

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IIVIPC	DRIANT: Please consult Instructions for	Financial Assistance for Capital Infra	astructure Pro	ojects for guia	ance in com	pletion of this form
Applicant	Applicant: Village of Bloomdale District Number: 5 County: Contact: Mayor Stephen A Schafer (The individual who will be available during	business hours and who can best answer or coor	dinate the respons	e to questions)	Date: <u>09/</u> Phone: (41	9) 454-2941 9) 454-2119
Project	Project Name: Bloomdale Storm Se Subdivision Type (Select one) 1. County 2. City 3. Township 4. Village 5. Water (6119 Water District)	Project Type (Select single largest component by \$) 1. Road 2. Bridge/Culvert 3. Water Supply 4. Wastewater 5. Solid Waste 6. Stormwater	Total Projection 1. (Co. 1.)	Funding Really populates from ect Cost: Grant: Loan: Loan Assistance Credit Enhance	equest Sun page 2) e/	44817 nmary 689,581 .00 325,000 .00 0 .00
		O. Stoffiwater	T driding is	equesteu.		020,000
Di	strict Recommendation	(To be completed by the District Co	ommittee)			
(Sel	Funding Type Requested	SCIP Loan - Rate:%	Term:	Yrs Am	ount:	.00
	State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate: %	Term:	Yrs Am	ount:	.00
	Local Transportation Improvement Program Revolving Loan Program	Grant:		Am	ount:	.00
	Small Government Program	LTIP		Am	ount:	.00
	District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Credit E	nhancemen	t: Am	ount:	.00
Fo	r OPWC Use Only					
	STATUS	Grant Amount:	.00	Loan Type:	☐ sc	IP RLP
Proje	ct Number:	Loan Amount:	.00	Date Const	ruction En	d:
		Total Funding:	.00	Date Matur	ity:	
Relea	se Date:	Local Participation:	%	Rate:		%
OPW	C Approval:	OPWC Participation:	%	Term: _	Y	rs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services			
Preliminary Design:	5,000 .00		
Final Design:	32,250 .00		
Construction Administration:	26,250 .00		
Total Engineering Services:		a.) 63,500	.0011_%
Right of Way:		b.)	.00
Construction:		c.)568,710	.00
Materials Purchased Directly:		d.)	.00
Permits, Advertising, Legal:		e.)500	.00
Construction Contingencies:		f.)56,871	.00 10 %
Total Estimated Costs:		g.)689,581	.00
1.2 Project Financial Resource	es		*
Local Resources			
Local In-Kind or Force Account:		a.)	.00
Local Revenues:		b.)	.00
Other Public Revenues:		c.)	.00
ODOT / FHWA PID:		d.)	.00
USDA Rural Development:		e.)	.00
OEPA / OWDA:		f.) 284,581	.00
CDBG: County Entitlement or Comn Department of Developmen		g.)	00
Other: Wood County		h.) 80,000	.00
Subtotal Local Resources:		i.)364,581	.0053 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested ar	nd enter Amount)		
Grant: 100 % of OPWC F	unds	j.)325,000	.00
Loan:0 % of OPWC F	unds	k.)0	.00
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhar	ncement:	I.)0	.00
Subtotal OPWC Funds:		m.) 325,000	.00 47 %
Total Financial Resources:		n.)689,581	.00

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Rep	air / Replacen	nent or New / Exp	ansion							
	2.1 Total Portion o	f Project Repair / Replac	689	.581 .00	<u>100</u> %	A Familian Presorvation to				
	2.2 Total Portion o	f Project New / Expansion		0.00	0 %	required for impact to fain				
	2.3 Total Project:			689	581 .00	100 %				
3.0 Proj	ect Schedule									
	3.1 Engineering / I	Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:_	05/01/2021	End Date:	08/01/2	2021			
	3.2 Bid Advertisem	nent and Award	Begin Date:	08/01/2021	End Date:	09/15/2	2021			
	3.3 Construction		Begin Date:_	10/01/2021	End Date:	06/01/2	2022			
	Construction canno	t begin prior to release of	executed Project	Agreement and	issuance of N	Notice to P	roceed.			
	Modification of do	Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.								
4.0 Proj	ect Information	า								
lf th	he project is multi-ju	risdictional, information	must be consoli	dated in this se	ction.					
4.1 U	Iseful Life / Co	st Estimate / Age	of Infrastru	ıcture						
Pro	oject Useful Life:	40 Years Age:	1964	(Year built or y	ear of last ma	jor improve	ement)			
		Professional Engineer's indicated above and de			and signature	e confirmii	ng the			
4.2 U	lser Informatio	n								
Ro	ad or Bridge:	Current ADT	Year	Projected	ADT	Year _				
Wa	ater / Wastewater	: Based on monthly usag	ge of 4,500 gallo	ons per househo	old; attach cu	rrent ordir	nances.			
	Residential Water	Rate	Current \$		Proposed \$	S				
	Number of house	eholds served:	-							
	Residential Waste	water Rate	Current \$		Proposed \$	S				
	Number of house	eholds served:)							

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12,15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: ____

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

Village of Bloomdale - Bloomdale Road from Sterns Road South to Buckeye Street. Includes Sunset Drive, Hickory Street, Buckeye Street and N. Garfield Street.

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

Project will replace existing 4" thru 18" storm sewers that are undersized and failing. Storm sewers will be replaced with new HDPE/PVC Storm sewers including the Northside outlet along Bloomdale Road to Sterns Road tieing into an existing county sewer. Sewer replacement will also occur on N. Garfield Street, Sunset Drive, Hickory Street, Buckeye Street and N. Main Street. This project will also include installing approximately 17 drainage structures. Restoration work will include seeding and mulching, pavement repair, etc.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

Existing storm sewers consist of 4" thru 18" sewers. They will be replaced with the following:

1,255' of 33" Storm Sewer 728' of 27" Storm Sewer 660' of 24" Storm Sewer 75' 15" Storm Sewer 655' 12" Storm Sewer along with 17 drainage structures.

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 4 of 6

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer	(Person a	uthorized in legislation to sign project agreements)
	Name:	Stephen A. Schafer
	Title:	Mayor
	Address:	Village of Bloomdale
		206 Vine Street, P.O. Box 186
	City:	Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817
	Phone:	(419) 454-2941
	FAX:	(419) 454-2119
	E-Mail:	villageofbloomdale@gmail.com
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	also serve as CEO)
	Name:	Diane Miller
	Title:	Interim Clerk/Treasurer
	Address:	Village of Bloomdale
		206 Vine Street, P.O. Box 186
	City:	Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817
	Phone:	(419) 454-2941
	FAX:	(419) 454-2119
	E-Mail:	villageofbloomdale@gmail.com
5.3 Project Manager		
	Name:	Stephen A. Schafer
	Title:	Mayor
	Address:	Village of Bloomdale
		206 Vine Street, P.O. Box 186
	City:	Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817
	Phone:	(419) 454-2941
	FAX:	(419) 454-2119
	E-Mail:	villageofbloomedale@gmail.com

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 5 of 6

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confir	m in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)
✓	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
✓	A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
V	A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
	A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
	Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
	Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164,06 on standard form,
√	Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Mayor Stephen A. Schafer

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 6 of 6

RESOLUTION 4.R. LO

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MAYOR OF THE VILLAGE OF BLOOMDALE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND / OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, the Village of Bloomdale is planning to make capital improvements to Storm Sewer Improvements, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Village of Bloomdale:

Section 1: The Mayor Stephen Schafer is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: The Mayor Stephen Schafer is authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: (Date): 8-11-2020

Bob Clark

Tom Miller:

Rory Fitch:

Julie Dean:

Kathy Simon:

Beth Vincent:

I, Diane Miller, Fiscal Officer, of Council for the Village of Bloomdale, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of Resolution # 4-R-20 duly passed by the Council for the Village of Bloomdale, at our Regular Council Meeting on August 11, 2020

Diane Miller, Fiscal Officer

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE - OPTION #1

BLOOMDALE - STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS - PHASE II OPWC

N. MAIN ST., SUNSET DR & GARFIELD ST./SUNSET DR. TO STEARNS RD.

Job Number: 153000-00027

Poggemeyer Design Group, Inc. 101 Clinton Street, Suite 1300

Defiance, OH 43512

Estimated

Itam	Doca	-:	:
ILCIII	Desc	HΡU	IUH

		C	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total Price
NORT	H MAIN STREET, SUNSET DRIVE & GARFIELD STREET					
1	27" Storm Sewer, Type B		728	l.f.	\$155.00	\$112,840.00
2	24" Storm Sewer, Type B		660	l.f.	\$132.00	\$87,120.00
3	15" Storm Sewer, type B		35	l.f.	\$95.00	\$3,325.00
4	12" Storm Sewer, type B		655	I.f.	\$75.00	\$49,125.00
5	Catch Basin, Type 2-2-B		13.00	each	\$1,650.00	\$21,450.00
6	Catch Basin, Type 2-3		10	each	\$2,000.00	\$20,000.00
7	Traffic Maintenance		1	lump sum	\$10,500.00	\$10,500.00
8	Mobilization		1	lump sum	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
9	Restoration		1	lump sum	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
10	Preconstruction Video		1	lump sum	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00
SUNSE	T DRIVE TO STEARNS ROAD					
11	33" Storm Sewer, Type B		100	I.f.	\$175.00	\$17,500.00
12	33" Storm Sewer, Type C		1,155	l.f.	\$120.00	\$138,600.00
13	12" Storm Sewer, Type B		10	l.f.	\$75.00	\$750.00
14	Catch Basin, Type 2-3		3	each	\$2,000.00	\$6,000.00
15	Restoration		1	lump sum	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
						\$568,710.00
		10% Contingency				\$56,871.00
						\$625,581.00
	ENGINEERING:					
	Survey					\$5,000.00
	Design					\$28,500.00
	Advertising/Printing					\$500.00
	Bidding					\$3,750.00
	Construction Administration					\$6,750.00
	Staking					\$3,750.00
	Construction Observation					\$15,750.00
	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST					\$689,581.00

I hereby state that this is an accuarte estimate of probable cost and that the useful life of the project is at least 40 years.


Prepared by: POGGEMEYER DESIGN GROUP, INC. 101 Clinton Street, Ste. 1300


Defiance, OH 43512

Kenneth A. Maag, P.E. Principal Owner

Date:







A weighted useful life statement stamped/sealed and signed by a licensed professional engineer must be included with the project application.

This spreadsheet has formulas to make a weighted useful life calculation and is populated with an example for illustrative purposes. Items can be added to column a.

Weighted Useful Life & Design Service Capacity Calculations

Major Component	Cost (\$1,000)	Portion Repair / Replacement (%)	Repair / Replace Product	Useful Life (Years)	Useful Life Product
Full-depth road construction w/ drainage				25	
Full-depth road construction w/o drainage Partial-depth road				25	
construction w/ drainage Partial-depth road		100		15	
construction w/o drainage		100		15	
Storm Sewers	6895	100	689581	40	275800
Sanitary Sewers		100		40	
Water Lines		100		40	
Water Tower		100		40	
Bridge				75	
Pumps, Lift Stations				15	
Sidewalks		100		25	
Bike Facility		100		7	

Totals	6895	689581	275800

Weighted Useful Life:

40.0 Years

Design Service Capacity (Project Application, Section 2.0):

Portion Repair / Replace 100 % Portion New / Expansion 0 %

MAAG

SSS16

WAAG

SSS16

WAAG

SSS16

WAAG

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTO

"CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL FUNDS"

August 26, 2020

I, Diane Miller, Clerk of the Village of Bloomdale, hereby certify that Village of Bloomdale will request the amount of \$284,581 in the form of a loan though WPCLF/OWDA and that this amount will be used as the local share for the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP project requested, Bloomdale Storm Sewer Project.

Signature

Diane Miller, Clerk Village of Bloomdale

	COUNT				faai		_	_							PROJECTNUV		
	PROJECT EST. CC			81	\$68	nda 9,5	81.0	lorr 0	n Sew	RF .					153000 00	027	
•	WEIGHT	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED			PR	RIOR	ITY RS	I	v, x .B.				PRIORITY	FACTORS			ľ
	FACTOR		0	2	4	6	0	0			0	2	4	- 6	4	10	1
		(REPAIR OR REPLACE) VS. (NEW OR EXPANSION)							10		Planar or Replacement	20% + Repur or Replacement	40% • Hepair or Replacement	Repair or Heptacament	Repair or Replacement	Hepatror Reptacement	
				L													
A	1	CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the floor as submit substantialing documentation (100% New or	0	2	4	0		0	8		Excellent	Good	Fast	Fading	Ponr	10 Falling	
		Expansion = 0 Points)		Ļ	- 47	3				***							1
u		AGE	0	1	2	-2			5	Type Fload Washiwater BridgelCulvert	0 0-4 Yrs 0-6 Yrs	5-8 Yrs 7-12 Yrs	9-12-Yes 13-18-Yes	13-16 hrs 19-24 hrs	17-20 Vrs 25-30 Vrs	20+ Vis. 30+ Yrs	
			П							Santary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Sold Waste	0-10 Yrs	11-20 Yes	21-30 Yrs	31-40 Yrs	41-50 Yrs	50+ Yrs	١
, ,	2	PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR	- 6	2	4	-6	8 1	٥	12		0	1		ñ	- 1	10	Ī
		Submittals without supporting ecountertation will receive dippoints for this question.									No Impact	Mermal	Mocerate	Major	Critical	Extremely Grillcal	
	2	LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS	e	2	4	6	8 1	0	20		0	- 1	74	- 6		10	İ
		Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds centred from the applicant tudget or a bear to be past tack arough the applicant tudget, assessments, rafes or ban revenues).*									0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
	1	OTHER FUNDING	0	2	4	8	8 7	5	0		8	2	4	6		10	ł
		(Grants and other revenues not come the content and the taxes by the applicant; moturing Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must submit copy of award or status									0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
		OFWC GRAT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED Please refer to Criteria #6 of the Round 35 Methodology for clarification															İ
I	2	Grant or Loan Only	.0	4	0	0	9 10		16	100	Grant or	4		. 8	9	10	Ŧ
1						_		1_			\$500,001 or more	\$400,001 to \$500,000	\$325,00† \$400,000	\$275.001	\$175,001	\$175,000	t
I	ż		П		5	П	T	Τ		1 2 N N	Grant/Loan Combination	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	of less	t
		Grant A.can Combination When scoring a project that is only then use the second chart labeled.	gram	l or	only	loan Comi	9 10 Plea	ISB U	se the c	nan labelled "Grant or Loan	5750,000 or more Only, When scor	\$600,001 to: \$750,000 ing a grani/loan	\$487.501 to \$600,000 combination, sco	\$412,501 to \$467,500 are the project for	\$262,501 to \$412,500 the grant in the	\$262,500 or less first chart,	İ
1			0	-		0		Т			- 6		-	. 6			T
	*	JOB CREATION/REFERITION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentation in the form of a commitment fetter from business or thire party entity							0		0-6 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15:24 Jobs	25+ Jobs			
1		SENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS (hauseholds or Iraffic counts) Equation of common contect	6	2	1	6	8 10		0		0 -99 Users	100 - 349 Users	350 - 499 Umrs	500 - 749 Uters	750 + 1000 Users	1000+ Users	
١		connections. Traffic Counts within two years with certified cocumentation, etc.	Ц			1							TP U		1.		ı
	1.1	ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local MHI as a percentage of the District Median MHI	0	1/	2				1		100%+	80%-100%	Less Than 80%		V.		
	1:	READINESS TO PROCEED	0	T.	2			L	0		0. Marie Not Begun Yet	Pretiminary Engineering	2 Final Design Complete				
		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS							22		Other Info Does this project I YES NOX Attach impact stati	emeni il yes	nt impact on produ				L
1		COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15)							7		YES X NO						
t		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX =1)		_					ヿ	18.	Outset Discretions or Community Imp					Area-wide, Cou	ri
ł		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (MY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX =1)				••••					Diatrict Discretions financial resources	ry Point may be	awarded to proj	ects that demons		thy has maximiz	ze
ſ		GRAND TOTAL RANKING	_	_	_		- 1		4			0					_

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS **QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 35**

Name	of Applicant:	Village of Bloomdale
Projec	et Title: Stori	m Sewer Improvements
Project responsaccura	ets. Please provide spenses to these questions	to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan cific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and nities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small
1.	What percentage of the	ne project in repair $A = 100\%$, replacement $B = 5\%$, expansion $C = 5\%$, and new
	D=%? (Use of	dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one
	hundred(100) percen	t) A+B=% C+D=% ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)
	Repair/Replacement	=Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).
	New/Expansion =	Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.
2a. E	xisting Physical Condi	tion of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2);

2a.	Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2);
	164.14(E)(8)

Points	Category	Description	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards - Complete Pavement Reconstruction -Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a significant part of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening

			graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Replacement of pumps, hydrants, valves, filters, etc in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

	20		
Life	20	30	50
Project		Wastewater and Water	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary
Type	Road	Treatment	Sewer, Water Supply,
			Storm Water, Solid
			Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
<mark>5</mark>	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal: Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact: Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)

^{*(4}R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement. etc.).

Moderate:

66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal:

81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact:

Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS</u> (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical: Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.

	Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
	Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
	Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
	Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
	No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
	<u>OTHER</u>	
	Extremely Critical:	There is a present health and/or safety threat.
	Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
	Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
	Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
	Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
	No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
	in the In ger	nined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. neral, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category which the project will be scored.
	(Submittals without	supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
	Extremely Critical _	, Critical, Major <u>X</u> , Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain
	your answer.	
	(Additional narrative	, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)
4	Identify the amount of	of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project
	cost. ORC Referenc	e164.06(B)(6); ORC164.06(B)(3)
	A.) Amount of Local	Funds = \$ <u>364,581.00</u>
	B.) Total Project Cos	$tt = $ \$\frac{689,581.00}{}
	RATIO OF LOCAL	FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A B)= 53 %
		nould be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be
		cal budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds,							
	as a percentage of the total project cost. ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(7);164.14(E)(4)							
	Grants% Gifts%, Contributions%							
	Other% (explain) , Total%							
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.							
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. ORC Reference(s):164.14(E)(10);164.06(B)(5)							
	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 X \$275,001-\$325,000 \$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less							
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When thi happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:							
	YES NO X (This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer b made in the event that there is loan money remaining.							
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time							
	equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes No _X If yes, how							
	many jobs within eighteen months? Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be							
	permanently lost? Yes No _X If yes, how many jobs will be created/retrained within 18							
	months following the completion of the improvements?							
	ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)							
	(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that							
	specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or							
	improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media							
	news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development							
	Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the							
	infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will							

8.	What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)
9.	Economic Distress Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)
	What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income?
	Data provided in Exhibit A.
10.	Readiness to Proceed Criteria ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)
	Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.
	X Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)
	Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
	Final Design Complete (2 Points)
11.	Base Score Total for Questions 1-10=
12.	County Subcommittee Priority Points=
	(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)

receive 0 points for this question.)

13. DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY) 13a. A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance) (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee) **ORC** Reference 164.14(E)(7) 13b. A District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee) ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3) 14. Grand Total of Points _____ 15. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes X No ___ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The

https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143

16. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- •Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.

- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 35 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 35 by accessing the OPWC Website at

https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 35.

Date.	August 20, 2020
Signature:	Michael Bustin
Title:	Michelle Hister, Project Administration Assistant
	Poggemeyer Design Group, Inc.
Address:	1168 North Main Street, Bowling Green, Ohio 43402
Phone:	<u>419-352-7537</u>
FAX:	<u>N/A</u>
Email:	histerm@poggemeyer.com_

August 26 2020

Data

Small Government Commission Application Checklist

This checklist will help ensure that your application is scored at its best competitive advantage. It will also assist with the timely release of the Project Agreement should your project be funded. This form is for your use only. See various templates and forms in this manual, on the Small Government webpage, and on the Application webpage.

[X] Compliant certified authorizing legislation by applicant's governing body (OPWC Application webpage) [N/A] Cooperative agreement if multi-jurisdictional (OPWC Application webpage). Road/bridge/culvert projects must include an engineer's statement certifying the percentages of each participating jurisdiction's share of the total project. [N/A] Compliant Chief Financial Officer's Certification and Loan Letter (OPWC Application webpage) [X] Funding commitment letters and or documentation for all non-OPWC matching funds [X] Signed/stamped registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate including in-kind costs (OPWC Application webpage). If project is a mix of new/expansion and repair/replacement items, engineer must include a percentage break-down by category. [X] Signed/stamped professional engineer's weighted useful life statement if not submitted with original application (cannot be modified) [X] Small Government Engineer's Plan Status Certification form (in this manual and on SG webpage) [X] Clear description of problem and scope of work with appropriate documentation [X] Source documentation for proof of age with year clearly visible or compliant letter from eligible public official {letter template in this manual} [X] Project site photos, if appropriate [X] Map showing project location/site [N/A] Farmland Preservation Review Letter if any impact to farmland (OPWC Application webpage) [X] ADT report for Road, Bridge & Culvert Projects Number of households/EDUs (with calculation) for Water, Wastewater, Storm Water Collection, Solid Waste Projects who directly benefit. If waterline or sewer project with additional benefitted users beyond scope of construction, then also Engineer's study documenting these additional users. Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects Only:

- [X] Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Resources with line item detail unless applicant in State of Fiscal Emergency; also if Storm Water or Solid Waste project, the fund(s) typically used must be identified {examples in back of this manual}.
- [N/A] Low volume road projects that include documentation using ODOT's TIMS System showing a positive Rate of Return is required to maximize points under population.

(Continued on next page)

Water and Wastewater Projects Only:

- [N/A] "Current" water <u>and</u> wastewater rate ordinances/resolutions for all entities providing services unless applicant in State of Fiscal Emergency
- [N/A] Small Government Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort Supplemental form (in this manual and on SG webpage)

Small Government Self-Score

(Input Score in box for each criterion; will total automatically)

Αŗ	oplicant:	VILLA	GE OF	BLOOM	MDALE	STOR	M SEV	VER						
1	Ability	& Effort	: (Use	A or E	accor	rding t	o proje	ect type	e)					SCORE
	Α.							, Solid \		Projec	ts ONI	v		
		0	2	4	6	8	10	, 50,74 .	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , 0,00	.5 0112	,		8
	В.	Water	& Wa.	stewai	er Pro	jects (ONLY							
		Canno	t be se	lf-scor	ed; us	es blin	d facto	or base	d on a	l proje	ct app	lications		N/A
2	Health 8	& Safety	y (Use	A or l	В ассо	rding t	to proj	ect typ	e)					
	Α.	Road,				_		•	•					
		0	2	4	6	8	10							0
	В.	Water,	. Wast	ewate	r, Stori	n Wat	er. Sol.	id Wasi	te					
		0	2	4	6	8	10							6
3	Age & C	onditio	n											
	L.	Age												
		0	1	2	3	4	5							5
	II.	Condit	ion											
		1	3	5										3
4	Leverag	ing Rati	0											
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		9
5	Populat	ion Ben	efit											
	·	0	1	2	3	4	5							1
6	District	Priority	Rankii	ng - C	omple	ted by	/ Admii	nistrato	or					N/A
7	OPWC F	unds Re	quest	ed										
		0	2	4	6	8	10							5
В	Loan Re	quest ([Default	0 poir	nts if n	o loan	requs	ted)						
		1	5	10										0
9	Useful Li	ife												
		1	2	3	4	5								5
ın	Median	Househ	old Inc	rome										
	wicaian	2	4		8	10								8
l1	Readine													
	1.	Status (
														0
	<i>II</i> .	Status o												
		0	3	5										5
													TOTAL	55



Ohio Public Works Commission

Small Government Capital Improvements Program

FY 22 / Round 35 Methodology - Rating Scales (July 1, 2021 Agreement Release)

Approved July 23, 2020

Ohio Public Works Commission 65 East State Street, Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215 http://www.pwc.ohio.gov Complete and compliant support documentation must be provided for a criterion to be awarded points. See Applicant Manual for more information.

- 1. Ability and Effort of the Applicant to Finance the Project (Maximum 10 points)
 - A. Roads, Bridges/Culverts, Storm Water, Solid Waste Projects Only "Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Resources" showing fund detail, as provided in ORC sections 5705.35 and 5705.36 is used to determine potential financial resources available for the project. Score is based on the project's total cost as a percentage of financial resources.
 - O Total project cost represents 0 to 20% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 2 Total project cost represents 21 to 40% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - 4 Total project cost represents 41 to 60% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - Total project cost represents 61 to 80% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - Total project cost represents 81 to 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
 - Total project cost exceeds 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type, or subdivision is in fiscal emergency
 - B. Water and Wastewater Projects Only Determined by SG Administrator according to the Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort calculation described in Applicants Manual. Information is obtained from both water and wastewater rate ordinances, Small Government Water & Wastewater Ability & Effort Supplemental, and data from the *U.S. Census Bureau*. Points are provided for the hours worked to pay for water and wastewater services according to the highest of two variances as a percentage above or below State Averages: weighted average of household income or percentage of households making less than \$25,000.
 - 0 More than 50% above state average
 - 2 25.1% 50% above state average
 - 4 0 25% above state average
 - 6 0.1% 25% below state average
 - 8 25.1% to 50% below state average
 - More than 50% below state average
- 2. Importance of Project to Health and Safety of Citizens Score is assigned according to the application project description and any pertinent supplemental documentation. (Maximum 10 points)
 - A. Road, Bridge, Culvert
 - New infrastructure to meet future or projected needs
 - New infrastructure to meet current needs; Roadway surface paving less than 2 inches; Bridges with General Appraisal of 6 or above or with a Sufficiency Rating of 81-100

- 4 Roadway surface paving equal to or greater than 2 inches with/without milling; Replace or install signal where warranted; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 5 or Sufficiency Rating of 66-80; Culvert replacement with no associated damage
- Road widening to add paved shoulders or for safe passage, and/or roadway paving with full-depth base repair equal to or greater than 5% of roadway surface area; Intersection improvement to add turn lanes or realignment; Bridges with a General Appraisal of 4 or Sufficiency Rating of 51-65; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity
- Complete roadway full-depth reconstruction (includes removal/replacement of base) or reclamation with/without drainage; Widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvements to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor (0.0 < CRF < 0.2); Bridges with a General Appraisal of 3 or Sufficiency Rating of 26-50; Culverts with inadequate flow capacity and property damage (i.e. flooding)
- Complete roadway reconstruction or reclamation with/without drainage with widening to add travel lanes; Intersection improvement to address excessive accident rate and/or inadequate level of service with Crash Reduction Factor (CRF >= 0.2); Bridges with General Appraisal of 2 or less, or Sufficiency Rating of less than 26; Culverts that are structurally deficient
- B. Water, Wastewater, Storm Water, Solid Waste
 - 0 Infrastructure to meet future or projected needs
 - 2 Expanded infrastructure to meet specific development proposal
 - Infrastructure to meet current needs; Update processes to improve effluent or water quality; To remain in compliance with permit due to increased standards; Increase storm sewer capacity in which there is no associated land damage; Increase sanitary sewer capacity; Replace water meters as part of an upgrade
 - OEPA recommendations; District health board recommendations; Increase storm sewer capacity that has associated land damage; Replace undersized waterlines as part of upgrade; Install new meters or replace meters that have exceeded useful life
 - 8 Replacement of storm or sanitary sewers due to chronic flooding, back-up, or property damage; Inflow and/or Infiltration; Inadequate capacity to maintain pressure required for fire flows; Replacement of waterlines or towers due to excessive corrosion
 - OEPA Findings & Orders, OEPA orders contained in permit, Consent Decree or Court Order; Structural separations (CSOs)Age and Condition of System to be repaired or replaced. This is a two-part criterion. (Maximum 10 points)

3. Age & Condition of System to be repaired or replaced

Part I – Age: This uses provided documentation for existing infrastructure. Documentation pertains to source documentation or from a compliant letter written by an eligible local official who can vouch for the time period during his/her term in office. If no documentation the default score is 1 point. (Maximum 5 points)

Life	20	30	50	
Project Type Points	Road	Wastewater	Bridge/Culvert. Sanitary Sewer, Water, Storm Water, Solid Waste	
0	New / Expansion	New / Expansion	New / Expansion	
1	2015-2020	2012-2020	2005-2020	
2	2010-2014	2005-2011	1994-2004	
3	2005-2009	1997-2004	1982-1993	
4	2000-2004	1990-1996	1970-1981	
(5)	1999 or before	1989 or before	1969 or before	

Part II – Condition (Maximum 5 points)

- New/Expansion: New or expansion project components represent at least 50% of improvements
- Expansion: New or expansion project components represent between 25% and 49% of improvements
- Poor: Infrastructure requires repair to continue functioning as originally intended and/or upgrade to meet current design standards.
- 4 Critical: Infrastructure requires replacement to continue functioning as originally intended.
- 5 Failed: Not functioning
- **Leveraging Ratio** Local and all non-OPWC funding sources as a percentage of total funding. (Maximum 10 points)

	Repair/Replacement	New/Expansion
	(Poor/Critical/Failed	(New/Expansion &/or
	in Criterion 3)	Expansion in Criterion 3)
0	10 or less	50 or less
1	11-15	51-55
2	16-20	56-60
3	21-25	61-65
4	26-30	66-70
5	31-35	71-75
6	36-40	76-80
7	41-45	81-85
8	46-50	86-90
9	51-55	91-95
10	56 or more	96 or more

5. Population Benefit – Number of those to benefit directly from the improvement as a percentage of applicant's total population. (Maximum 5 points)			
	0	10% or less	
	1	25% - 11%	
	2	35% - 26%	
	3	45% - 36%	
	4	55% - 46%	
	5	56% or more	
6.	District Prior	ity Ranking as provided by District (Maximum 10 points)	
	6	5 th ranked district project	
	7	4 th ranked district project	
	8	3 rd ranked district project	
	9	2 nd ranked district project	
	10	1 st ranked district project	
7.	Amount of O	PWC funding requested (Maximum 10 points)	
	0	\$500,000 or more	
	5	\$250,000 - \$499,999	
	10	249,999 or less	
8.	Loan Request	as a percentage of OPWC assistance (Maximum 10 points)	
	1	15 - 29% of OPWC assistance	
	5	30 - 49% of OPWC assistance	
	10	50 - 100% of OPWC assistance	
9.	Useful Life of	Project - Taken from engineer's useful life statement. (Maximum 5 points)	
	1	7 - 9 years	
	2	10 - 14 years	
	3	15 - 19 years	
	4	20 - 24 years	
	5	25 years or more	
10.	from the most	ehold Income – Applicant's MHI as a percentage of the statewide MHI. Information derived recent 5-year American Community Survey as published by the Ohio Development Services timum 10 points)	
		1100/	
	2	110% or more	
	4	100% - 109%	
	6	90% - 99% 80% - 89%	
	8 10	79% or less	
	10	7 7 /0 UL 1655	

11. Readiness to Proceed (Maximum 10 points)

Part I – Status of Plans – This uses the Small Government Commission's Engineer's Plan Status Certification. (Maximum 5 points)

- O Plans not yet begun
- 2 Surveying through Preliminary Design Completed (Items A-C)
- Surveying through final construction plans, and secured permits and right-of-way as appropriate (Items A-H)

Part II – Status of Funding Sources – This uses source documentation including CFO certifications and loan letters. (Maximum 5 points)

- 0 All funds not yet committed
- 3 Applications submitted to funding entities
- 5 All funding committed

Small Government Commission Engineer's Plan Status Certification Required for Criterion No. 11, Part I

Applicant: Village of Bloomdale							
D	District No.: 5						
P	roject Name: Storm Sewer Impr	roveme	ents				
	Item Necessary for project? Status Completion Date						
M	et Completion dates for Items A -	· C (2 p	oints)				
A	Surveying	Y X	N/A		TBD		
В	R/W Acquisition Identified	Y	N/A		?		
С	Preliminary Design	Y X	N/A		TBD		
М	et Comp <mark>letion</mark> dates for Items A -	H (5 p	oints)		*		
D	Final Construction Plans	Y X	N/A		TBD		
Е	Permit to Install Issued	Y	N/A				
F	NPDES Issued	Y	N/A				
G	Other Permits Issued	Y	N/A				
н	Executed Right of Way Option or Agreement	Y	N/A				
I hereby certify that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.							
Kenneth A. Maag, P.E.							
	Engineer's Printed Name						
Er	Engineer's Signature Engineer's Signature						
	09/01/2020 MAAG 53316 Date						
	Date ONAL ENGINEER						
	Engineer's Stamp/Seal						

SECOND

AMENDED OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ESTIMATED RESOURCES

Office of the Budget Commission, Wood County, Ohio
BOWLING GREEN, OH

TO: BLOOMDALE VILLAGE

7/13/2020

The following is the amended official certificate of estimated resources for the fiscal year beginning **January 1st, 2020**, as revised by the Budget Commission of said County, which shall govern the total of appropriations made at any time during such fiscal year:

FUND <u>NAME</u>	UNENCUM BAL JAN. 1, 2020				OTHER SOURCES		TOTAL
GENERAL	\$	105,766.77	\$ 34,199.37	\$	110,700.63	\$	250,666.77
SPECIAL REVENUE	\$	98,489.01	\$ (40)	\$	20,840.00	\$	119,329.01
DEBT SERVICE	\$	2	\$ 9	\$	<u>₩</u>	\$	
CAPITAL PROJECT	\$	66,381.48	\$ 	\$	25,000.00	\$	91,381.48
PERMANENT	\$	3=6	\$ ¥1	\$?≆:	\$	12
ENTERPRISE	\$	336,423.76	\$ · .	\$	509,100.00	\$	845,523.76
INTERNAL SERVICE	\$		\$ -	\$	0:=0	\$	
TRUST/AGENCY	\$	8,223.61	\$ _	\$		\$	8,223.61
TOTAL	\$	615,284.63	\$ 34,199.37	\$	665,640.63	\$	1,315,124.63

SIGNED:

matter Outre

BLOOMDALE 2ND 7.13.20

FUND <u>NAME</u>	UNENCUM BAL JAN. 1, 2020		TAXES		OTHER SOURCES		TOTAL	
GENERAL FUND	\$	105,766.77	\$	34,199.37	\$	110,700.63	\$	250,666.77
	,	·						
SPECIAL REVENUE								
STREET M & R	\$	40,785.31			\$	15,830.00	\$	56,615.31
STATE HWY	\$	26,774.84			\$	3,480.00	\$	30,254.84
DRUG LAW ENF	\$	1,484.51			\$	(# .6	\$	1,484.51
PERMISSIVE MVL	\$	22,305.35			\$	1,530.00	\$	23,835.35
OTHER SPECIAL REV	\$	7,139.00			\$	*	\$	7,139.00
							* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	5.00
							\$	-
							\$	•
							\$	-
							\$:=: :
							\$	
							\$	3 =
							\$	-
							\$	₹
							\$	-
							\$	
							\$	-
							\$	2
							\$	•
							\$	
							\$	14 0
							\$	5 7 0/
TOTAL	\$	98,489.01	\$	(#.	\$	20,840.00	\$	119,329.01
DEBT SERVICE			-		-			
							\$	•
							\$	3 .
							\$	8 4 1
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	\$		\$	Ť	\$	-	\$	1
CAPITAL PROJECTS			-					
CAPITAL IMP	\$	66,381.48	_		\$	25,000.00	\$	91,381.48
CAPITAL IMP	4	00,501.10			7	- F	\$	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
							\$	=
							\$ \$	2
							\$	ii.
TOTAL CAP PROJ.	\$	66,381.48	\$		\$	25,000.00		91,381.48
TOTAL CAP PROJ.	Ą	00,001.10	Ψ		7	,		

BLOOMDALE 2ND 7.13.20

FUND <u>NAME</u>	UNENCUM BAL JAN. 1,2020		OTHER TAXES SOURCES			TOTAL			
PERMANENT FUND		411. 1/2020	-	IAALO		BOOKCLS			JIAL
in person and restriction to the service							\$		
									3-3
							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		-
							\$		() (
							\$		
							\$		5
TOTAL PERMANENT	\$	×	\$	Clar	\$	-	\$		320
in the second se									
ENTERPRISE FUND									
ELECTRIC OPER	\$	145,959.40			\$	452,000.00	\$		597,959.40
STORM SEWER IMP	\$	55,501.26			\$	11,500.00	\$		67,001.26
MUNI UTILITIES DEP	\$	57,892.54			\$	100.00	\$		57,992.54
EMS	\$	77,070.56			\$	45,500.00	\$		122,570.56
							\$		3₩3
							\$		<u>(*</u>)
							\$		-
							\$		340
TOTAL ENTERPRISE	\$	336,423.76	\$	+	\$	509,100.00	\$		845,523.76
INTERNAL SER FUND									
The state of the s	_		_				\$		
							\$:
							\$		s. • 5
							\$		
							\$	1920	12
TOTAL INTER SER	\$	-	\$	100	\$	5 4 6	\$		3 -
	т		т		,		,		
FIDUC/TRUST FUNDS									
REPARATIONS ROTARY	\$	3,042.00			\$	•	\$		3,042.00
REFUSE	\$	5,181.61			\$		\$		5,181.61
	•	•					\$		0 00
							\$: E
							\$		
							\$		(#
							\$		Ĕ
TOTAL FIDUC/TRUST	\$	8,223.61	\$	-	\$		\$		8,223.61


VILLAGE OF BLOOMDALE, WOOD COUNTY

8/28/2020 10:21:24 AM UAN v2020.3


Fund Status As Of 8/31/2020


Fund Number	Fund Name	% of Total Pooled	Fund Balance	Investments (Non-Pooled)	Checking & Pooled Investments (Pooled)
5701	Storm Sewer Improvement	100.000%	\$93,597.21	\$0.00	\$93,597.21
		All Funds Total	\$93,597.21	\$0.00	\$93,597.21
		· <u>-</u>	Pool	\$0.00	
			Secondary Che	\$225,558.36	
		\$541,933.55			


Last reconciled to bank: 07/31/2020 - Total other adjusting factors: \$0.00
































SCALE: 1" = 1000'

LOCATION MAP BLOOMDALE, OHIO



POGGEMEYER DESIGN GROUP, INC.

ARCHITECTS + ENCINEERS + PLANNERS
101 CLINTON STREET, SUITE 1900 DEFIANCE. OHIO 43512

DRAWN BY: NTH DATE: 8/6/2020

CHECKED BY: KAM JOB NO. 15300-00027