Twp	0.00												LE 1" = 1 MILE	
thence e	ast ending at S.K. 590				-1.00	ىلىيىلىيىن	^{2.00}		$\gamma = \frac{3.00}{1}$		mmm	.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	l	5.00	mmmm	
			0					4	YET	ç		1 9		1 ?		OVER
			151				12-14		F	213		805		9		200
									34							1
					Lo		2.0		N	3.0	•	1		5.1	· · · · · · ·	> €→
										F				1		200
										f						OVER
										+						
YEAR	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	WIDTH TYPE	16'		14'		15,		6'	8'		14'		19'	t
BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	0001	LENGTH ER	1.00	ES	1.01	ES	1.06	£5 0.	o's c c	.99	C ,E5	1.04	ES	0.96	,
1948	Surface: 1'	\$4,410.45	E													4
1240	See back of card 2		,													
1958	Surface: 12" Base: 6"			1.00										,		4
				1.00												_
1959	Seal		F												0.96	4
1959	(stoned)		L F													1
1939	(stoned)						•			, 0	.99	1				
1960	Surface: 1½'	\$5,658.30														1
1960	Sur ruce: 1/2	\$0,000.00								. 0	.99					
1969 s	ingle seal by Twp. forces												16'		16'	d.
1,0,, 0	ingle seat by the seat		- '	16'									1.04		0.96	
1970	Single seal by Twp.															1
			-	1.0									16'			
1970 10	001b drag CBAE-3 #67 stone by Benton Twp. forces		. =										and the second second			1
	by Benton Twp. Forces		-							,		16'	1.04	,		
1971	single seal by Twp forces								····			2.03				-
									······	L	16'					-1
1984	Drag by Twp.		L F							-	1.00					-1
1985	Drag by Twp.		F		-											1
								REMARKS_								
		BOLS FOR RO	JAD TYPES	BIT. CONC. OR S	CHEET ACT	HALT C		TEMMINS								
PRIMITI UNIMPR	ROVED BIT. SL	L OR STONE JRFACE-TREATED	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	CONCRETE	SUCCI NO											
GRADE	D AND DRAINED C MIXED	BITUMINOUS INOUS PENETRAT		BRICK BLOCK												

SPECIAL	FEATURES				
				OTTAWA COUNTY ROAD NAMECurtice East & We	est
			C	COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT	<u> </u>
			C.	NET ERGIN	6.0
				COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM	
				CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND LOG RECORD DATE ESTAB	
CARDO	F_2 N_ Begin at C-2 in Allen Twp.		0.0	SCALE 1" = 1 MILE <u> <u> </u> </u>	0
LOCATION	ast ending in C-213				OVER
					200'
					100*
ODOT m	ileage as of April 2008			1100 2.01 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 5.12 5.1	100'
					500,
					OVER
YEAR			WIDTH	16' <u>14'-12'</u> <u>9'-12'</u>	
BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	TYPE LENGTH	F 4.10 F E2 1.02 E2 1.00	
				18'	
2007	150lb #67, 12lb #9, w/.93gal. CM-150	\$55,404.65		1.00	
		West mi.=\$82,299.56 East Mi.=79,577.81		21' \$82,299.56	
2008	150lb #67, 12lb #9, w/.93gal. CM-150	Total=\$161,877.37		1.01	
2019	150lb drag w/#67 stone 0.93gal CM-150,	\$71,277.80		18'	
2019	w/choke coat 12lbs. #9	<i>4/1,2/1.00</i>		0.98	
			4		
			4		
			-		
			-		
			-		
		MBOLS FOR R		s REMARKS	
		VEL OR STONE			
U	NIMPROVED BIT.	SURFACE-TREATED	TION TION	ZI CONCRETE	
	RADED AND DRAINED MIXE OIL-SURFACED BITU	D BITUMINOUS			

SPECIAL	FEATURES			0				TY					ROAD NO)	T-70 Intice East	
														0.0-6.	12	
			6	COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT								SECTION				
			C	UUNIY	ENGTINE	ECKIN	GUEF	Ar	ITTE	N I			NET LEN		6.02	
				COLINT	Y AND TO	NVINSHT		SYS	TEM				R/W WIDTH			
													DATE ESTAB			
CARD	2			CONSTRU											LE 1" = 1 MILE	
LOCATION	Begin at C-2 in Allen Ti	wp.	0.00	CONSTRU	1,00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.00	סויין	aurra,	00	****	m		.00 mm		6.00
thence	east ending in C-213							न्द	1					++		OVER
			5					107	000 U	<u> </u>				-214		200
			-				tide 23	246	10Ce	 				+ +		100'
															and the second second	■ > E >
					5		2.03	-St-	2.79 2.5} C-72	3.08	410		-is-	5.12		
								-4	<u>c</u>	68-						200
			_		54		53 Sa	5#	2							OVER
					<u>i</u> l		U	-++	<u>1</u>						0(-12)	
YEAR	DECCOLOTION OF WORK	COST	WIDTH TYPE			777	16'				1.1.1.1	1	14'-12'		9'-12'	-
BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	LENGTH	F			4.10				F	53	1.02	ES	1.00	
			H						1	5'						_
1953		\$1,722.50	F						2.	07		1				
												-	17'			
1960	Seal		F					-					1.02			
	New Construction				1	18'	1						······			
1965	1.0gal MC-5 1301bs #46	\$6,817.68	F			1.00			-							
								,	Bridge, 18	3 [,] ,						
1967	1.10gal CBAE 1351b #46 1½*	\$1,787.59			······································				0.3	20						
							10				18'					
1968	1.10gal MC-800 1351bs #67	\$17,257.92	l l	18'			18		18'							ヨ
1,000	(4 sections)		· ·	1.01			0.4	8	0.25,		1.01					
			1				18'									
1970	single seal by Twp.						4.0									
			1.						18'							
1975	1001b drag w/8's CBAE 1.10gal	\$6,379.13		~			<u>}</u>		0,56	-						_1
		+00 071 07	1.								18'					
1994	1501b drag CM150 LTIP grant	\$29,871.87 + berms									1.01					
			-			A=19'					B=18'					1
1996	seal 0.50gal HFRS-2 30lbs 8's	A=\$10,077.65 B=\$9,716.38						_			1.01					
		J=47,710.38	-		<i>.</i>	1.00			, 18'		1.01					
1998	2001b drag CM-150 1' bern each side-town to creek 2' bern	\$18,860.20							the state of the s	-						
1770	each side-creek to T-51			ا المراجعة المراجعة ال			<u>ı</u>		0,56							
	SYM	BOLS FOR RO	DAD TYPES				REN	MARK	S							
		EL OR STONE	÷	BIT. CONC. O CONCRETE	R SHEET ASPHA											
		URFACE-TREATED D BITUMINOUS	R. R. R.	BRICK		×										
	DIL-SURFACED BITUM	INOUS PENETRAT	ION CZZ	BLOCK		states -		-								

SPECIA	L FEATURES			ОТТ	AWA CO	JNTY			ROAD NO ROAD NAME	T-97 Bier
			SECTION NET LENGTH_	0.00-6.00 5.98/ODOT=6.00						
COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND LOG RECORD									R/W WIDTH DATE ESTAB	60'
CARD			·							CALE 1" = 1 MILE
LOCATIO	N_Begin at C-23 in Carrol Twp.		0.00		50 50 50	10 10		++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	<mark>5.00</mark>	
thence	east ending at T-26		-			65 AS	+	7	ç	OVER
			Ī		92	9	02	101	104	200
										→(E)>
ODOT m	ileage as of April 2008		0.00	0.9	1.9	2.9		3.98	4.99	5.53
	and a management of the second s		8						T-103	200'
			-						ω	OVER
			WIDTH .		الــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		- <mark>l</mark>	<u></u>	t	
YEAR BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	LENGTH							
			Lettern							18'
1992	single seal w/30lbs #8 0.50gal MW5-90	\$8,828.25	F							0.99
1005	2¾" hot mix AC-20	\$38,685.58		17'			<u></u>			
1995	274 NOT MIX AC-20	\$30,005.30		1.00			_			
1996	2 ³ /4" hot mix AC-20 24" berms	\$179,680.17	Ŀ				17'			
1000					1		5.00			
2017	Asphalt Concrete Surface Coarse Type 1 (1.25") PG 64-22 (448) (1.5" Drives), Asphalt Concrete	\$133,134.42		17'						
	Intermediate Coarse Type 1 (1") PG 64-22		-	0.98	Base Repairs 4.	5" deep, 4'-6' in width			-	
2018	Chip & seal 0.42 gal. HFR-S 22lbs 8's, Fog seal 0.15 gal./sy.	\$36,321.49	F						2.01	
	Asphalt Concrete Surface Coarse Type 1 (1.5")		-						2.02	
2018	PG 64-22 (448) (w/ Drives), (0.75") Intermediate Coarse Type 1 (scratch) 448	\$117,800.90	l F		0.98					
	Internetiate coase type 1 (seatch) 110		-		0.98	Base Repa	airs 4.5" deep, 4'-6' in '	width		
							-			
			1.							
			1.			2				
			F F	Accounting						
			1 .							
			F						2	
	SYM	BOLS FOR RO	DAD TYPES			REMARKS				
		EL OR STONE		BIT. CONC. OR SHEE CONCRETE	TASPHALT					
G	RADED AND DRAINED	URFACE-TREATED BITUMINOUS	r de la centra de	BRICK						
S	DIL-SURFACED BITUM	INOUS PENETRAT	ION Za	BLOCK						

					REMARKS		ТЛАН92А ТЭЭН2 ЯО . :	BLOCK BRICK CONCRETE BRICK CONC,	¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	AOR ROR ROA or stone strated stiminous ious penetratio		L-SURFACED DED AND DRAINED MPROVED MITIVE	CRA UNI
					2'00				l	15.115,952	10002.0 2'8 2	16-SMW 108/M 1085 albuis	1661
	05'0									\$14,613.20		1351b drag 1.35gal # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	6861
			91 10,1							\$52'313'16	1.359al CMS-2	1321p grag w/67's	8861
						.91				20'686'96\$	1.35gat CMS-2	13216 drag w/6/2	286T
		05.0 181			·					\$3'345'80	K2-5 52IP2	2003.0 Joss sleniz 2'8#	586I
		0'20								\$15,155.90		10005 drag 1.20gal	£86I
	0'20				2'00 19,					\$3,593.67 \$30,212.05	2'8 2di25 2-2	8 2000.0 1005.0 800 8 9 2000.0 1005.0 900 8	
ļ					2'00						forces	σωτ να ίδος	8261
	0'66 18,										CBAE & MWS	Single seal 0.60gal # sd10£	9261
	,81 18'								_	\$15,242.30	00 WIX s qrag MC-90	New Constr, 1351b. Prine MC-8	8261
									LENGTH TYPE WIDTH	COST	ое мовк	DESCRIPTION (AAAY TJIU8
ОЛЕВ 500	-105												
	5.98 5.52	66.4	3.98			861	6.0		0.00				
100. 500.	C+26	C-104	<u>11-101</u>	- 105			-92	-53					
00. 00	9 9 10 1 = 1 WICE	2.00	0.5						0	.GwT Ju		N. Begin at C-i se east ending	
	,09	HTDIW W/A 			ID LOG RECOR							OF	СУВО
5	86.2 86.2	NET LENGTH		ENT	DEPARTM	ERING	LA ENGINE	гилоэ					
	79-T Tela	ROAD NO.			YTNU	N COI	MATTO					AL FEATURES	Zbect

SPECI	AL FEATURES		OTTAWA COUNTY ROAD NO. 1-97 ROAD NAME Bier
			COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT SECTION 0-6.02 NET LENGTH 6.02-5.98
			COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM R/W WIDTH 60'
CARD_L			CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND LOG RECORD DATE ESTAB
LOCATI	ON <u>Begin at C-23 in Carr</u> ce east ending at T-26	ol Twp.	
			C-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
	T		
YEAR BUILT	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COST	WIDTH 8' 10' 16' 20' 8' TYPE
1946	Surface: 1'	\$1,691.62	LENGTH C 0.56 CE2 1.43 E2'F 2.01 F'E2 1.02 E2'C 1.00
	L.M.C.4 Sur. Tr.	41,051.02	2.01
1947			16'
1950	Seal	\$3,713	16'
			3.03
1963	Drag 1351bs MC-4	\$5,714	
1964	Surface: 1½° 1351bs MC-5	\$4,995	16'
			1.00
1964	Surface: 1½° 1351bs MC-5 Prime MCl 0.50	\$5,782	
1965	New 1½' drag MC-5 21.10 #46 21351bs	\$5,052.49	16'
			1.01
1966	1½' drag MC-800 21.10 #67 21351bs	\$5,261.01	
1967	0.40gal MC-3000 30lbs #8 Seal	\$1,382.32	1.02
r			1.00
1970	single seal 0.40gal MC-3000 30lbs #8	A=\$1,411.91 B=\$4,677.02	A 16' B 16' 3.01
•		BOLS FOR ROA	AD TYPES REMARKS
UNI	IMPROVED BIT. SU	OR STONE RFACE-TREATED	ET. CONC. OR SHEET ASPHALT
	ADED AND DRAINED C MIXED IL-SURFACED BITUMI	BITUMINOUS NOUS PENETRATIO	Example CONCRETE BRICK Second ION Ed.



Figure 1. Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Scale

3

ROAD NAME: LITTLE PORTAGE EAST RD LOG MILE: <u>MUDDY CREEK NORTH RD</u> TO: <u>SALEM/BAY TOWNSHIP LINE</u>

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING FORM

DATE: <u>8/5/2021</u> RATED BY: <u>CRM</u>

DISTRESS	DISTRESS	DISTRESS SEVERITY WT.*						EXTENT WT.*				
DISTRESS	WEIGHT		L	M	Н		0	F	E	DEDUCT POINTS***		
RAVELING	10	L	0.3	0.6	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	3.0		
BLEEDING	5	-	0.8	0.8	1	-	0.6	0.9	1			
PATCHING	5	-	0.3	0.6	1	-	0.6	0.8	1			
POTHOLES/DEBONDING	10	L	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.8	1	2.0		
CRACK SEALING DEFICIENCY	5	Μ	1	1	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	5.0		
RUTTING	10	-	0.3	0.7	1	-	0.6	0.8	1			
SETTLEMENT	10	Μ	0.5	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.8	1	3.5		
CORRUGATIONS	5	-	0.4	0.8	1	-	0.5	0.8	1			
WHEEL TRACK CRACKING	15	L	0.4	0.7	1	F	0.5	0.7	1	4.2		
BLOCK AND TRANSVERSE CRACKING	10	M	0.4	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.7	1	7.0		
LONGITUDINAL JOINT CRACKING	5	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1			
EDGE CRACKING	5	Μ	0.4	0.7	1	Ε	0.5	0.7	1	3.5		
RANDOM CRACKING	5	M	0.4	0.7	1	F	0.5	0.7	1	2		
								TOTAL	DEDUCT =	30.65		
*L = LOW **O = OCCASIONA	L				SUM	OF S	TRUCTUR	AL DEDUC	T (BOLD) =	15.65		
M = MEDIUM F = FREQUENT							100 - TO	TAL DEDU	CT = PCR =	69.35		
H = HIGH E = EXTENSIVE												

***DEDUCT POINTS = DISTRESS WEIGHT x SEVERITY WT. x EXTENT WT.

NOTES: WIDESPREAD CRACKING, HEAVY TRANSVERSE AND EDGE CRACKING. SETTLEMENT IS PREVALENT ALONG THE PAVEMENT EDGES. PAVEMENT HAS RAVELED SLIGHTLY AND CRACKS ARE BEGINNING TO FORM POTHOLES IN ISOLATED LOCATIONS.

ROAD NAME: BIER ROAD LOG MILE: BEHLMAN ROAD TO: ATWATER ROAD

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING FORM

DATE: 8/5/2021 RATED BY: CRM

DISTRESS	DISTRESS		SEV	ERITY WT.	*		EX	DEDUCT POINTS***		
DISTRESS	WEIGHT		L	M	Н		0	F	E	DEDUCT POINTS
RAVELING	10	Μ	0.3	0.6	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	6.0
BLEEDING	5	-	0.8	0.8	1	-	0.6	0.9	1	
PATCHING	5	Μ	0.3	0.6	1	F	0.6	0.8	1	2.4
POTHOLES/DEBONDING	10	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.8	1	
CRACK SEALING DEFICIENCY	5	L	1	1	1	0	0.5	0.8	1	2.5
RUTTING	10	-	0.3	0.7	1	-	0.6	0.8	1	
SETTLEMENT	10	L	0.5	0.7	1	F	0.5	0.8	1	4.0
CORRUGATIONS	5	-	0.4	0.8	1	-	0.5	0.8	1	
WHEEL TRACK CRACKING	15	Μ	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.7	1	5.3
BLOCK AND TRANSVERSE CRACKING	10	Μ	0.4	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.7	1	7.0
LONGITUDINAL JOINT CRACKING	5	L	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.7	1	1.0
EDGE CRACKING	5	Н	0.4	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.7	1	5.0
RANDOM CRACKING	5	L	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.7	1	1
								TOTAL	DEDUCT =	34.15
*L = LOW **O = OCCASIONA					SUM	OF S	STRUCTUR	AL DEDUC	T (BOLD) =	13.25
M = MEDIUM F = FREQUENT							100 - TO	TAL DEDU	CT = PCR =	65.85
H = HIGH E = EXTENSIVE										

H = HIGH

***DEDUCT POINTS = DISTRESS WEIGHT x SEVERITY WT. x EXTENT WT.

NOTES: EDGE PAVEMENT IS SETTING AND FAILING IN VARIOUS LOCATIONS. BLOCK CRACKING IS PRESENT IN THESE LOCATIONS. TRANSVERSE CRACKING IS WIDESPREAD AND SEVERE. EDGE CRACKING IS EXTENSIVE.
ROAD NAME: <u>CURTICE EAST & WEST RD</u> LOG MILE: <u>WILDACRE ROAD</u> TO: <u>GENOA-CLAY CENTER RD</u>

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING FORM

DATE: <u>8/5/2021</u> RATED BY: <u>CRM</u>

DISTRESS	DISTRESS		SEV	ERITY WT.	*	T	EX	TENT WT.*		
DISTRESS	WEIGHT		L	M	Н		0	F	E	DEDUCT POINTS***
RAVELING	10	-	0.3	0.6	1	-	0.5	0.8	1	
BLEEDING	5	L	0.8	0.8	1	F	0.6	0.9	1	3.6
PATCHING	5	Μ	0.3	0.6	1	0	0.6	0.8	1	1.8
POTHOLES/DEBONDING	10	Μ	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.8	1	3.5
CRACK SEALING DEFICIENCY	5	L	1	1	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	5.0
RUTTING	10	Μ	0.3	0.7	1	F	0.6	0.8	1	5.6
SETTLEMENT	10	Μ	0.5	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	7.0
CORRUGATIONS	5	-	0.4	0.8	1	-	0.5	0.8	1	
WHEEL TRACK CRACKING	15	L	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.7	1	3.0
BLOCK AND TRANSVERSE CRACKING	10	L	0.4	0.7	1	F	0.5	0.7	1	2.8
LONGITUDINAL JOINT CRACKING	5	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1	
EDGE CRACKING	5	Μ	0.4	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.7	1	3.5
RANDOM CRACKING	5	L	0.4	0.7	1	0	0.5	0.7	1	1
								TOTAL	DEDUCT =	36.8
*L = LOW **O = OCCASIONA	L				SUM	OF S			T (BOLD) =	
M = MEDIUM F = FREQUENT							100 - TO	TAL DEDU	CT = PCR =	63.2
H = HIGH E = EXTENSIVE										

***DEDUCT POINTS = DISTRESS WEIGHT x SEVERITY WT. x EXTENT WT.

NOTES: EDGE PAVEMENT IS SETTING AND FAILING IN VARIOUS LOCATIONS. BLOCK CRACKING IS PRESENT IN THESE LOCATIONS. TRANSVERSE CRACKING IS WIDESPREAD AND SEVERE. EDGE CRACKING IS EXTENSIVE. RIDE QUALITY IS POOR, PAVEMENT EDGES HAVE SETTLED AND FAILED IN MANY LOCATIONS.

ROAD NAME: WALBRIDGE EAST ROAD LOG MILE: MARTIN-WILLISTON ROAD TO: <u>OPFER-LENTZ ROAD</u>

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING FORM

DATE: <u>8/5/2021</u> RATED BY: <u>CRM</u>

DISTRESS	DISTRESS		SEV	ERITY WT.	*		EX	TENT WT.*		
DISTRESS	WEIGHT		L	M	Н		0	F	E	DEDUCT POINTS***
RAVELING	10	Н	0.3	0.6	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	10.0
BLEEDING	5	-	0.8	0.8	1	-	0.6	0.9	1	
PATCHING	5	Н	0.3	0.6	1	E	0.6	0.8	1	5.0
POTHOLES/DEBONDING	10	Н	0.4	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	10.0
CRACK SEALING DEFICIENCY	5	-	1	1	1	-	0.5	0.8	1	
RUTTING	10	Μ	0.3	0.7	1	F	0.6	0.8	1	5.6
SETTLEMENT	10	Н	0.5	0.7	1	E	0.5	0.8	1	10.0
CORRUGATIONS	5	-	0.4	0.8	1	-	0.5	0.8	1	
WHEEL TRACK CRACKING	15	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1	
BLOCK AND TRANSVERSE CRACKING	10	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1	
LONGITUDINAL JOINT CRACKING	5	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1	
EDGE CRACKING	5	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1	
RANDOM CRACKING	5	-	0.4	0.7	1	-	0.5	0.7	1	
								TOTAL	DEDUCT =	40.6
*L = LOW **O = OCCASIONAL	_				SUM	OF S	STRUCTUR	AL DEDUC	T (BOLD) =	15.6
M = MEDIUM F = FREQUENT							100 - TO	TAL DEDU	CT = PCR =	59.4
H = HIGH E = EXTENSIVE										

***DEDUCT POINTS = DISTRESS WEIGHT x SEVERITY WT. x EXTENT WT.

NOTES: PAVEMENT IS RAVELING VERY BADLY. WIDESPREAD POTHOLES THROUGHOUT. PATCHING PRESENT THROUGHOUT. RIDE QUALITY IS POOR, PAVEMENT EDGES HAVE SETTLED AND FAILED IN MANY LOCATIONS.

FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW LETTER

FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW FOR THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

Little Portage E TR #112, Walbridge East TR #68, Curtice E & W TR #70, & Bier TR #97 Roads Resurfacing

This review is to comply with Farmland Preservation Review Advisory of the Ohio Public Works Commission and the Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV. This review was accomplished by [insert name of subdivision / agency that conducted the review].

1. The immediate impact the project will have on productive agricultural and grazing land related to land acquisition.

none

2. Indirect impact that will result in the loss of productive agricultural and grazing land from development related to the project.

none

3. Mitigation measures that could be implemented when alternative sites or locations are not feasible.

n/a

Ronald P. Lajti, Jr., P.E., P.S., Ottawa County Engineer

8/26/21 Date

Salem Township

Ottawa County, Ohio PO Box 417 Oak Harbor, OH 43449

BOARD OF TRUSTEES Ronald Buehler Richard Lenke Todd Winke

Aaron Avery, Fiscal Officer Matt Chasteen, Zoning Inspector

August 30, 2021

Ohio Public Works Commission District 5 Committee Issue 1 Round 34 Re: Resolution #SAC-2021-01

Dear Committee Members:

The Board of Trustees of Salem Township are pleased to submit an OPWC Issue1, Round 36 Grant/Loan application for financial assistance for road resurfacing within the township. Salem Township is submitting this narrative for the resurfacing of Little Portage East Rd TR #112. The road area to be resurfaced begins at Muddy Creek North Rd and extends eastward to the Township boundary, totaling 1.02 miles.

LITTLE PORTAGE EAST (TR # 112)

Little Portage East from Muddy Creek North Rd East to the Township boundary is need of major repair work. The most recent substantial resurfacing work was completed in 2005. The current problems that need to be remedied include shoulder degradation, widespread alligator crack patterns, loss of fine aggregate particles and numerous base failures. Additionally, Little Portage East Rd is prone to frequent high water and flooding. This resurfacing is expected to raise the surface of the road by 2 inches, which should lessen the prevalence and severity of flooding of the road surface. This paving project is expected to remedy these issues going forward for the next 15 years.

The Township has received letters of support from the Ottawa County Sheriff and Benton-Carroll-Salem School District, which are included with this application.

Please don't hesitate to contact me at 419-351-9006, or by email at <u>SalemTwp@cros.net</u>.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Jucery

Aaron Avery Salem Township Fiscal Officer Ottawa County, Ohio

Allen Township Trustees Ottawa County P.O. Box 440 Williston, Ohio 43468-0440 (419) 836-4204

Ernest E. Cottrell 22095 West Honeysuckle Curtice, Ohio 43412 (419) 340-7013

Scott R. Everhardt 22459 Bittersweet Lane Curtice, Ohio 43412 (419) 836-9614 Craig Blausey 4907 N. Martin Williston Road Martin, Ohio 43445 (419) 855-9056

Jennifer Witt 3432 North Nissen Road Martin, Ohio 43445 (419) 277-2761

August 17, 2021

Ohio Public Works Commission District 5 Committee Issue 1 Round 36

Dear Committee Members,

The Allen Township Trustees are pleased to submit an OPWC Issue I, Round 36 Grant/Loan application for financial assistance to resurface approximately 1.50 miles of road work resurfacing within the township. Allen Township is submitting the narrative for the CM-150 resurfacing of 1 mile of Walbridge East Road TR#68. The road area to be resurfaced begins on Walbridge Road East from Opfer-Lentz to Martin Williston Road and .5 miles of EW Curtice Road TR#70 from Genoa Clay Center Road to Wildacre Road. The balance of this grant application narrative will include miles in Carol and Salem Townships.

<u>Walbridge Road East (TR#68 1.00 mile)</u> is in extremely poor condition with spider cracking throughout (see pictures). The road has several potholes that are continuously cleaned and filled. The road is also experiencing major edge peel erosion on the south edge. The road has a poor base and is failing in several locations. The road is completely unraveling near the intersection of Opfer-Lentz Road. The township will chip seal the road one year after the repaving is completed. A CM-150 overlay will add a seven to ten-year solution for road use without incurring additional repair costs. Genoa School's, OCTA buses and residential traffic serves this road daily to serve students, elderly residents in Allen Township.

EW Curtice Road (TR#70.5 miles) EW Curtice Road between GCC and Wildacre is a main artery for local residential and school bus traffic. Both north and south edges are dipping and retaining water for days after any rain fall. There is also spider cracking and edge surface unraveling on both sides. We also starting to incur potholes in various sections. A CM-150 overlay will add a seven to ten-year solution for road use without incurring additional repair costs. The township will chip seal the road one year after the CM-150 repaving is completed.

The township received letters of support from The Ottawa County Sheriff, The Allen-Clay Joint Fire District and The Genoa Schools. I have included the original letters within the application for your consideration. The township is applying for a grant and the township will appropriate the additional matching monies from the township's 2021 budget for this project.

If you have any questions, please contact either Ernie Cottrell at 419-340-7013 or by email at ecottrell@amplex.net.

Best Regards,

Evenlandet Los R 2

Scott R. Everhardt Allen Township Trustee, Ottawa County, Ohio

August 16, 2021 Ohio Public Works Commission Issue I Round 36

Dear Committee Members,

The Carroll Township Trustees are pleased to submit an OPWC Issue I, Round 36 Grant application for financial assistance to complete capital improvements to 1.52 miles of road within the township. Carroll Township is submitting the narrative for the resurfacing of the section of Bier Road (TR#97) between Behlman and Atwater roads. The balance of this grant application will include miles in both Salem and Allen Townships.

Bier Road (TR-97/1.52 miles) is a section of road that is next in line in Carroll Township's resurfacing program. Sections of this road to the west have already been resurfaced, and continued progress east is a priority. The road surface is 25 years old, even with our excellent crack seal program it has started to deteriorate with age. The road has extensive raveling as well as some thermal cracking. The entire length of this section is edge cracking and in many spots there is severe block and transverse cracking.

The Township received letters of support from the Ottawa County Sheriff, as well as the Carroll Township Fire and Police Departments. I have included the letters within the application for your consideration. The Township is applying for a grant/loan combination, and the Township will appropriate required monies for this project in the 2023 budget cycle.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (419)346-0029 or by email at jmoore@carrolltownship.net.

Regards, Jordan B. Moore









Little Portage East Road TR #112



Photos 1 & 2 –Widespread Cracking and Raveling Page 1 of 2

Little Portage East Road TR #112



Photos 3 & 4 – Widespread Cracking, Isolated Potholing, Etc. Page 2 of 2

Walbridge East Road TR #68



Photos 1 & 2 – Excessive Raveling, Pavement Deterioration and Potholing Page 1 of 2

Walbridge East Road TR #68



Photos 3 & 4 – Raveling, Pavement Deterioration, Potholing & Patching Page 2 of 2

Curtice East & West Road TR #70



Photos 1 & 2 – Edge Failures, Extensive Cracking & Patching Page 1 of 2

Curtice East & West Road TR #70



Photos 3 & 4 – Pavement Failures, Raveling & Block Cracking Page 2 of 2

Bier Road TR #97



Photos 1 & 2 – Edge Failures, Extensive Cracking & Patching Page 1 of 2

Bier Road TR #97



Photos 3 & 4 – Severe Edge Failure and Patching Page 2 of 2

SALEM TOWNSHIP - LITTLE PORTAGE EAST ROAD (TR#112) ESTIMATOR: CRM

DATE: 5/14/21			_																			
	LE	NGTH		AREA		BIT	JMINOUS	S MATER				-		REGAT	E, MATER		OR PRO	JES	5			
ROAD NAME	WILES	LENGTH (FT)	WIDTH (FT)	SQ YDS	KINDS	APPLIED RATE	TOTAL GALLONS	UNIT COST		APPLIED COST	STONE SIZE	LBS PER SQ YD	THICKNESS (IN)	TOTAL CU YDS	TOTAL / TOTAL TONS		UNIT COST		APPLIED COST		TOTAL COST	REMARKS
		F 100	40.0		Teels	0.000	770.84	6 2 20	e	1.695.86										e	4 605 96	407 TACK COAT (COBATOLI)
LITTLE	1.03 1.03	5420 5420	16.0 16.0	9636 9636	Tack Tack					1,271.89										\$		407 - TACK COAT (SCRATCH) 407 - TACK COAT (SURFACE)
PORTAGE											440		0.75		404.40	-	00.00		00 440 50			
EAST ROAD	1.03	5420	16.0	9636 107	PG64-22 PG64-22						448-1 448-1		0.75		401,48	\$ ¢	80.00 80.00		32,118.52 951.11			441 - 0.75" INTERMEDIATE, TYPE 1, PG64-22 441 - SURFACE TYPE 1, PG64-22 (DRIVES & MAILBOX)
FROM MUDDY	1.03	5420	16.0		PG64-22 PG64-22						448-1		1.25		669.14	\$	80.00	-			53,530.86	441 - 1.25" 448 SURFACE TYPE 1, PG64-22
CREEK				4000 7				-			411		2.25		225.8	\$	28.00		6,323,33	•	0 000 00	
NORTH	2.05	10840	1.5	1806.7							411		2,25		225.6	Φ	20.00		0,323.33	- D	0,323.33	COMPACTED AGGREGATE (411 BERM)
ROAD TO	1.03			-												\$	1,600.00	\$	1,648.00	\$	1,648.00	CENTER LINE, 4"
SALEM/BAY						<u> </u>					-											
																		\$	5,000.00	\$ \$		614 - MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC 103.05 - CONTRACT BOND
																				\$	104,079.00	ROAD TOTAL

NOTICE TO BIDDERS:

DRIVEWAY APRONS AND MAILBOX APPROACHES SHALL BE MILLED AND PAVED UP TO 6 FEET FROM THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. ESTIMATE INCLUDES MILLING OF ABUTTING JOINTS AT ALL ASPHALT DRIVES. THIS WILL NOT BE A SEPARATELY PAID ITEM. THERE ARE A TOTAL OF ±8 DRIVES AND MAILBOX APPROACHES .QUANTITIES ON ESTIMATE WERE COMPUTED AS FOLLOWS: TOTAL LENGTH WIDTH (AVG) SY 240 4 106.7

QUANTITIES FOR DRIVES WILL BE LUMPED TOGETHER WITH ROADWAY QUANTITIES IN PROPOSAL. THEY ARE SHOWN SEPARATE IN THIS ESTIMATE SHEET FOR QUANTITY CLARITY.

PAVEMENT WIDTHS VARY SLIGHTLY, 16' IS THE AVERAGE WIDTH. 15.5' IS THE MINIMUM. PAVEMENT WIDENS SLIGHTLY AT MUDDY CREEK NORTH ROAD INTERSECTION APPROACH.

QUANTITIES ON PROPOSAL ARE SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN ON COST ESTIMATE DUE TO ROUNDING

ROAD TO BE CLOSED TO THROUGH TRAFFIC DURING PAVING. LOCAL TRAFFIC SHALL BE MAINTAINED DURING CONSTRUCTION. FLAGGERS WILL BE REQUIRED.

TRUCKS SHALL ENTER AND EXIT FROM MUDDY CREEK NORTH ROAD. NECESSARY TRAFFIC CONTROL SHALL BE IN PLACE ON MUDDY CREEK NORTH ROAD DURING TRUCK STAGING AND BACKING. THE BRIDGE NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MUDDY CREEK NORTH AND LITTLE-PORTAGE EAST REDUCES SIGHT DISTANCES.

SEE SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT

ALLEN TOWNSHIP - WALBRIDGE EAST ROAD (TR#68) ESTIMATOR: CRM DATE: 6/16/2021

DATE: 6/16/2021	LEN	NGTH		AREA		BITL	MINOUS	MATERI	AL			AC	GGRE	GATE,	MATER	IAL C	R PRO	CES	S			
ROAD NAME	WIITES	LENGTH (FT)	WIDTH (FT)	SQ YDS	SQNIX	APPLIED RATE	TOTAL GALLONS	UNIT COST		APPLIED COST	STONE SIZE	LBS PER SQ YD	THICKNESS (IN)	TOTAL CU YDS	TOTAL TONS		UNIT COST		APPLIED COST		TOTAL COST	REMARKS
	1.00 1.00	5300 5300		11483 11483	CM-150	0.93	10679.5	\$ 3.30	\$ 35	,242.35	#67 #9	150 11			861 63.2	\$ \$	52.00 60.00		44,785.00 3,789.50	•		DRAG COAT CHOKE COAT
WALBRIDGE				107 107	CM-150	0.93	99.5	\$ 3.30	\$	328.38	#67 #9	150 11			8 0.6	\$ \$	52.00 60.00		417.30 35.31		745.68 35.31	DRAG COAT (INTERSECTION AND DRIVES) CHOKE COAT (INTERSECTION AND DRIVES)
EAST ROAD	1.33	7000	9.0	7000	Tack	0.080	560	\$ 2.20	\$ 1	,232.00										\$	1,232.00	407 - TACK COAT (SCRATCH)
VILLISTON ROAD		7000	9.0	7000				_					0.50		194.44	\$	90.00	\$	17,500.00	\$	17,500.00	441 ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 1. (44)
ROAD	2.01	10600	1.5	1813.8							411		2.00		201.5	\$	28.00	\$	5,642.86	\$	5,642.86	COMPACTED AGGREGATE (411 BERM)
																		_		\$ \$		MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC BOND PREMIUM
															_					\$	113,653.00	ROAD TOTAL

NOTICE TO BIDDERS:

DRIVEWAY APRONS AND MAILBOX APPROACHES SHALL BE MILLED AND PAVED UP TO 6 FEET FROM THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. ESTIMATE INCLUDES MILLING OF ABUTTING JOINTS AT ALL ASPHALT & CONCRETE DRIVES, THIS WILL NOT BE A SEPARATELY PAID ITEM. THERE ARE A TOTAL OF ±6 DRIVES, QUANTITIES ON ESTIMATE WERE COMPUTED AS FOLLOWS: TOTAL LENGTH WIDTH SY

240 4 107

ESTIMATE ALSO TO INCLUDE THE MILLING OF ABUTTING JOINTS AT ALL INVOLVED INTERSECTIONS. JOINTS SHALL BE THE FULL WIDTH OF PAVEMENT, 10 FEET IN LENGTH IN INTERSECTIONS, AND AT A DEPTH EQUAL TO THE DEPTH OF PROPOSED ASPHALT OVERLAYMENT.

QUANTITIES FOR DRIVES WILL BE LUMPED TOGETHER WITH ROADWAY QUANTITIES IN PROPOSAL. THEY ARE SHOWN SEPARATE IN THIS ESTIMATE SHEET FOR QUANTITY CLARITY.

DRAG COAT LISTED ABOVE SHALL BE MIXED IN A PUGMILL AT THE CONTRACTOR'S SITE. INSPECTOR SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF DATE, TIME, AND LOCATION, 48 HOUR IN ADVANCE OF MIXING. MATERIAL IS TO BE PLACED WITH A CONVENTIONAL PAVER WITH A 3/16" PER FOOT CROSS SLOPE.

448 SURFACE TYPE 1, SCRATCH COURSE LISTED ABOVE WILL BE LAID IN VARIOUS LOCATIONS TO CORRECT SEVERE CROSS-SECTIONAL SLOPE ISSUES. THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT ABOVE IS FOR ESTIMATING PURPOSES ONLY AND THE ENTIRE QUANTITY IS NOT GUARANTEED. EXACT LOCATIONS WILL BE MARKED OUT ONSITE BY THE ENGINEER AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION. ESTIMATE INCLUDES 7000 LF OF LANE WIDTH SCRATCH COURSE WITH AN AVERAGE THICKNESS OF 0.50". APPROXIMATELY 4500 LF IN THE EAST BOUND LANE AND 2500' IN THE WEST BOUND LANE.

PAVEMENT IS ON AN AVERAGE OF 18.5' IN WIDTH. 19.5' WAS USED FOR MATERIAL PADDING DUE TO INCONSISTENCIES IN THE CROSS-SECTIONAL SLOPE OF THE EXISTING PAVEMENT. FINISH PAVEMENT WIDTH WILL VARY SLIGHTLY.

LOCAL TRAFFIC SHALL BE MAINTAINED. ROAD CAN BE CLOSED TO THROUGH TRAFFIC DURING PAVING OPERATIONS.

SEE OTTAWA COUNTY SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR COLD MIX AND HOT MIX.

ALLEN TOWNSHIP - CURTICE EAST & WEST ROAD (TR#70) ESTIMATOR: CRM DATE: 6/16/2021

	LEI	NGTH		AREA		BITI	JMINOUS	MATERI/	AL		A	GGRE	GATE,	MATER	IAL C	R PROC	CESS	;			
ROAD NAME	WILES	LENGTH (FT)	WIDTH (FT)	SQ YDS	SQNIX	APPLIED RATE	TOTAL GALLONS	UNIT COST	APPLIED COST	STONE SIZE	LBS PER SQ YD	THICKNESS (IN)	TOTAL CU YDS	TOTAL TONS		UNIT COST		APPLIED COST		TOTAL COST	REMARKS
	0.52 0.52	2750 2750		6263.9 6263.9	CM-150	0.93	5825.4	\$ 3.30	\$ 19,223.88	#67 #9	150 11			470 34.5	\$ \$	52.00 60.00		24,429.17 2,067.08			DRAG COAT CHOKE COAT
CURTICE EAST AND WEST ROAD				387 387	CM-150	0.93	359.9	\$ 3.30	\$ 1,187.70	#67 #9	150 11			29 2.1	\$ \$	52.00 60.00		1,509.30 127.71		2,697.00 127.71	DRAG COAT (INTERSECTION AND DRIVES) CHOKE COAT (INTERSECTION AND DRIVES)
ROM WILDACRE	1 11 66	2900	10.0	3222	Tack	####	257.8	\$ 2.20	\$ 567.11	_		-						·	\$	567.11	407 - TACK COAT (SCRATCH)
CLAY CENTER	0.55	2900	10.0	3222.2						-		0.50		89.51	\$	90.00	\$	8,055.56	\$	8,055.56	441 ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE 1. (448)
OF INTERSECTION)	1.04	5500	1.5	941.11						411		2.00		104.6	\$	28.00	\$	2,927.90	\$	2,927.90	COMPACTED AGGREGATE (411 BERM)
																			\$ \$		MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC BOND PREMIUM
																			\$	65,058.00	ROAD TOTAL

NOTICE TO BIDDERS:

870

DRIVEWAY APRONS AND MAILBOX APPROACHES SHALL BE MILLED AND PAVED UP TO 6 FEET FROM THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. ESTIMATE INCLUDES MILLING OF ABUTTING JOINTS AT ALL ASPHALT & CONCRETE DRIVES, THIS WILL NOT BE A SEPARATELY PAID ITEM. THERE ARE A TOTAL OF ±29 DRIVES, QUANTITIES ON ESTIMATE WERE COMPUTED AS FOLLOWS: SY

TOTAL LENGTH WIDTH 4

ESTIMATE ALSO TO INCLUDE THE MILLING OF ABUTTING JOINTS AT ALL INVOLVED INTERSECTIONS. JOINTS SHALL BE THE FULL WIDTH OF PAVEMENT, 10 FEET IN LENGTH IN INTERSECTIONS, AND AT A DEPTH EQUAL TO THE DEPTH OF PROPOSED ASPHALT OVERLAYMENT.

QUANTITIES FOR DRIVES WILL BE LUMPED TOGETHER WITH ROADWAY QUANTITIES IN PROPOSAL. THEY ARE SHOWN SEPARATE IN THIS ESTIMATE SHEET FOR QUANTITY CLARITY.

DRAG COAT LISTED ABOVE SHALL BE MIXED IN A PUGMILL AT THE CONTRACTOR'S SITE. INSPECTOR SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF DATE, TIME, AND LOCATION, 48 HOUR IN ADVANCE OF MIXING, MATERIAL IS TO BE PLACED WITH A CONVENTIONAL PAVER WITH A 3/16" PER FOOT CROSS SLOPE.

448 SURFACE TYPE 1, SCRATCH COURSE LISTED ABOVE WILL BE LAID IN VARIOUS LOCATIONS TO CORRECT SEVERE CROSS-SECTIONAL SLOPE ISSUES. THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT ABOVE IS FOR ESTIMATING PURPOSES ONLY AND THE ENTIRE QUANTITY IS NOT GUARANTEED. EXACT LOCATIONS WILL BE MARKED OUT ONSITE BY THE ENGINEER AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION. ESTIMATE INCLUDES 2900 LF OF LANE WIDTH SCRATCH COURSE WITH AN AVERAGE THICKNESS OF 0.50". APPROXIMATELY 2350 LF IN THE EAST BOUND LANE AND 550' IN THE WEST BOUND LANE

PAVEMENT IS ON AN AVERAGE OF 20.0' IN WIDTH. 20.5' WAS USED FOR MATERIAL PADDING DUE TO INCONSISTENCIES IN THE CROSS-SECTIONAL SLOPE OF THE EXISTING PAVEMENT. FINISH PAVEMENT WIDTH WILL VARY SLIGHTLY.

TRAFFIC SHALL BE MAINTAINED.

SEE OTTAWA COUNTY SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR COLD MIX AND HOT MIX.

387

CARROLL TOWNSHIP - BIER ROAD (TR#97)

ESTIMATOR: CRM DATE: 6/22/21

	LEN	IGTH		AREA		BITU	MINOUS	MATERI	AL_				AGGR	EGAT	E, MATER	IAL (DR PROC	ESS	<u> </u>			
ROAD NAME	MILES	LENGTH (FT)	WIDTH (FT)	SQ YDS	SUNDS	APPLIED RATE	TOTAL GALLONS	UNIT COST		APPLIED COST	STONE SIZE	LBS PER SQ YD	THICKNESS (IN)	TOTAL CU YDS	TOTAL / TOTAL TONS		UNIT COST		APPLIED COST		TOTAL COST	REMARKS
		1075.0	4.0	478							301		4	53.1		\$	200.00	\$	10,617,28	\$	10,617.28	253 - PAVEMENT REPAIR
	1.52 1.52			15633 15633		0.080 0.060		\$ 2.20 \$ 2.20		2,751.47 2,063.60									<u>_</u>	\$ \$		407 - TACK COAT (SCRATCH) 407 - TACK COAT (SURFACE)
BIER ROAD	1.52	8040	17.5		PG64-22 PG64-22			_			448-1 448-1		0.75		651.39 31.11	\$	78.00 78.00		50,808.33 2,426.67		50,808.33	441 - 0.75" INTERMEDIATE, TYPE 1, PG64-22 441 - SURFACE TYPE 1, PG64-22 (DRIVES & MAILBOX
BEHLMAN	1.52	8040	17.5		PG64-22 PG64-22						448-1		1.25		1085.65	\$	78.00		84,680.56		84,680.56	441 - 30RFACE TTPE 1, PG64-22 (DRIVES & MAILBOX 441 - 1.25" 448 SURFACE TYPE 1, PG64-22
ROAD TO ATWATER	3.05	16080	1.5	2680							411		2		297.8	\$	28.00	\$	8,337.78	\$	8,337.78	COMPACTED AGGREGATE (411 BERM)
ROAD	1.52															\$	1,400.00	\$	2,128.00	\$	2,128.00	CENTER LINE, 4"
																		\$	5,000.00	\$ \$		614 - MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC 103.05 - CONTRACT BOND
																				\$	171,347.00	ROAD TOTAL

NOTICE TO BIDDERS:

DRIVEWAY APRONS AND MAILBOX APPROACHES SHALL BE MILLED AND PAVED UP TO 6 FEET FROM THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. ESTIMATE INCLUDES MILLING OF ABUTTING JOINTS AT ALL ASPHALT DRIVES. THIS WILL NOT BE A SEPARATELY PAID ITEM. THERE ARE A TOTAL OF ±28 DRIVES AND MAILBOX APPROACHES .QUANTITIES ON ESTIMATE WERE COMPUTED AS FOLLOWS: TOTAL LENGTH WIDTH (AVG) SY 840 3 280

QUANTITIES FOR DRIVES WILL BE LUMPED TOGETHER WITH ROADWAY QUANTITIES IN PROPOSAL. THEY ARE SHOWN SEPARATE IN THIS ESTIMATE SHEET FOR QUANTITY CLARITY.

PAVEMENT WIDTHS VARY SLIGHTLY, 17.5' IS THE AVERAGE WIDTH. 17.0' IS THE MINIMUM.

QUANTITIES ON PROPOSAL ARE SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN ON COST ESTIMATE DUE TO ROUNDING

ROAD TO BE CLOSED DURING PAVING TO ALLOW FOR FULL WIDTH PAVING. LOCAL TRAFFIC SHALL BE MAINTAINED DURING CONSTRUCTION.

SEE SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT

THE USE OF THE ENTIRE PAVEMENT REPAIR ITEM IS NOT GUARANTEED. QUANTITIES AND LOCATIONS WILL BE DETERMINED ON SITE AFTER MILLING IS COMPLETED. THIS IS NOT GUARANTEED ITEM AND IT MAY NOT BE PERFORMED. REPAIRS WILL BE LAID OUT AT 4' IN WIDTH. NO ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION WILL BE MADE FOR A REDUCTION IN QUANTITY.



Ottawa County Sheriff's Office

Stephen J. Levorchick Sheriff

Brad M. York Chief Deputy

August 6, 2021

ADDRESS/PHONE/FAX 315 Madison Street, Room 110 Port Clinton, Ohio 43452 Phone (419) 734-4404 FAX (419) 732-8311 www.ottawacountysheriff.org

SHERIFF Stephen J. Levorchick Phone (419) 734-6877

CHIEF DEPUTY Brad M. York Phone (419) 734-6826

CAPTAIN OF OPERATIONS Zach Bowling Phone (419) 734-6827

JAIL ADMINISTRATOR Captain Kent Davis Full Service Facility Phone (419) 734-6828

MSJ Facility Phone (419) 734-6995

COMMUNICATIONS Sergeant James Lucas Corporal John Knecht Phone (419) 734-4404

DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION Deputy Heather K. Moss Phone (419) 734-6823

DIRECTOR OF FINANCE Deputy Callie D. McConahay Phone (419) 734-6829

<u>CIVIL</u> Deputy Rhonda Reiter Deputy Kendra Berlin Phone (419) 734-6824 Carroll Township Trustees Allen Township Trustees Salem Township Trustees

Dear Trustees,

I am submitting this letter as support of your efforts to make Carroll, Salem, and Allen Townships very safe communities and a great place to raise a family.

It is my understanding that you are currently attempting to receive funding from outside grant sources to assist in your highway paving projects throughout Carroll, Salem and Allen Townships. Without those grant opportunities, all three townships would be unable to commit to making the roadways within your township as safe as you would with the funding.

Your three townships together are key farming communities within Ottawa County. As township trustees, it is obvious that you are doing everything that you can to keep the residents of your respective townships safe, at home, at work, on their farms, and in their cars.

As Sheriff of Ottawa County, I am honored to write this letter of support in your efforts to maintain the high quality of safety within Carroll, Salem and Harris Townships. Through the established partnerships that you have in place in your townships, the residents should take great pride in their community and also have a peace of mind that their township trustees are attempting to keep their community safe.

Sincerely,

1. Levorth

Stephen J. Levorchick, Sheriff of Ottawa County



School District

www.bcssd.com



Board of Education Office 11685 W. State Route 163 Oak Harbor, OH 43449 Phone: (419) 898-6210

August 30, 2021

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this letter in strong support of Salem Township's grant application to pave Little Portage East Road. I believe that re-paving this road would greatly benefit the students and stakeholders of Benton-Carroll-Salem School District by making the road safer. Re-paving this road would also greatly benefit school bus transportation.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to me at (419) 898-6210.

Sincerely,

Guy L. Parmigian Superintendent

HOME OF THE OAK HARBOR ROCKETS

Guy L. Parmigian, Ph.D. Superintendent Cajon H. Keeton Treasurer



Genoa Area Local Schools

2810 N. Genoa-Clay Center Road Genoa, Ohio 43430

Superintendent, Michael Ferguson Treasurer, J. William Nye Director of Business Services, Lisa Cruickshank Director of Curriculum/Instruction, Courtney Cannon Telephone: 419.855.7741 Fax: 419.855.4030 www.genoaschools.com

August 11, 2021

Allen Township Trustees

As discussed, this letter supports your efforts to apply and receive additional grants/funding to improve our township roads. Specifically, the Trustees seek funding for:

Repaving of- Walbridge EW (1 Mile) Repaving of – EW Curtice Road (1/2 Mile)

As Superintendent of the Genoa Area Local Schools, I can confirm the need to repair, resurface and improve the roads within the community and school district. Or bus drivers, students and staff drive these roads daily and the need to resurface, widen and repair the roads is imperative to our student's safety. We appreciate your efforts for the safety and well-being of all the children who ride or drive the township roads on a daily basis. Thank you.

Regards,

Michel A. Furun

Michael G. Ferguson, Superintendent Genoa Area Local Schools

Empowering our Students to be Future Ready

Genoa Area High School 2980 N. Genoa-Clay Center Rd. Genoa, Ohio 43430 Principal, Benjamin Ohlemacher 419.855.7741 Genoa Area Middle School 2950 N. Genoa-Clay Center Rd. Genoa, Ohio 43430 Principal, Dir. of Transportation, Kevin Katafias Dir. of Student Services, Lauren Scheanwald Director of Technology, Tom Baker 419.855.7741 Genoa Area Elementary School 2820 N. Genoa-Clay Center Rd. Genoa, Ohio 43430 Principal, Yolanda Mies Assistant Principal, Cody McPherson 419.855.7741



ALLEN-CLAY JOINT FIRE DISTRICT

Headquarters 3155 N. Genoa-Clay Center Rd. Genoa, OH 43430 District Chief Michael T. Musolf

Phone: 419-855-4733 Fax: 419-855-1074

LETTER OF SUPPORT ALLEN TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES AUGUST 10, 2021

This letter is in response to the Allen Township, Ottawa County, Trustees' request for funding through the OPWC grant to resurface the following roads in Allen Township:

Walbridge Road between Martin Williston Road and Opfer-Lentz Road (1 mile)

Curtice East & West Road between Genoa-Clay Center Road and Wildacre Road (1/2 mile)

The Allen-Clay Joint Fire District provides fire and EMS service to all of Allen Township. As such we often use township roads and know that they require attention to make them safer for our citizens as well as provide safe and rapid emergency vehicle access to any emergencies that occur to the residents that live on or commute via these roads.

We ask that you give high consideration to this request and ultimately fund it.

Thank you!

MMusalt

Michael T. Musolf District Fire Chief Allen-Clay Joint Fire District



No. 0788 P. 1

Carroll Township Emergency Medical & Fire Service Inc.

11080 W. Toussaint East Road

Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

MIKE MCGINNIS Asst. E.M.S. Chief Telephone: 419-898-9621 Fax: 419-898-0366 JOHN BROUGH Fire Chief

LETTER OF SUPPORT CARROLL TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES AUGUST 16, 2021

This letter is in response to the Carroll Township, Ottawa County, and Trustees' request for funding through OPWC grant to resurface the following road in Carroll Township:

Bier Rd. from Behlman Rd to Atwater Rd.

The Carroll Township Emergency Medical & Fire Service provides EMS and fire service to all of Carroll Township. As such we often use this road and know that it requires attention to make it safer for our citizens as well as provide safe and rapid emergency vehicle access to any emergencies that occur to the residents that live on named road.

We ask that you give high consideration to this request and ultimately fund it.

THANK YOU!

John Brough Fire Chief

Ž



CARROLL TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT 11080 W. Toussaint East Rd – Oak Harbor, OH – 43449 *Robert Paulsen, Chief*

419-898-4906, Dispatch 419-898-0841, Fax

August 16, 2021

Carroll Township Trustees 11080 W. Toussaint East Rd. Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Dear Trustees,

I am writing this letter to support your efforts to make Carroll Township as safe as possible for our residents. Carroll Township is a great community to raise a family.

I recently heard that you were attempting to receive funding from a grant to assist in paving of our township roads. In my travels one of the first items I notice is the conditions of roads, streets, or alleys. Good updated roadways are a sign of priority that a local government puts the safety of their residents. Updated roads usually disperse water off of them quickly, making any evasive driving more functional.

Carroll Township has a large influx of tourism, due to the many areas. First and foremost would be access to Lake Erie. This leads to our population expanding in the summer to over three times what we have in the winter. Clean updated roads lead to not only protecting our permanent residents but also those choosing Carroll Township for their summer fun.

As Chief of Police for Carroll Township, I am honored to write this letter in support of your efforts to maintain the high quality of safety within our township. Part of this safety is the condition of our roadways. Carroll Township residents should take great pride in their community and your efforts to their continued safety.

Sincerely,

Robert W Paulsen Chief of Police

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 36

 Name of Applicant:
 Salem Township

 Project Title:
 Little Portage East, Walbridge East, Curtice East 7 West, Bier Roads Resurfacing

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Villages and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1. What percentage of the project in repair A = 100 %, replacement B = ...%, expansion C = ...%, and new

D= ____%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one

hundred(100) percent) A+B= <u>100</u> % C+D= <u>%</u> ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

Repair/Replacement
Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2); 164.14(E)(8)

Points	Category	Description	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards -
			Complete Pavement Reconstruction - Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement-Replacement of a major component of a water and/or sewer treatment plant which would result in a failure in meeting WQ Standards

8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a component such as a control mechanism, pumps, hydrants, valves, filters, etc of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Repair of a tank to maintain structural integrity in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

Life	20	30	50
Project		Wastewater and Water	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary
Туре	Road	Treatment	Sewer, Water Supply,
7			Storm Water, Solid
			Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
(5)	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*
Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*
Major:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Moderate:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Minimal:	Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
No Impact:	Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate. *Road/Street Classifications:*

Major Access Road:	Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.
Minor Access Road:	Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.
Preventative Maintenance:	Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement. etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critica	I: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a consent decree, finding and orders or court order, and Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical: In	mprovements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Crit	ical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)</u>

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:	Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.
Major:	Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.
Minimal:	Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critic	al: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Chronic flooding (structure damage) or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Crit	ical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a critical safety hazard to the public.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Criti	ical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Replace	e, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements, sewer system overflows, and/or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
Major:		Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:		Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
Minimal:		New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:		New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

	the public, or; EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage; or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements.
Major:	EPA recommendations, or; reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
Major:	Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical:	Replace to solve low potable water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replacement/Rehabilitation due to structural deficiency such as excessive corrosion and/or safety upgrades, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water mains as part of an overall upgrade process. Replace water meters that have exceeded their useful life.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs. Spot repairs/recoating to restore moderate corrosion of water components.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
OTHER	

Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat. Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit. Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem. Moderate: The project will delay a health and/or safety problem. Minimal: A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation. No Impact: No health and/or safety effect. NOTE: *Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated* in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored. (Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical ____, Critical _X_, Major ___, Moderate ___, Minimal ____, No Impact ____. Explain your answer.

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project

cost. ORC Reference164.06(B)(6);)ORC164.06(B)(7); ORC164.06(B)(3); ORC164.14(E)(4)

 A.) Amount of Local Funds
 =
 \$ _ 258,890.00

 B.) Total Project Cost
 =
 \$ _ 517,390.00

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A/B)= <u>50</u>%

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding <u>SCIP or LTIP Funds</u>,

as a percentage of the total project cost. ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(7);164.14(E)(4)

Grants ____% Gifts ____%, Contributions ____%

Other ____% (explain)______ , Total ____%

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan
request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. ORC Reference(s):164.14(E)(10);164.06(B)(5)

\$500,001 or More
\$400,001-\$500,000
\$325,001-\$400,000
\$275,001-\$325,000
\$175,001-\$275,000
\$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES <u>NO X</u>. (This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 36 hours/week)? Yes _____ No __X__. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ____ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes _____ No __X__. If yes, how many jobs _____ will be created/retrained within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? <u>1,036 Average Daily Traffic Count</u> (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)

9. Economic Distress Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)

What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income? <u>144.48</u> %. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data provided in Exhibit A.

10. Readiness to Proceed Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)

Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.

- _____ Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)
- _____ Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
- X Final Design Complete (2 Points)
- 11. Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= _____89

13. DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)

A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide,
 County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance)
 (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee) _____

ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)

13b. A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee) ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)

14. Grand Total of Points _____

15. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No _____ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143

16. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. **Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance.** The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

•District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- •Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.

• The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.

•If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than

a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at <u>https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-</u> <u>Programs/Small-Government</u>

•Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.

• Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.

•Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 36 project considered for Small Government Funding please

download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 36 by accessing the OPWC Website at

https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf? ver=2019-08-07-071749-143. Please follow the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and include supporting documentation to receive points. Specifically, include the Auditor's Certification of funds for your entity and documentation supporting the age of the infrastructure.

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 36.

Date: Signature:	Richard Lenk	le	
Title:	Richard Lenke	Salem Township Trustee	
Address:	P. O. Box 417	Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449	
Phone:	(419) 898-4576		
FAX:	(419) 898-4126		
Email:	LenkeFarms@outloc	bk.com	

	PROJEC			tta		orte		= 14	/albrid-	e E, Curtice E & W a	and Dia- D	Der (PROJECT NUN	5/29/2021 MBER:	_
100	EST. CO		\$5	517	,39	0 "B"		=, v	"A" x "B"	e E, Curtice E & W a	and Bier Road	s Resurfacir	ig				
	WEIGHT	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	11			RIOR	ITY		~ * 6				PRIORITY	FACTORS			Convertion of
	1	(REPAIR OR REPLACE) vs.	0	2	4	6	8	10	1		0	2	4	6	8	10	
		(NEW OR EXPANSION)							10		Repair or Replacement	20% + Repair or Replacement	40% + Repair or Replacement	60%+ Repair or Replacement	80%+ Repair or Replacemnt	100%+ Repair or Replacement	:
	San gales		0	2	4	6	8	10			0	2	4	6	8	10	-
	1	EXISTING PHYSICAL CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 36 Scoring Methodology. Must submit substantiating documentation. (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)							8		Excellent	Good	Fair	Fading	Poor	Failing	
	1	AGE	0	1	2	3	4	5		Туре	0	1	2	3	4	5	
										Road Wastewater	0-4 Yrs 0-6 Yrs	5-8 Yrs 7-12 Yrs	9-12 Yrs 13-18 Yrs	13-16 Yrs 19-24 Yrs	17-20 Yrs 25-30 Yrs	20+ Yrs 30+ 115	4
									5	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste	0-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	21-30 Yrs	31-40 Yrs	41-50 Yrs	50+ Yrs	
		PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR	0	2	4	6	8	10		The second second	0	2	4	6	8	10	-
		SAFETY CONCERNS Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.							16		No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	
-		LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS	0	2	4	6	8	10	en pri		0	2	4	6	8	10	ł
		Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues) *							20		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
	1	OTHER FUNDING (Excluding Issue II Funds)	0	2	4	6	8	10			C o	2	4	6	8	10	Ŧ
		(Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant; including Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must submit copy of award or status letter.)							0		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
		OPWC GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED Please refer to Criteria #6 of the Round 36 Methodology for clarification.															
	2	Grant or Loan Only	-9	-8	0	8	9	10			-9 Grant or Loan Only	-8	0	8 \$275,001	9	10	-
_			_		_	_		_			\$500,001 or more Grant/Loan	\$400,001 to \$500,000	\$325,001 \$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	or less	
		Grant /Loan Combination	-9				9		18		Combination \$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500	\$262,501 to \$412,500	\$262,500 or less	
		When scoring a project that is only g the second chart labeled "Grant/Loa	grant in Co	t or i	only inati	loan on" t	. Plea	ase u ore th	use the change total (gr	art labeled "Grant or Loan C ant and loan combined). U	only". When scoring se the lower of the	a grant/loan co two as the score	mbination, score	he project for the	grant o the first	t chart, then use	2
	1	JOB CREATION/RETENTION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentation in the form of a comminent letter from business or third party entity.		2	4	6		Section 1	0		0 0-6 Jobs	2 7-14 Jobs	4 15-24 Jobs	6 25+ Jobs			
															100.00	0	
3	1	BENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS (households or traffic counts) Equvalent dwelling unit direct	0	2	4	6	8	10	10		0 0 -99 Users	2 100 - 349 Users	4 350 - 499 Users	6 500 - 749 Users	8 750 - 1000 Users	10 1000+ Users	
		connections. Traffic Counts within three years with certified documentation, etc.							10					10		10.66	
9	1	ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local MHI as a percentage of the District Median MHI		1	2				0		0	1 80%-100%	2 Less Than 80%				
0	1	READINESS TO PROCEED	0	1	2				2		0 Plans Not Begun Yet	1 Preliminary Engineering Complete	2 Final Design Complete				In the second second
1		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)							89		Other Info: Does this project YES NO Attach impact sta Is the Applicant r YES NO	atement if yes .	nt impact on prod				
2		COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15)		-		-					ILS NU						
3A		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY	+					_			District Discretio	nary Point may t	be awarded to pro mentaion to supp	jects that demon ort the claim of s	starte significant significance.	Area-wide, Cou	unt
3B		DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1) DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY									District Discretio	nary Point may t	be awarded to pro	jects that demon	starte that the er	ntity has maximi	ize
		DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1)									financial resourc	es including ass	essments and uti	lity rate structure.			

* Applicants must certify local and other share contributions. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.



Ohio Public Works Commission

Small Government Capital Improvements Program

FY 23 / Round 36 Methodology - Rating Scales (July 1, 2021 Agreement Release)

Approved May 13, 2021

Ohio Public Works Commission 65 East State Street, Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215 http://www.pwc.ohio.gov Complete and compliant support documentation must be provided for a criterion to be awarded points. See Applicant Manual for more information.

- 1. Ability and Effort of the Applicant to Finance the Project (Maximum 10 points)
 - A. <u>Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects Only</u> "Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Resources" showing fund detail, as provided in ORC sections 5705.35 and 5705.36 is used to determine potential financial resources available for the project. Score is based on the project's total cost as a percentage of financial resources.
 - 0 Total project cost represents 0 to 20% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 2 Total project cost represents 21 to 40% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 4 Total project cost represents 41 to 60% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 6 Total project cost represents 61 to 80% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 8 Total project cost represents 81 to 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 10 Total project cost exceeds 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type, or subdivision is in fiscal emergency
 - B. <u>Water and Wastewater Projects Only</u> Determined by SG Administrator according to the Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort calculation described in Applicants Manual. Information is obtained from both water and wastewater rate ordinances, Small Government Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort Supplemental, and data from the U.S. Census Bureau. Points are provided for the hours worked to pay for water and wastewater services according to the highest of two variances as a percentage above or below State Averages: weighted average of household income or percentage of households making less than \$25,000.
 - 0 More than 50% above state average
 - 2 25.1% 50% above state average
 - 4 0 25% above state average
 - 6 0.1% 25% below state average
 - 8 25.1% to 50% below state average
 - 10 More than 50% below state average
- 2. Importance of Project to Health and Safety of Citizens Score is assigned according to the application project description and any pertinent supplemental documentation. (Maximum 10 points)
 - A. Road, Bridge, Culvert
 - 0 New infrastructure to meet future or projected needs
 - 2 New infrastructure to meet current needs; Roadway surface paving less than 2 inches; Bridges with General Appraisal of 6 or above or with a Sufficiency Rating of 81-100

Roadway surface paving equal to or greater than 2 inches with/without milling; Replace or install signal where warranted; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 5 or Sufficiency Rating of 66-80; Culvert replacement with no associated damage

- 6 Road widening to add paved shoulders or for safe passage, and/or roadway paving with full-depth base repair equal to or greater than 5% of roadway surface area; Intersection improvement to add turn lanes or realignment; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 4 or Sufficiency Rating of 51-65; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity
- 8 Complete roadway full-depth reconstruction (includes removal/replacement of base) or reclamation with/without drainage; Widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvements to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor (0.0 < CRF < 0.2); Bridges with a General Appraisal of 3 or Sufficiency Rating of 26-50; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity and property damage (i.e. flooding)
- 10 Complete roadway reconstruction or reclamation with/without drainage with widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvement to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor (CRF >= 0.2); Bridges with General Appraisal of 2 or less, or Sufficiency Rating of less than 26; Culverts that are structurally deficient
- B. Water, Wastewater, Storm Water, Solid Waste

4

- 0 Infrastructure to meet future or projected needs
- 2 Expanded infrastructure to meet specific development proposal
- 4 Infrastructure to meet current needs; Update processes to improve effluent or water quality; To remain in compliance with permit due to increased standards; Increase storm sewer capacity in which there is no associated land damage; Increase sanitary sewer capacity; Replace water meters as part of an upgrade
- 6 OEPA recommendations; District health board recommendations; Increase storm sewer capacity that has associated land damage; Replace undersized waterlines as part of upgrade; Install new meters or replace meters that have exceeded useful life
- 8 Replacement of storm or sanitary sewers due to chronic flooding, back-up, or property damage; Inflow and/or Infiltration; Inadequate capacity to maintain pressure required for fire flows; Replacement of waterlines or towers due to excessive corrosion
- 10 OEPA Findings & Orders, OEPA orders contained in permit, Consent Decree or Court Order; Structural separations (CSOs)Age and Condition of System to be repaired or replaced. This is a two-part criterion. (Maximum 10 points)

3. Age & Condition of System to be repaired or replaced

Part I – Age: This uses provided documentation for existing infrastructure. Documentation pertains to source documentation or from a compliant letter written by an eligible local official who can vouch for the time period during his/her term in office. If no documentation the default score is 1 point. (Maximum 5 points)

Life	20	30	50
Project Type Points	Road	Wastewater	Bridge/Culvert. Sanitary Sewer, Water, Storm Water, Solid Waste
0	New / Expansion	New / Expansion	New / Expansion
1	2016-2021	2013-2021	2006-2021
2	2011-2015	2006-2012	1995-2005
3	2006-2010	1998-2005	1983-1994
4	2001-2005	1991-1997	1971-1982
(5)	2000 or before	1990 or before	1970 or before

Part II - Condition (Maximum 5 points)

- 1 New/Expansion: New or expansion project components represent at least 50% of improvements
- 2 Expansion: New or expansion project components represent between 25% and 49% of improvements

3

Poor: Infrastructure requires repair to continue functioning as originally intended and/or upgrade to meet current design standards.

- 4 Critical: Infrastructure requires replacement to continue functioning as originally intended.
- 5 Failed: Not functioning
- 4. Leveraging Ratio Local and all non-OPWC funding sources as a percentage of total funding. (Maximum 10 points)

	Repair/Replacement (Poor/Critical/Failed in Criterion 3)	New/Expansion (New/Expansion &/or Expansion in Criterion 3)
0	10 or less	50 or less
1	11-15	51-55
2	16-20	56-60
3	21-25	61-65
4	26-30	66-70
5	31-35	71-75
6)	36-40	76-80
7	41-45	81-85
8	46-50	86-90
9	51-55	91-95
10	56 or more	96 or more

- 5. Population Benefit - Number of those to benefit directly from the improvement as a percentage of applicant's total population. (Maximum 5 points)
 - 10% or less 1 25% - 11% 2 3 35% - 26% 45% - 36% 4 55% - 46%
 - 5 56% or more

6. District Priority Ranking as provided by District (Maximum 10 points)

- 6 5th ranked district project
- 7 4th ranked district project
- 3rd ranked district project 8
- 2nd ranked district project 9
- 10 1st ranked district project

7. Amount of OPWC funding requested (Maximum 10 points)

- \$500,000 or more 5 \$250,000 - \$499,999 249,999 or less
- 8. Loan Request as a percentage of OPWC assistance (Maximum 10 points)
 - 15 29% of OPWC assistance 1 30 - 49% of OPWC assistance 5 10
 - 50 100% of OPWC assistance
- 9. Useful Life of Project - Taken from engineer's useful life statement. (Maximum 5 points)
 - 1 7 - 9 years 10 - 14 years 2 3 15 - 19 years 20 - 24 years
 - 5 25 years or more
- 10. Median Household Income - Applicant's MHI as a percentage of the statewide MHI. Information derived from the most recent 5-year American Community Survey as published by the Ohio Development Services Agency. (Maximum 10 points)

2	110% or more
4	100% - 109%
6	90% - 99%
8	80% - 89%
10	79% or less

SG Methodology FY 23 / Round 36

11. Readiness to Proceed (Maximum 10 points)

Part I – Status of Plans – This uses the Small Government Commission's Engineer's Plan Status Certification. (Maximum 5 points)

- 0 Plans not yet begun
- 2 Surveying through Preliminary Design Completed (Items A-C)

5 Surveying through final construction plans, and secured permits and right-of-way as appropriate (Items A-H)

Part II – Status of Funding Sources – This uses source documentation including CFO certifications and loan letters. (Maximum 5 points)

0 All funds not yet committed

3 Applications submitted to funding entities



All funding committed

AMENDED OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ESTIMATED RESOURCES

Office of Budget Commission, OTTAWA County, Ohio PORT CLINTON, Ohio, January 4, 2021

To the TAXING AUTHORITY of SALEM TOWNSHIP

The following is the amended official certificate of estimated resources for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2021, as revised by the Budget Commission of said County, which shall govern the total appropriations made at any time during such fiscal year:

FUND	encumbered Balance an. 1st, 2021	Taxes	0	ther Sources	Total
General Fund	\$ 236,145.29	\$ 79,700.00	\$	-	\$ 315,845.29
Special Revenue Funds	\$ 816,790.93	\$ 314,600.00	\$	177,700.00	\$ 1,309,090.93
Debt Service Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Capital Projects Funds	\$ 	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Special Assessment Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$ -
Enterprise Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Internal Service Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$
Fiduciary Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,052,936.22	\$ 394,300.00	\$	177,700.00	\$ 1,624,936.22

0 11

Ottawa County Budget Commission

FUND	encumbered Balance n. 1st, 2021		Taxes	Ot	her Sources		Total
Special Revenue Funds							70 070 00
MVL Tax Fund	\$ 60,078.32	\$	-	\$		\$	73,078.32
Gasoline Tax Fund	\$ 173,190.78	\$	-	\$	125,000.00	\$	298,190.78
Road and Bridge Fund	\$ 382,070.28	\$	220,400.00	\$	-	\$	602,470.28-
Cemetery	\$ 82,256.24	\$	94,200.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	196,456.24
Permissive Tax	\$ 113,291.02	\$	-	\$	19,700.00	\$	132,991.02
Fire District		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Coronavirus Relief Fund	\$ 5,904.29	\$		\$		\$	5,904.29
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE	\$ 816,790.93	\$	314,600.00	\$	177,700.00	\$	1,309,090.93
		_					
Debt Service Funds							
Debt Service Funds				Te		15	
Debt Service Funds	\$ 	Ţ		\$		\$	
Debt Service Funds TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	\$ -	\$		\$		\$	
	-	\$		\$	-	\$	
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	-	\$	-		-	\$	
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	\$ -		-	\$	- - - -	\$	-
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT	\$			\$	-	\$	
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT	\$ -		-	\$		\$	

۱.

FUND	Unencumbered Balance Jan. 1st, 2021	Taxes	Other Sources	Total
Enterprise Funds				
				\$ -
				\$ -
TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Internal Service Funds				
	1			\$ -
				\$ -
TOTAL INTERNAL SERVICE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL INTERNAL DERVICE				
Fiduciary Funds				
	1	T	1	\$ -
				\$ -
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL FIDUCIARY FUNDS	<u></u>			

AMENDED OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ESTIMATED RESOURCES

Office of Budget Commission, OTTAWA County, Ohio PORT CLINTON, Ohio, January 4, 2021

To the TAXING AUTHORITY of ALLEN TOWNSHIP

The following is the amended official certificate of estimated resources for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2021, as revised by the Budget Commission of said County, which shall govern the total appropriations made at any time during such fiscal year:

FUND	encumbered Balance an. 1st, 2021	Taxes	0	ther Sources	Total
General Fund	\$ 161,397.57	\$ 222,620.00	\$	93,735.00	\$ 477,752.57
Special Revenue Funds	\$ 460,340.37	\$ 270,800.00	\$	262,045.00	\$ 993,185.37
Debt Service Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Capital Projects Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	48,000.00	\$ 48,000.00
Special Assessment Funds	\$ 43,721.64	\$ -	\$	30,660.00	\$ 74,381.64
Enterprise Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Internal Service Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$ -
Fiduciary Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 665,459.58	\$ 493,420.00	\$	434,440.00	\$ 1,593,319.58

l HU

Ottawa County Budget Commission

FUND		encumbered Balance an. 1st, 2021		Taxes	Ot	Other Sources		Total
Special Revenue Funds								
2011 MVL Tax Fund	\$	50,644.52	\$	-	\$	51,115.00	\$	101,759.52
2021 Gasoline Tax Fund	\$	55,424.15	\$	-	\$	152,130.00	\$	207,554.15
2031 Road and Bridge Fund	\$	151,616.43	\$	178,200.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	354,816.43-
2041 Cemetery Fund	\$	38,857.29	\$	36,500.00	\$	26,500.00	\$	101,857.29
2081 Police District Fund	\$	163,797.98	\$	56,100.00	\$	7,300.00	\$	227,197.98
2111 Fire District Fund	\$	-	\$	-			\$	<u>.</u>
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE	\$	460,340.37	\$	270,800.00	\$	262,045.00	\$	993,185.37
Debt Service Funds 3901 Note Retirement	\$	-	1		\$	-	\$	-
	\$				\$	-	\$	-
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	\$		\$	_	\$	-	\$	
					<u> </u>			
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN	\$	-	\$		\$	48,000.00	\$	48,000.00
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II	\$ \$ \$		\$		\$ \$		\$	
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds	\$ \$ \$ \$	۵۵،۵۰۰	\$ \$ \$	-	()	48,000.00 - - -	\$ \$ \$	48,000.00
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II	\$ \$ \$	۵۵،۵۰۰	\$	-	\$ \$		\$	
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds	\$ \$ \$ \$	۵۵،۵۰۰	\$ \$ \$	-	()	48,000.00 - - -	\$ \$ \$	48,000.00
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds	\$ \$ \$ \$	۵۵،۵۰۰	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 48,000.00 3,900.00 5,600.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting Williston Lighting	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 4,965.15 21,475.04 11,427.50
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting Williston Lighting Wildflower Plat I Wildflower Plat II	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 3,900.00 5,600.00 2,500.00 3,500.00	*** *** <td>48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 48,000.00 48,000.00 21,475.04 11,427.50 9,810.45</td>	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 48,000.00 48,000.00 21,475.04 11,427.50 9,810.45
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting Williston Lighting Wildflower Plat I Wildflower Plat II Wildflower Plat III	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 3,900.00 5,600.00 2,500.00 3,500.00 3,360.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting Williston Lighting Wildflower Plat I Wildflower Plat II Wildflower Plat III Wildflower Plat III	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 48,000.00 5,600.00 2,500.00 3,500.00 3,360.00 3,120.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting Williston Lighting Wildflower Plat I Wildflower Plat II Wildflower Plat IV Wildflower Plat IV Wildflower Plat V & VI	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 3,900.00 5,600.00 2,500.00 3,500.00 3,360.00	\$\$\$\$\$ \$\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Capital Project Funds OPWC LOAN OPWC GRANT 4901 Issue II Capital Project Funds TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT Special Assessment Funds Curtice Lighting Williston Lighting Wildflower Plat I Wildflower Plat II Wildflower Plat III Wildflower Plat III Wildflower Plat IV	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 48,000.00 5,600.00 2,500.00 3,500.00 3,360.00 3,120.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 48,000.00 - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - 48,000.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

.

, . . ,. . (

FUND	Unencumbered Balance Jan. 1st, 2021	Taxes	Other Sources	Total
Enterprise Funds				
			1	\$-
				\$ -
TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
Internal Service Funds Unclaimed	\$ -			\$ -
				\$-
TOTAL INTERNAL SERVICE	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -
Fiduciary Funds				\$-
TOTAL FIDUCIARY FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	-			

......

Certificate of County Auditor That the Total Appropriations from Each

Fund

Do Not Exceed the Official Estimate of Resources

Rev. Code, Section 5705.39

County Auditor's Office, Ottawa County, Ohio, Port Clinton, Ohio, January 15, 2021

Carroll Township

I, Jennifer J. Widmer, County Auditor of Ottawa County, Ohio, do hereby certify the total appropriations from each fund taken together with all other outstanding appropriations, **does not** exceed the last amended official estimate of resources for the fiscal year beginning January 1st, 2021, as determined by the Budget Commission of said county.

10 County Auditor, Ottawa County, Ohio

AMENDED OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ESTIMATED RESOURCES

Office of Budget Commission, OTTAWA County, Ohio PORT CLINTON, Ohio, January 15, 2021

To the TAXING AUTHORITY of CARROLL TOWNSHIP

The following is the amended official certificate of estimated resources for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2021, as revised by the Budget Commission of said County, which shall govern the total appropriations made at any time during such fiscal year:

FUND	Unencumbered Balance Jan. 1st, 2021			Taxes	Other Sources			Total		
General Fund	\$	2,087,912.00	\$	605,900.00	\$	661,600.00	\$	3,355,412.00		
Special Revenue Funds	\$	1,466,858.00	\$	605,400.00	\$	500,700.00	\$	2,572,958.00		
Debt Service Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Capital Projects Funds	\$	351,976.00	\$	-	\$	126,000.00	\$	477,976.00		
Special Assessment Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-		
Enterprise Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Internal Service Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Fiduciary Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
TOTAL	\$	3,906,746.00	\$	1,211,300.00	\$	1,288,300.00	\$	6,406,346.00		

Ottawa County Budget Commission

FUND		nencumbered Balance an. 1st, 2021		Taxes	Other Sources			Total	
Special Revenue Funds									
MVL	\$	82,454.00	\$	-	\$	25,500.00	\$	107,954.00	
Gasoline Tax Fund	\$	277,551.00	\$	-	\$	105,000.00	\$	382,551.00	
Road and Bridge Fund	\$	697,197.00	\$	201,900.00	\$	253,100.00	\$	1,152,197.00	
Cemetery Fund	\$	13,140.00	\$	-	\$	5,500.00	\$	18,640.00	
Dock Tax Toussaint	\$	17,684.00	\$	-	\$	6,000.00	\$	23,684.00	
Dock Tax Turtle Creek	\$	47,625.00	\$	-	\$	2,000.00	\$	49,625.00	
Locust Point Cemetery	\$	9,430.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,430.00	
Fire and Rescue, Amb. and EMS	\$	57,784.00	\$	-	\$	50,000.00	\$	107,784.00	
Police Levy	\$	263,993.00	\$	403,500.00	\$	53,600.00	\$	721,093.00	
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE	\$	1,466,858.00	\$	605,400.00	\$	500,700.00	\$	2,572,958.00	
Debt Service Funds Note Retirement	\$	-			\$	-	\$	-	
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	
Capital Project Funds									
Permanent Improvement	\$	283,102.00			\$	1,000.00	\$	284,102.00	
Public Works-Project Beir	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Misc. Capital Projects	\$	68,874.00	\$	-	\$	125,000.00	\$	193,874.00	
	Ť		<u> </u>		- T				
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT	\$	351,976.00	\$	-	\$	126,000.00	\$	477,976.00	
				<u> </u>	<u></u>				
Special Assessment Funds									
							\$	-	
	TT						\$	-	
TOTAL SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

,

FUND	Unencumbered Balance Jan. 1st, 2021	Taxes	Other Sources	Total
Enterprise Funds				
	T			\$ -
				\$ -
TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-
Internal Service Funds			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				\$ -
				\$ -
TOTAL INTERNAL SERVICE	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$
Fiduciary Funds				
Rupp Memorial Fund				\$-
				\$ -
TOTAL FIDUCIARY FUNDS	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-

Small Government Commission Engineer's Plan Status Certification Required for Criterion No. 11, Part I

Applicant: Salem Township

5

District No .:

Project Name: Little Portage E, Walbridge E, Curtice E & W and Bier Roads Resurfacing

	Item	1	sary for oject?	Status	Completion Date
M	et Completion dates for Items A -	- C (2 p	oints)		
A	Surveying	Y	N/A		14
В	R/W Acquisition Identified	Y □	N/A		
С	Preliminary Design	Y D	N/A		
M	et Completion dates for Items A -	- H (5 p	oints)		
D	Final Construction Plans	Y	N/A		
E	Permit to Install Issued	Y D	N/A	1.	
F	NPDES Issued	Y	N/A		
G	Other Permits Issued	Y D	N/A		
Н	Executed Right of Way Option or Agreement	Y D	N/A		

I hereby certify that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Ronald P Lajti Jr, PE, PS, Ottawa County Engineer Engineer's Printed Name Engineer's Signature 8/24/21

Date



Engineer's Stamp/Seal

Small Government Self-Score

(Input Score in box for each criterion; will total automatically)

Ap 1	plicant: Ability			-	2000	ding t	o proje	act tam	-1					SCORE
•	A.	Roads,								Draina	- 0.00	v		
	A .	0 0	2	4	6	8	10 10	50110	vuste	Projeci	SONL	r		
		U	2	4	0	0	10							4
	В.	Water	8. Wa	ctownt	or Pro	iacte ()								
	υ.	Calcula					1461							N/A
		Culcul			11131141	.01								
2	Health	& Safety	/ [1]5/	οAor	R acco	rding (o nroi	ect tvr						
-	A.	Road, I				141118	.0 proj		,~,					
		0	2		6	8	10							4
		-	-	·	•	•	10							
	В.	Water,	Wast	ewate	r. Stori	n Wat	er. Sol	id Was	te					
		0	2	4	6	8	10							
						-								
3	Age & C	Conditio	n											
	Ι.	Age												
		0	1	2	3	4	5							5
	<i>II</i> .	Conditi	ion											
		1	2	3	4	5								3
4	Leverag	;ing Rati	0											
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		6
5	Populat													
		0	1	2	3	4	5							1
-			_											
6	District	Priority	капкі	ng - (.omple	eted by	/ Admi	nistrat	or					N/A
-	ODWC	Francia Da		المحا										
7	UPWCI	Funds Re O	equesi 5											
		U	5	10										5
0	Loan Re	annet (l	Dofaul	t O noi	nte if r	o loan	roque	tod)						
Ŭ	Louin ind	1	5	10	1113 11 1		requi	leuj						
		•	5	10										
9	Useful I	Life												
-		1	2	3	4	5								3
		_	_	-		-								
10	Median	House	nold In	come										
		2	4	6	8	10								2
11	Reading	ess to Pr	oceed	I										
	<i>I</i> .	Status	of Plai	ns										
		0	2	5										5
	<i>II</i> .	Status												
		0	3	5										5
													TOTAL	44