



State of Ohio
Public Works Commission
Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

Applicant

Applicant: Henry County Subdivision Code: 069-00069

District Number: 5 County: Henry Date: 08/30/2021

Contact: Timothy Schumm, Henry County Engineer PE, PS Phone: (419) 592-2976
(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions)

Email: tschumm@henrycountyengineer.com FAX: _____

Project Name: Widening Project 2022 Zip Code: 43545

Project

Subdivision Type	Project Type	Funding Request Summary
<small>(Select one)</small>	<small>(Select single largest component by \$)</small>	<small>(Automatically populates from page 2)</small>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Road	Total Project Cost: <u>615,000.00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. City	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant: <u>307,500.00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Township	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Water Supply	2. Loan: <u>0.00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Village	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Wastewater	3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: <u>0.00</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Water (6119 Water District)	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Solid Waste	Funding Requested: <u>307,500.00</u>
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Stormwater	

District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee)

Funding Type Requested	SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
<small>(Select one)</small>		
<input type="checkbox"/> State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> Revolving Loan Program	LTIP:	Amount: _____ .00
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Government Program	Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	Amount: _____ .00
District SG Priority: _____		

For OPWC Use Only

STATUS	Grant Amount: _____ .00	Loan Type: <input type="checkbox"/> SCIP <input type="checkbox"/> RLP
Project Number: _____	Loan Amount: _____ .00	Date Construction End: _____
_____	Total Funding: _____ .00	Date Maturity: _____
Release Date: _____	Local Participation: _____ %	Rate: _____ %
OPWC Approval: _____	OPWC Participation: _____ %	Term: _____ Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services

Preliminary Design:	_____	0 .00	
Final Design:	_____	0 .00	
Construction Administration:	_____	0 .00	
Total Engineering Services:	a.) _____	0 .00	_____ 0 %
Right of Way:	b.) _____	.00	
Construction:	c.) _____	615,000 .00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.) _____	.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.) _____	.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.) _____	.00	_____ 0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.) _____	615,000 .00	

1.2 Project Financial Resources

Local Resources

Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.) _____	.00	
Local Revenues:	b.) _____	307,500 .00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.) _____	.00	
ODOT / FHWA PID: _____	d.) _____	.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.) _____	.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.) _____	.00	
CDBG:	g.) _____	.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula"			
<input type="checkbox"/> Department of Development			
Other: _____	h.) _____	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.) _____	307,500 .00	_____ 50 %

OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)

Grant: <u>100</u> % of OPWC Funds	j.) _____	307,500 .00	
Loan: <u>0</u> % of OPWC Funds	k.) _____	0 .00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.) _____	0 .00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.) _____	307,500 .00	_____ 50 %
Total Financial Resources:	n.) _____	615,000 .00	_____ 100 %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local resources required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	_____ 615,000 .00	_____ 100 %
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	_____ 0 .00	_____ 0 %
2.3 Total Project:	_____ 615,000 .00	_____ 100 %

A Farmland Preservation letter is required for any impact to farmland

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date: <u>07/01/2021</u>	End Date: <u>09/01/2021</u>
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date: <u>06/11/2022</u>	End Date: <u>07/06/2022</u>
3.3 Construction	Begin Date: <u>07/06/2022</u>	End Date: <u>10/29/2022</u>

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed. Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: 15 Years Age: 2004 (Year built or year of last major improvement)

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge: Current ADT 903 Year 2021 Projected ADT 1,084 Year 2041

Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.

Residential Water Rate Current \$ _____ Proposed \$ _____

Number of households served: _____

Residential Wastewater Rate Current \$ _____ Proposed \$ _____

Number of households served: _____

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

- A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

Napoleon Township Road 16 from US 6 to Road P
Ridgeville Township Road 21 from US6 to Road U
Ridgeville Township Road 21 from Road V to Road W

- B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

Road 16 will be widened 2 feet on the east side increasing the the road width to 18 feet total.

Road 21 will be widened 2 feet on the east side increasing the road width to 18.5 feet total.

Road 21 will be widened 2 feet on the east side increasing the road width to 18 feet total.

- C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

Road 16 will be widened to 18 feet approximatley 7730 feet in length; Road 21 will be widened to 18.5 feet approximatley 5910 feet in length; Road 21 will be widened to 18 feet approximatley 5330 feet in length.

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer (Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: Glenn A Miller
Title: President, Henry County Commissioners
Address: 1853 Oakwood Avenue

City: Napoleon State: OH Zip: 43545
Phone: (419) 592-4876
FAX: (419) 592-4016
E-Mail: commissioners@henrycountyohio.com

5.2 Chief Financial Officer (Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: Kevin Garringer
Title: County Auditor
Address: 660 North Perry Street

City: Napoleon State: OH Zip: 43545
Phone: (419) 592-1956
FAX: (419) 592-4024
E-Mail: auditor@henrycountyohio.com

5.3 Project Manager

Name: Timothy J Schumm, PE/ PS
Title: County Engineer
Address: 1823 Oakwood Avenue

City: Napoleon State: OH Zip: 43545
Phone: (419) 592-2976
FAX: (419) 592-5508
E-Mail: tschumm@henrycountyengineer.com

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

- A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
- A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
- Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.
- Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.


Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)


Original Signature / Date Signed


ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION
FOR
INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT APPLICATION


To: Linda S. Bailiff
Ohio Public Works Commission
Columbus, OH

Please accept this certification that I, Timothy J Schumm, PE, PS, have examined the project application listed in the attached document, and in my opinion, the estimated total cost of \$615,000.00 is reasonable and appropriate. I further certify the project to have a minimum life of 15 years.

- (1) Title of Project: Widening Project 2022
- (2) Political Subdivision Submitting this Project: Henry County
- (3) Type of Project: Road Widening and Overlay
- (4) Amount of Funds Requested: \$307,500.00
- (5) Local number assigned to this project: N/A


Respectfully Submitted,



Timothy J Schumm, P.E. P.S.
Henry County Engineer



Widening Project 2022

The undersigned, Timothy J Schumm, PE, PS, Henry County Engineer does hereby certify the attached project estimate to be reasonable and appropriate based on previously bid unit prices.


Timothy J Schumm, P.E., P.S.
Henry County Engineer
Ohio P.E. #62821



The seal is circular with the text "STATE OF OHIO" at the top and "REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER" at the bottom. In the center, it reads "TIMOTHY J. SCHUMM" and "E-02821".

Henry County Engineer
ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
Napoleon Township
7.20.21

Road 16 b/ US 6 and Road P

Length: 7730 Feet or 1.46 Mile(s)

Width: 18 Feet (Approx. 15640 S.Y. including radius and driveway work)

Type: ODOT Spec 823, with Spec 301 Widening W/ 617 Berm @ 1.5 Feet Wide Each Side

<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>Total Price</u>
1	202	19	EA	Remove & Relocate Mailbox/Paperbox	\$ 250.00	\$ 4,750.00
2	203	239	CY	Excavation (2' Widening East Side and Mailbox Turnout) 75% material laid over as additional embankment, Cannot be used for residential yards	\$ 65.00	\$ 15,535.00
3	204	1720	SY	Subgrade Compaction	\$ 1.00	\$ 1,720.00
4	301	239	CY	Bituminous Aggregate Base, (5" average compacted depth, includes 407 tack coat on vertical face)	\$ 180.00	\$ 43,020.00
5	407	780	Gal	Bituminous Tack Coat applied at 0.05 gallons per square yard	\$ 2.10	\$ 1,638.00
6	823	652	C.Y.	Asphalt concrete surface course PG 64-22, Type 1 (823) applied, spread and compacted at the average depth of 1.5 inches	\$ 190.00	\$ 123,880.00
7	617	108	CY	Stabilized crushed aggregate berm in place and compacted at the average depth of 1.5 inches	\$ 90.00	\$ 9,720.00
8	254	150	S.Y.	Pavement Planing (20' length milled including radius)	\$ 17.00	\$ 2,550.00
9	614	1	L.S.	Maintaining Traffic	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 5,500.00
10	624	1	L.S.	Mobilization	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00
11	103.05	1	L.S.	Contract Performance & Payment Bond	\$ 1,047.00	\$ 1,047.00
12	209	7730	Feet	Linear Grading	\$ 2.00	\$ 15,460.00
13	659	2550	S.Y.	Seeding and Mulching	\$ 6.00	\$ 15,300.00
14	659	8	M. Gal	Water	\$ 10.00	\$ 80.00
15	659	0.5	Ton	Commercial Fertilizer	\$ 600.00	\$ 300.00
Estimate Total						\$ 245,000.00

Henry County Engineer
ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
Ridgeville Township
7.20.21

Road 21 b/ US 6 and Road U

Length: 5910 Feet or 1.12 Mile(s)

Width: 18.5 Feet (Approx. 12190 S.Y. including radius and driveway work)

Type: ODOT Spec 823, with Spec 301 Widening W/ 617 Berm @ 1.5 Feet Wide Each Side

<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>Total Price</u>
1	202	3	EA	Remove & Relocate Mailbox/Paperbox	250.00	\$ 750.00
2	203	182	CY	Excavation (2' Widening East Side and Mailbox Turnout)	65.00	\$ 11,830.00
3	204	1315	SY	Subgrade Compaction	1.00	\$ 1,315.00
				Bituminous Aggregate Base, (5" average compacted depth, includes 407 tack coat on vertical face)		
4	301	182	CY	407 tack coat on vertical face)	180.00	\$ 32,760.00
5	407	610	Gal	Bituminous Tack Coat applied at 0.05 gallons per square yard	2.10	\$ 1,281.00
				Asphalt concrete surface course PG 64-22 (823 Light Traffic) applied, spread and compacted at the average depth of 1.5 inches		
6	823	508	C.Y.	Stabilized crushed aggregate berm in place and compacted at the average depth of 1.5 inches	190.00	\$ 96,520.00
7	617	82	CY	average depth of 1.5 inches	90.00	\$ 7,380.00
8	254	115	S.Y.	Pavement Planning(20' length milled including radius)	17.00	\$ 1,955.00
9	614	1	L.S.	Maintaining Traffic	5,500.00	\$ 5,500.00
10	624	1	L.S.	Mobilization	4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00
11	103.05	1	L.S.	Contract Performance & Payment Bond	1,409.00	\$ 1,409.00
12	209	5910	Feet	Linear Grading	2.00	\$ 11,820.00
13	659	2600	S.Y.	Seeding and Mulching	6.00	\$ 15,600.00
14	659	8	M. Gal	Water	10.00	\$ 80.00
15	659	0.5	Ton	Commercial Fertilizer	600.00	\$ 300.00
Estimate Total						\$ 193,000.00

Henry County Engineer
ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
Ridgeville Township
7.20.21

Road 21 b/ Road V and Road W

Length: 5330 Feet or 1.01 Mile(s)

Width: 18 Feet (Approx. 10745 S.Y. including radius and driveway work)

Type: ODOT Spec 823, with Spec 301 Widening W/ 617 Berm @ 1.5 Feet Wide Each Side

<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>Total Price</u>
1	203	165	CY	Excavation (2' Widening East Side and Mailbox Turnout)	65.00	\$ 10,725.00
2	204	1185	SY	Subgrade Compaction	1.00	\$ 1,185.00
3	301	165	CY	Bituminous Aggregate Base, (5" average compacted depth, includes 407 tack coat on vertical face)	180.00	\$ 29,700.00
4	407	535	Gal	Bituminous Tack Coat applied at 0.05 gallons per square yard	2.10	\$ 1,123.50
5	823	448	C.Y.	Asphalt concrete surface course PG 64-22 (823 Light Traffic) applied, spread and compacted at the average depth of 1.5 inches	190.00	\$ 85,120.00
6	617	74	CY	Stabilized crushed aggregate berm in place and compacted at the average depth of 1.5 inches	90.00	\$ 6,660.00
7	254	375	S.Y.	Pavement Planning(20' length milled including radius)	17.00	\$ 6,375.00
8	614	1	L.S.	Maintaining Traffic	5,500.00	\$ 5,500.00
9	624	1	L.S.	Mobilization	4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00
10	103.05	1	L.S.	Contract Performance & Payment Bond	1,001.50	\$ 1,001.50
11	209	5330	Feet	Linear Grading	2.00	\$ 10,660.00
12	659	2345	S.Y.	Seeding and Mulching	6.00	\$ 14,070.00
13	659	8	M. Gal	Water	10.00	\$ 80.00
14	659	0.5	Ton	Commercial Fertilizer	600.00	\$ 300.00
Estimate Total						\$ 177,000.00

Site Code: Napoleon Township

Station ID: 7.17.21

Location 1: Road 16 b/ US 6 and Road P

Location 2:

Location 3:

Location 4:

Summary: Using Average and Correction Factors

Average Volume	290
ADT	290
AADT	290

X 1.018

295

Comment 1:

Comment 2:

Comment 3:

Comment 4:

Latitude: 0.000000

Longitude: 0.000000

Site Code: Ridgeville

Station ID: Road 21

Location 1: Between US 6 and Road 21

Location 2:

Location 3:

Location 4:

Comment 1:
Comment 2:
Comment 3:
Comment 4:
Latitude: 0.000000
Longitude: 0.000000

Summary: Using Average and Correction Factors

Average Volume	289
ADT	289
AADT	289

X 0.893

4.09, 21

158

Default Report Title
Use Preferences to Define Titles

Site Code: Ridgerville

Station ID: Road 21

Location 1: Between Road V and W

Location 2:

Location 3:

Location 4:

Summary: Using Average and Correction Factors

Average Volume 379

ADT 379

AADT 379

X 0.924

6.24.21

350

Comment 1:

Comment 2:

Comment 3:

Comment 4:

Latitude: 0.000000

Longitude: 0.000000

COOPERATION AGREEMENT

Napoleon Township Resolution Number: _____ Date: _____

Ridgeville Township Resolution Number: _____ Date: _____

Henry County (lead only) and the Townships of Napoleon and Ridgeville enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the Roads 16 and 21.

Henry County will be lead applicant.


Napoleon Township will collect and provide funds totaling \$122,500.00 of the cost of the above referenced project. Such funds will come from the _____ fund.

Ridgeville Township will collect and provide funds totaling \$185,000.00 of the cost of the above referenced project. Such funds will come from the _____ fund.

Napoleon and Ridgeville Townships authorizes Henry County to be the lead applicant and to sign all necessary documents.

Napoleon and Ridgeville Townships agree to pay their respected costs of the completed project as determined by the Henry County Engineer which will vary from the estimated quantities and/or costs found in the Engineers estimates and above. All parties agree to pay invoices that are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon.

Signature Page for COOP Agreement


Henry County

Napoleon Township Trustee

Ridgeville Township Trustee

COOPERATION AGREEMENT

Napoleon Township Resolution Number: _____ Date: _____

Ridgeville Township Resolution Number: 2021.01 Date: 9.02.21

Henry County (lead only) and the Townships of Napoleon and Ridgeville enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the Roads 16 and 21.

Henry County will be lead applicant.

Napoleon Township will collect and provide funds totaling \$122,500.00 of the cost of the above referenced project. Such funds will come from the _____ fund.

Ridgeville Township will collect and provide funds totaling \$185,000.00 of the cost of the above referenced project. Such funds will come from the ROAD SPEC. Levy fund.

Napoleon and Ridgeville Townships authorizes Henry County to be the lead applicant and to sign all necessary documents.

Napoleon and Ridgeville Townships agree to pay their respected costs of the completed project as determined by the Henry County Engineer which will vary from the estimated quantities and/or costs found in the Engineers estimates and above. All parties agree to pay invoices that are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon.

Signature Page for COOP Agreement

Henry County

Napoleon Township Trustee

Carlos Hoffman
Ridgeville Township Trustee

2021.01

AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING Carlos Graiser (Chairman) TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND / OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, RIDGEVILLE Township is planning to make capital improvements to Road (s) 21, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by: RIDGEVILLE Township

Section 1: CARLOS GRAISER (Chairman) is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: CARLOS GRAISER (Chairman) is authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: SEPT. 2, 2021


Chairman


Fiscal Officer

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL FUNDS /
LOAN REPAYMENT LETTER

SEPT. 2, 2021

I, CHERYL BOSTECMAN, fiscal officer for RIDGAVILLE Township, hereby certify that the Township has the amount of \$185,000.00 in the Bond Special fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the 2022 Widening Project.

Cheryl Bostecman
Fiscal Officer

COOPERATION AGREEMENT

Napoleon Township Resolution Number: #08-21 Date: 7/30/2021

Ridgeville Township Resolution Number: _____ Date: _____

Henry County (lead only) and the Townships of Napoleon and Ridgeville enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the Roads 16 and 21.

Henry County will be lead applicant.

Napoleon Township will collect and provide funds totaling \$122,500.00 of the cost of the above referenced project. Such funds will come from the ROAD fund.

Ridgeville Township will collect and provide funds totaling \$185,000.00 of the cost of the above referenced project. Such funds will come from the _____ fund.

Napoleon and Ridgeville Townships authorizes Henry County to be the lead applicant and to sign all necessary documents.

Napoleon and Ridgeville Townships agree to pay their respected costs of the completed project as determined by the Henry County Engineer which will vary from the estimated quantities and/or costs found in the Engineers estimates and above. All parties agree to pay invoices that are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon.

Signature Page for COOP Agreement

Henry County

Kevin E. Sonnenberg
Napoleon Township Trustee

Ridgeville Township Trustee

08-21

AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING Kevin Sonnenberg (Chairman) TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND / OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, NAPOLGON Township is planning to make capital improvements to Road (s) 16, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by: NAPOLGON Township

Section 1: Kevin Sonnenberg (Chairman) is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: Kevin Gerken (Chairman) is authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: July 20, 2021


Kevin E. Sonnenberg
Chairman

Lisa Wagner
Fiscal Officer

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL FUNDS /
LOAN REPAYMENT LETTER

JULY 20, 2021

I, LISA WAGNER, fiscal officer for NAPOLEON Township, hereby certify that the Township has the amount of \$122,500.00 in the ROAD fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the 2022 Widening Project.


Fiscal Officer

**DISTRICT 5
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
QUESTIONNAIRE
ROUND 36**

Name of Applicant: HENRY COUNTY
Project Title: WIDENING PROJECT 2022

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Villages and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1. What percentage of the project in repair A=100%, replacement B= __%, expansion C= __%, and new D= __%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B=100% C+D= __% ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

- 2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2); 164.14(E)(8)

Points	Category	Description	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards - Complete Pavement Reconstruction -Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement- Replacement of a major component of a water and/or sewer treatment plant which would result in a failure in meeting WQ Standards
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a component such as a control mechanism, pumps, hydrants, valves, filters,

			etc of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Repair of a tank to maintain structural integrity in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

Life	20	30	50
Project Type	Road	Wastewater and Water Treatment	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*
Critical:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*
Major:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Moderate:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Minimal:	Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
No Impact:	Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the LOWEST category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

<i>Major Access Road:</i>	<i>Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.</i>
<i>Minor Access Road:</i>	<i>Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.</i>
<i>Preventative Maintenance:</i>	<i>Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.</i>

* (3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

* (4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement. etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
Moderate:	66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.
Minimal:	81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.
No Impact:	Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical:	Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a consent decree, finding and orders or court order, and Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.
Major:	Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.
Minimal:	Separate, to conform to current design standards.
No Impact:	No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
---------------------	--

Critical:	Chronic flooding (structure damage) or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a critical safety hazard to the public.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements, sewer system overflows, and/or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
Major:	Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to the public, or; EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage; or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES permit requirements.

- Major: EPA recommendations, or; reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
- Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

- Extremely Critical: Replace to solve low potable water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
- Critical: Replacement/Rehabilitation due to structural deficiency such as excessive corrosion and/or safety upgrades, etc.
- Major: Replace undersized water mains as part of an overall upgrade process. Replace water meters that have exceeded their useful life.
- Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs. Spot repairs/recoating to restore moderate corrosion of water components.
- Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

- Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.
- Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
- Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.

- Moderate: The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
- Minimal: A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
- No Impact: No health and/or safety effect.

NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical , Critical ____, Major ____, Moderate ____, Minimal ____, No Impact ____. Explain your answer.

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost. ORC Reference 164.06(B)(6); ORC 164.06(B)(7); ORC 164.06(B)(3); ORC 164.14(E)(4)

A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$ 307,500.00

B.) Total Project Cost = \$ 615,000.00

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A/B)= 50 %

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost. ORC Reference(s): 164.06(B)(7); 164.14(E)(4)

Grants NA % Gifts __%, Contributions __%

Other NA % (explain) _____, Total __%

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(10); 164.06(B)(5)

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| _____ | \$500,001 or More |
| _____ | \$400,001-\$500,000 |
| _____ | \$325,001-\$400,000 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ | \$275,001-\$325,000 |

_____ \$175,001-\$275,000
_____ \$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES NO

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 36 hours/week) ? Yes ___ No . If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ___ No ____. If yes, how many jobs _____ will be created/retrained within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivalent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 903 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) _ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)

9. Economic Distress Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)

What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income? 190.92 %. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data provided in Exhibit A.

10. Readiness to Proceed Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)

Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.

_____ Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)

_____ Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
~~_____~~ Final Design Complete (2 Points)

11. Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= _____
12. County Subcommittee Priority Points= _____
(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)

13. DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)

- 13a. A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance) (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee) _____
ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)
- 13b. A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee) _____ ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)

14. Grand Total of Points _____

15. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No ____ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143>

16. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Supplement and their required budgets with their application.

Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the

Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.

- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.

- If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the **Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental** and the **Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet**. Both are available on the **Small Government Program Tab** at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government>

- Should there be more projects that meet the “annual score” than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, “contingency projects” may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.

- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.

- Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.


If you desire to have your Round 36 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 36 by accessing the OPWC Website at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2036%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143>. Please follow the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and

include supporting documentation to receive points. Specifically, include the Auditor's Certification of funds for your entity and documentation supporting the age of the infrastructure.

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 36.

Date: 8.30.21

Signature: 

Title: PLANNING DIRECTOR

Address: 1823 OAKWOOD AVE. NAPOLEON OH 43545

Phone: 419.599.7370

FAX:

Email: nick.rettig@henrycountyohio.com

District 5
Capital Improvement Project
Priority Rating Sheet, Round 36

Revised 06/29/2021

COUNTY: Henry										PROJECT NUMBER							
PROJECT: Widening Project 2022 (Napoleon, Ridgeville)																	
EST. COST: \$915,000.00																	
No.	WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	PRIORITY FACTORS					A * B	PRIORITY FACTORS						No.		
			0	2	4	6	8		10	0	2	4	6	8		10	
1	1	(REPAIR OR REPLACE) vs. (NEW OR EXPANSION)						X	10	0%+	20%+	40%+	60%+	80%+	100%+	1	
2A	1	EXISTING PHYSICAL CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 36 Scoring Methodology. Must submit substantiating documentation (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)						X	10	Excellent	Good	Fair	Fairing	Poor	Failing	2A	
2B	1	AGE					X	4	Type	0-4 Yrs	5-8 Yrs	9-12 Yrs	13-18 Yrs	17-20 Yrs	20+ Yrs	2B	
									Road	0-4 Yrs	5-8 Yrs	9-12 Yrs	13-18 Yrs	17-20 Yrs	20+ Yrs		
									Wastewater	0-6 Yrs	7-12 Yrs	13-18 Yrs	19-24 Yrs	25-30 Yrs	30+ Yrs		
									Bridges/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste	0-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	21-30 Yrs	31-40 Yrs	41-50 Yrs	50+ Yrs		
3	2	PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR SAFETY CONCERNS Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question						X	20	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	3	
4	2	LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant's budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant's budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues)*						X	20	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	4	
5	1	OTHER FUNDING (Excluding Issue II Funds) (Grants and other revenues not controlled or collected through taxes by the applicant, including GAs, Contributions, etc - must submit copy of award or status letter)							0	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	5	
6	2	OPWIC GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED. Please refer to Criteria #9 of the Round 36 Methodology for clarification.							0	0	2	4	6	8	10	6	
	2	Grant or Loan Only	-8	-8	0	8	8	10	16	Grant or Loan Only	-8	0	8	8	10	8	
	2	Grant/Loan Combination	-8	-8	0	8	9	10	0	Grant/Loan Combination	\$750,000 or more	\$600,001 to \$750,000	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to \$487,500	\$282,501 to \$412,500	\$292,500 or less	6
When scoring a project that is only grant or only loan, please use the chart labeled "Grant or Loan Only". When scoring a grant/loan combination, score the project for the grant in the first chart, then use the second chart labeled "Grant/Loan Combination" to score the total (grant and loan combined). Use the lower of the two as the score.																	
7	1	JOB CREATION/RETENTION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentation in the form of a contract/letter from business or third party entity							0	0-6 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15-24 Jobs	25+ Jobs			7	
8	1	BENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS (households or traffic counts) Equivalent existing unit direct connections. Traffic Counts with three years with certified documentation, etc						X	8	0-99 Users	100-349 Users	350-499 Users	500-749 Users	750-1000 Users	1000+ Users	8	
9	1	ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local MHI as a percentage of a District Median MHI							0	100%+	80%-100%	Less Than 80%				9	
10	1	READINESS TO PROCEED						X	2	Plans Not Begun	Preliminary Engineering Complete	Final Design Complete				10	
11		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX = 115)								90	Other Info Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO Attach impact statement if yes Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO						
12		COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (15-20-15)															
13A		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX=1)									District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. Include documentation to support the claim of significance						
13B		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX=1)									District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized financial resources including assessments and utility rate structure						
14		GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS															

* Applicants must certify local and other share contributions. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.