

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPC	RTANT: Please consult "Instructions for F	Financial Assistance for Capital Infra	astructure Proje	ects" for guidance in	completion of this form.
	Applicant: MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP)		Subdivision Code:	173-49812
Applicant	District Number: 5 County:	WOOD		Date:	09/07/2016
Appl	Contact: FRED VETTER (The individual who will be available during to	ousiness hours and who can best answer or coor	dinate the response	Phone:	(419) 409-1327
	Email: middletonfo@frontier.com			FAX:	(419) 352-6198
	Project Name: KING ROAD			Zip Cod	e:43402
	Subdivision Type	Project Type		Funding Request	Summary
	(Select one)	(Select single largest component by \$)	(Automatically	y populates from page 2)	
ec	1. County	🔀 1. Road	Total Projec	et Cost:	561,31400
Project	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. G	rant:	280,657 .00
4	X 3. Township	3. Water Supply	2. Lo	oan:	00. 0
	4. Village	4. Wastewater	3. Lo	oan Assistance/	0.00
	5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste	С	redit Enhancement:	
	, ,	6. Stormwater	Funding Re	quested:	280,657 .00
	Funding Type Requested lect one) State Capital Improvement Program Local Transportation Improvement Program Revolving Loan Program Small Government Program District SG Priority:	(To be completed by the District C SCIP Loan - Rate:% RLP Loan - Rate:% Grant: LTIP: Loan Assistance / Credit E	6 Term: \	Yrs Amount: Amount: Amount:	.00
_		Eduit / Goldtarioo / Great E		, and and	
Fo	or OPWC Use Only				
	STATUS	Grant Amount:	.00	Loan Type:	SCIP RLP
Proje	ect Number:	Loan Amount:	.00	Date Construction	n End:
	 	Total Funding:	.00	Date Maturity:	
Rele	ase Date:	Local Participation:	%	Rate:	<u></u> %
OPW	/C Approval:	OPWC Participation:	%	Term:	Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services		
Preliminary Design:	.00	
Final Design:	.00	
Construction Administration:	.00	
Total Engineering Services:	a.)0 .00	0 %
Right of Way:	b.)00	
Construction:	c.)510,285 .00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)51,029 .001	0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)561,314 .00	
1.2 Project Financial Resources		
Local Resources		
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)00	
Local Revenues:	b.)280,657 .00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.)00	
ODOT / FHWA PID:	d.) 00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)00	
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. Department of Development	g.)00	
Other:	h.)00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.) <u>280,657</u> .00 <u>5</u>	<u>0</u> %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Ar	ount)	
Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)280,65700	
Loan:0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	00. 00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.) <u>280,657</u> .00 <u>5</u>	<u>i0</u> %
Total Financial Resources:	n.)561,314 .0010	<u>0</u> %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Rep	pair / Replacement or New / Expa	ansion							
	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replace	ement:	561	314 .00	<u>100</u> %	A Farmland Preservation letter is			
	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion	n:		0.00	0 %	required for any impact to farmland			
	2.3 Total Project:		561	314 .00	_100_%				
3.0 Pro	ject Schedule								
	3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date	: 01/02/2017	End Date	e: <u>06/30/2</u>	2017			
	3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date	:07/05/2017	End Date	e: <u>08/16/2</u>	2017			
	3.3 Construction	Begin Date	:08/17/2017	End Date	e:11/24/2	2017			
	Construction cannot begin prior to release of e	executed Proje	ect Agreement and	issuance of	f Notice to P	roceed.			
	Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.								
4.0 Pro	ject Information								
lf :	the project is multi-jurisdictional, information r	nust be cons	olidated in this se	ction.					
4.1 l	Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age	of Infrast	ructure						
Pr	roject Useful Life:21 Years Age:		(Year built or y	ear of last n	najor improv	ement)			
	Attach Registered Professional Engineer's project's useful life indicated above and det			and signati	ure confirmi	ng the			
4.2 l	User Information								
R	oad or Bridge: Current ADT 350	Year201	6 Projected	d ADT	Year				
V	/ater / Wastewater: Based on monthly usag	ge of 4,500 g	allons per househ	old; attach	current ordi	nances.			
	Residential Water Rate	Current	t \$	Proposed	\$				
	Number of households served:	-)							
	Residential Wastewater Rate	Current	t \$	Proposed	\$				
	Number of households served:	_							

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: ____

4.3 Project Description

f.J I	Toject Description
A:	SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.
	King Road between Hull Prairie Road and St. Rt. 25 widened to 20 feet
_	DDO IFOT COMPONIENTO /Describe the secrification of the language of the secretary of the se
В:	PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.
	The project on King Road between Hull Prairie Road and St. Rt. 25 would widen and resurface existing pavement to more safely accommodate vehicular traffic, specifically necessary west of St. Rt. 25.
	The first course of asphalt shall be used as a levelling course.
	The road would be widened from 16' to 20' 1.4 mile of existing pavement and resurface with a hot mix asphalt.
	Add 3 1/2" berm stone and apply edge and centerline striping over the entire distance. Any disturbed soil will be seeded and mulched.
C	PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.
	The road would be widened from 16' to 20' 1.4 mile of existing pavement and resurface with 3.5" hot mix asphalt.
	3 1/2" of berm stone and apply edge and centerline striping over the entire distance. Any disturbed soil will be seeded and mulched.

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 4 of 6

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer (F

(Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: FRED E VETTER

Title: TRUSTEE

Address: 21745 N DIXIE HWY

City: BOWLING GREEN State: OH Zip: 43402

Phone: (419) 409-1327

FAX: (419) 352-6198

E-Mail: middletonfo@frontier.com

5.2 Chief Financial Officer

(Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: LAURIE L.LIMES

Title: FISCAL OFFICER

Address: 21745 N DIXIE HWY

City: BOWLING GREEN State: OH Zip: 43402

Phone: (419) 409-1327

FAX: (419) 352-6198

E-Mail: middletonfo@frontier.com

5.3 Project Manager

Name: FRED E VETTER

Title: TRUSTEE

Address: 21745 N DIXIE HWY

City: BOWLING GREEN State: OH Zip: 43402

Phone: (419) 409-1327

FAX: (419) 352-6198

E-Mail: middletonfo@frontier.com

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 5 of 6

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each Item listed is attached (Check each box)

A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated 1 official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share 1 funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 1 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland. Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form. Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

FRED E VETTER. TRUSTEE

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Filed E. Wille 9-7-20/6

Original Signature / Date Signed

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 6 of 6

Revised: June 14, 2016

Critical:

Poor:

Good:

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of Applicant: MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP WOOD COUNTY OHO
Project Title: KING ROAD IMPROVEMENT (HOW PRAIRIE TO ST RT 25)

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses.

1	What pe	rcentag	ge of th	e project i	n repair A=	IDC	%, repl	acement B=	%	, expan	sion (C= _	_%, a	ind nev	v D=
	%?	(Use	dollar	amounts	of project	to	figure	percentages	and	make	sure	the	total	equals	one
	hundred	(100) p	ercent)) A+B=_	% C+D=	=_	%								

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating from the Capital Improvements Report (CIR) Inventory:

Closed or Not Operating: The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum

of 25% of the total Project Cost).

The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a

diminished capacity.

Fair: The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still

functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design

standards.

The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.

Excellent:

The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

The CIR must be included with the application in order to receive points along with supporting documentation (e.g. photos or a narrative) justifying the rating.

3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal:

Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact:

Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:

Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road:

Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance:

Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, microsurfacing, crack sealing, etc.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements.

^{*(4}R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING N/a

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS h

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT h

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS</u> (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS N

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS N

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS 1/a

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS N

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS V

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
WATER LINES/W	VATER TOWERS NO
Extremely Critical	Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
OTHER ()	
Extremely Critical	
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
in t In g	nbined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated he other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category ler which the project will be scored.
(Submittals witho	out supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
Extremely Critica	ıl, Critical, Major, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explair
your answer.	
(Additional	narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost. A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$\frac{180,656.75}{561,313.50}\$ B.) Total Project Cost = \$\frac{561,313.50}{313.50}\$
	RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A B) = 50 % Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP
	<u>Funds</u> , as a percentage of the total project cost.
	Grants% Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other% (explain), Total%
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant
	should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.
	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000 \$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES NO
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time
	equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes No X. If yes, how
	many jobs within eighteen months? Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be

permanently lost? Yes ___ No ___. If yes, how many jobs ____ <u>will be created/retrained</u> within 18 months <u>following the completion of the improvements</u>?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

- 8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.)
- 9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes X No _____

 If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation

 Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

 http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
 - Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more

cost-effective if regionalized.

- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 31 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 31 by accessing the OPWC Website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 31.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS:(OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage
Permissive license fee	4504.02 or 4504.06 4504.15 or 4504.17 4504.16 or 4504.171 4504.172 4504.18
Special property taxes	5555.48 5555.49

List all specific user fees: Amount or

funicipal Income Tax
County Sales Tax
others
DO NOT INCLUDE SCHOOL TAXES)
PECIFIC PROJECT AREA INFORMATION.
Median household income # 83,000
Nonthly utility rate: Water
Sewer
Other
ist any special user fees or assessment (be specific)
MA
OLITICAL SUBDIVISION= MIDDLETOC TOWNSHIP OUNTY= WOOD
SISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)=
25-20-15)
Saalantaka 2000
Date: September 1, 2016
ignature: TRUSTEE
Title: TRUSTEE Address: 21745 N DIXIE HWY BOWLING GREEN OH 43402
hone: 419 - 409 - 1327
AX: 419-352-6198
mail: middle ton fo a frontier. com

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of

Meeting

DAYTON LEGAL BLANK, INC., FORMIND, 10148

Held

20

RESOLUTION 16-0907

PREPARE AND SUBMIT APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, The State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, the Middleton Township Trustees are planning to make capital improvements to King Road between Hull Prairie Road and State Route 25; and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the Ohio Public Works Commission (CPWC) programs;

THEREFORE, Trustee Fred E. Vetter is hereby authorized on behalf of Middleton Township to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above as of September 7, 2016; and

THEREFORE, Trustee Fred E. Vetter is hereby authorized on behalf of Middleton Township to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

The motion to adopt the foregoing Resolution 16-8907 was moved by Trustee Penelope S. Getz and seconded by Trustee Fred $\mathbb E$. Vetter.

On roll call the following vote was cast:

VOTE

DATE

The E. D. and S.

YES

September 7, 2016

Comelops

YES

tilles

YES

CERTIFICATE

State of Ohio, Wood County

I, the undersigned Fiscal Officer of MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP, Wood County, Ohio, certify that the foregoing Resolution No. 16-0907 is taken from the record of proceedings of the Township, and that it has been compared by me with the Resolution on the record and is a true copy.

Laurie L. Limes, Fiscal Officer

Middleton Township, Wood County, Ohio

Middleton Township Trustees

www.woodcountytownships.com/middleton.html
21745 N. Dixie Highway, Bowling Green, OH 43402
419-352-1480

September 9, 2016

CERTIFICATION REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

I, the undersigned, Fiscal Officer of Middleton Township, Wood County, Ohio, hereby certify that Middleton Township has the amount of \$280,656.75 in the Special Road Levy fund and that this amount will be used to pay the township's local share for King Road between Hull Prairie Road and State Route 25, when it is required.

Sincerely,

aurie L. Limes

Fiscal Officer, Middleton Township

EPT. 9.14"

ROAD WIDENING AND RESURFACING ESTIMATE FOR KING ROAD MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP, WOOD COUNTY, OHIO

General Scope: Widen and resurface existing pavement to more safely accommodate vehicular traffic. The first course of Asphalt shall be used as a leveling course. Apply edge and centerline striping over the entire distance. **Activities:** Widen from 16.5' to 20' 1.4 miles of existing pavement and resurface with a 3-1/2" of Hot Mix asphalt. Add 2' berm stone and provide center and edge line striping. Replace two drive culverts. Any disturbed soil will be seeded and mulched.

Spec Item No.	Quantity	Unit	ITEM	Unit Price	Total
	T		PAVEMENT WIDENING & RESURFACING	T	Total
			(1.4 mile x 20 feet)		
103	LUMP		Contract bond	\$15,000.00	\$ 15,000.0
201	LUMP		Clearing & Grubbing	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.0
203	700	CuYd	Excavation for widening	\$ 22.00	\$ 15,400.0
203	1350	CuYd	Embankment	\$ 22.00	\$ 29,700.0
204	4200	SqYd	Subgrade Compaction	\$ 2.00	\$ 8,400.0
254	400	SqYd	Pavement Planing	\$ 7.50	\$ 3,000.0
301	1400	Ton	Asphalt Aggregate Base	\$ 80.00	\$112,000.0
407	1700	Gal	Tack Coat @ 0.1 Gal/SY	\$ 2.75	\$ 4,675.0
411	700	Ton	Berm Stone (3-1/2")	\$ 22.00	\$ 15,400.0
448	1850	Ton	Intermediate Course Type 2 Asphalt	\$ 80.00	\$148,000.0
448	1500	Ton	Surface Course Type 1 Asphalt	\$ 85.00	\$127,500.0
			SUB-TOTAL		\$ 484,075.0
	2000000 10000		DRAINAGE		
603	45	LinFt	12" Conduit, Type B	\$ 80.00	\$ 3,600.0
			SUB-TOTAL		\$ 3,600.00
			ROADWAY		
614	LUMP		Maintaining Traffic	\$10,000.00	\$ 10,000.0
642	1.4	Mile	Center Line	\$ 850.00	\$ 1,190.0
642	2.8	Mile	Edge Line	\$ 650.00	\$ 1,820.0
642	30	Lin. Ft.	Stop Line	\$ 5.00	\$ 150.0
659	4200	SqYd	Seed, Mulch, and Fertilize	\$ 2.25	\$ 9,450.0
			SUB-TOTAL		\$ 22,610.0
			SUB-TOTAL		\$ 510,285.00
		*****	10% CONTINGENCY		\$ 51,028.50

The weighted useful life of this project is 2.1 years.

GRAND TOTAL

\$ 561,313.50

Please contact this office if there are questions related to this estimate.

Prepared by:

Raymond A. Huber, P.E., P.S. Engineer, Wood County, Ohio

PATIMIROANSIROAD ESTIMATESIONARKINA DA Midanina Decurpoina, Middiolog Tum 2014 via

DESIGN USEFUL LIFE King Road

Cost per item

Pavement	5532,483.00 = 0.	532483 m
Drainage	3,960.00 = 0.	003960 m
Roadway	<u>3 24,871.00 = 0.</u>	024871 m
\$	5 561,314.00 0.	561314 m

	YEARS	COST	FACTOR
Estimated Life of Pavement	20	0.532483 m	10.64966
Estimated Life of Drainage	50	0.003960 m	0.19800
Estimated Life of Roadway	40	0.024871_ m	0.99484
	110 yrs.	0.561314 m	11.84250

The weighted useful life is:

11.84250 / 0.561314 m = 21.1 years

Prepared by:

Raymond A. Huber, P.E., P.S.

Wood County Engineer

Middleton Township Trustees

www.woodcountytownships.com/middleton.html 21745 N. Dixie Highway, Bowling Green, OH 43402 419-352-1480

September 9, 2016

SUPPORTIVE DOCUMENTATION FOR ROAD PROJECT King Road (Hull Prairie to St. Rt. 25)

Health and safety is the utmost concern of this governing body, as school buses, student drivers, senior citizens, emergency medical personnel, area farmers, county and state vehicles, and local residents travel this major access township road on a daily basis.

Regularly, township residents plead with this body to repair the roads due to the hazards it presents. The standard traffic flow on a daily basis could include, but is not limited to: school buses, farm machinery, construction equipment, concrete trucks, emergency medical equipment including our own township fire trucks and ambulance, neighboring emergency medical equipment, county sheriff vehicles, dump trucks, waste disposal units, and more.

King Road is considered a main thoroughfare in this part of the county. Just north of the proposed road project are two local subdivisions in our township. Traffic flows between the communities of Bowling Green and Perrysburg and provides access for Otsego, Eastwood, Perrysburg, and Anthony Wayne school districts.






















Laurie Limes

From: Marc VonDeylen <vondeylen@tmacog.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 3:17 PM
To: 'middletonfo@frontier.com'

Subject: Traffic counts for King and Ovitt Road's

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Hello,

This information is for Penny Getz. She requested we do some traffic counts on King Rd and Ovitt Rd. The count for King Road is 350 and the count for Ovitt Road is 250. If she would like some more in depth information about these counts she can go to the following websites. For Ovitt go here: http://www.ms2soft.com/tcds/?loc=Tmacog-all&mod=tcds&local_id=EW-0817. And for King Go here: http://www.ms2soft.com/tcds/?loc=Tmacog-all&mod=tcds&local_id=EW-0817.

If she has any further questions please feel free to have her call or email me. T

Thank you,

Marc VonDeylen Transportation Technician III Toledo Metropolitan Area Council of Governments 300 Martin Luther King Drive, Suite 300 Toledo, Ohio 43604

Phone: (419) 241-9155 ext. 136

Fax: (419) 241-9116 www.tmacog.org

			Ohio Public Works Commission Five Year Capital Improvement Plan / Maintenance of Effort	Ohio Public Works Commission ital Improvement Plan / Mainter	ກmission າ / Maintenance	of Effort					
				REQUIRED							
			Submit to	Submit to Commission / Update Annually	pdate Annually						
MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP, WOOD COUNTY	OD COUNTY		173-49812						7-Sep-16		
Subdivision			Code						Date		
				Two-Ye	Two-Year Effort			Six Year Plan	- Plan		
Project Name/Description	Funding	Status (A) Active (P) Pending (C) Complete	Total Cost	2015	2016 2017	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
APPALOOSA	Local									€	10,000.00
ASMUS	Local										
BELMONT CT	Local										
CHESTNUT (Dunbridge)	Local										
CORNER BROOK CT (RB)	Local										
CROSS CREEK	Local	(C)			\$ 20,000.00						
DEVILS HOLE	Local										
DEXTER FALLS	Local									- 1	
DOWLING	Local	(P)							↔	140,000.00	
DUNBRIDGE	Local										
ELM (Dunbridge)	Local	(c)		\$ 7,000.00							
FAREWELL	Local										
FIVE POINT	Local	(C), (P)		\$ 175,000.00	\$ 92,000.00						
FORST	Local										
FORT MEIGS	Local										
FOX HUNT	Local										
GETZ	Local										
GRAND BANK	Local										
GREGORY	Local										
HULL PRAIRIE (vig)	Local										
HULL PRAIRIE	Local	(c)			\$ 40,000.00						
JOHN F MCCARTHY	Local		10								
KING	May + leve I	(0)				\$ 561 000 00					

			Ohio Five Year Capital In	Ohio Public Works Commission ital Improvement Plan / Mainter	Ohio Public Works Commission Five Year Capital Improvement Plan / Maintenance of Effort	זינ				
				REQUIRED						
			Submit to	Submit to Commission / Update Annually	ate Annually					
MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP, WOOD COUNTY	OOD COUNTY		173-49812					7-Sep-16		
Subdivision			Code					Date		
				Two-Year Effort	Effort		Six Year Plan	ar Plan		
Project Name/Description	Funding Codes	Status (A) Active (P) Pending (C) Complete	Total Cost	2015	2016 2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
LAKE MEADOWS	Local	(b)					\$ 25,000.00			
LAKE WINDS	Local	(P)					\$ 25,000.00			
LIBERTY HI	Local	(P)						\$ 100,000.00		
LONG	Local									
MAIN ST	Local									
MAPLE	Local									
MERCER	Local	(C)		\$ 130,000.00						- 1
MONARCH CT	Local	(P)								\$ 25,000.00
OAK (Dowling)	Local									
OAK (Dunbridge)	Local									
OLDE TRAIL	Local					- 1				
OVITT	Local	(P)				\$ 200,000.00				
PARGILLIS	Local						- 1			
PRAIRIE CROSSING	Local	(P)								
PRAIRIE LAKE	Local	(P)					\$ 25,000.00			\$ 25,000.00
RAMBLEHURST	Local									
REITZ	Local									
RIVA RIDGE	Local									
RIVERVIEW	Local									
ROCKY HARBOUR	Local									
SADDLEBROOK BLVD	Local	(C), (P)		\$ 20,000.00			\$ 20,000.00			
SADDLEBROOK CT	Local									
NOONE THOUSE	lego	(d)						↔	50,000.00	

			Ohio Public Works Commission Eive Year Canital Improvement Plan / Maintenance of Effort	Ohio Public Works Commission nital Improvement Plan / Mainten	nmission / Maintenance of E	ffort					
				REQUIRED							
			Submit to	Submit to Commission / Update Annually	date Annually						
MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP, WOOD COUNTY	OD COUNTY		173-49812						7-Sep-16		
Subdivision			Code						Date		
				Two-Year Effort	r Effort			Six Year Plan	Plan		
Project Name/Description	Funding Codes	Status (A) Active (P) Pending (C) Complete	Total Cost	2015	2016 2017		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
SELF	Local										
SWIFT CURRENT	Local	(P)							\$ 30,000.00		
THISTLEDOWN	Local	(P)					€9	15,000.00			
TONTOGANY	Local										
TRAILS END	Local	(P)					€>	\$ 15,000.00			
WALNUT (Dowling)	Local	(P)							\$ 15,000.00		