Ohio Public Works Application - Round 33 - 2018

Applicant: Sandusky County - Engineer's Office

Subdivision Code: 143-00143

Project Name: Various County Road Improvements

Total Cost: <u>\$750,000.00</u>

Grant Amount: <u>\$325,000.00</u>



State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPC	RTANT: Please consult "Instructions for	Financial Assistance for Capital Infra	structure Proj	ects" for guidance in	completion of this form.
	Applicant: Sandusky County			Subdivision Code:	143-00143
Applicant	District Number: 5 County:	Sandusky		Date:	08/21/2018
Appl	Contact: James R. Moyer, Sandusk (The individual who will be available during	y County Engineer business hours and who can best answer or coord	linate the response	Phone:	(419) 334-9731
	Email: jmoyer@co.sandusky.oh.us		11.001.001.101.101.000.00	FAX:	(419) 334-9733
	Project Name: Various County Road	d Improvements		Zip Cod	e: 43420
	Subdivision Type	Project Type		Funding Request	Summary
ಕ	(Select one) 1. County	(Select single largest component by \$) 1. Road	(Automaticali Total Projec	y populates from page 2) ct Cost:	750,000 .00
Project	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. G		325,000 .00
Δ	3. Township	3. Water Supply	2. Lo	oan:	0.00
	4. Village	4. Wastewater		oan Assistance/	0.00
	5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste	С	redit Enhancement:	
		6. Stormwater	Funding Re	quested:	325,000 .00
D	strict Recommendation	(To be completed by the District Co	ommittee)		
(Se	Funding Type Requested	SCIP Loan - Rate:%	Term: `	Yrs Amount:	.00
	State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate: %	Term: `	Yrs Amount:	.00
	Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:		Amount:	.00.
	Revolving Loan Program	Grant.			
	Small Government Program	LTIP:		Amount:	.00.
	District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Credit E	nhancement	Amount:	.00.
Fc	r OPWC Use Only				
	STATUS	Grant Amount:	.00	Loan Type:	SCIP RLP
Proje	ct Number:	Loan Amount:	.00	Date Construction	End:
		Total Funding:	.00	Date Maturity:	
Rele	ase Date:	Local Participation:	%	Rate:	%
	'C Approval:	OPWC Participation:	%	Term:	Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services			
Preliminary Design:	.00		
Final Design:	.00		
Construction Administration:	.00		
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	00. 0	0 %
Right of Way:	b.)	.00.	
Construction:	c.)	750,000 .00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)	.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	.00.	0 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	750,000 .00	
1.2 Project Financial Resources			
Local Resources			
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)	.00	
Local Revenues:	b.)	425,000 .00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.)	.00.	
ODOT / FHWA PID:	d.)	.00.	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)	.00.	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)	.00.	
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development		.00	
Other:	h.)	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	425,000 .00	57 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)			
Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	325,000 .00	
Loan: 0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	00. 0	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	325,000 .00	<u>43</u> %
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	750,000 .00	<u>100</u> %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repa	air / Replacement or New / Expa	ansion				
	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replace	ement:	750,	000	<u>100</u> %	A Farmland Preservation let
	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion	n:	MANAGEMENT	0.00	0 %	required for a impact to farm
	2.3 Total Project:		750,	00. <u>000</u>	100 %	
3.0 Proje	ect Schedule					
	3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:	07/01/2019	End Date:	12/31/2	<u>2019 </u>
	3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:	01/01/2020	End Date:	02/28/2	2020
	3.3 Construction	Begin Date:	04/01/2020	End Date:	10/01/2	2020
	Construction cannot begin prior to release of ea	xecuted Projec	ct Agreement and i	ssuance of N	otice to P	roceed.
	Failure to meet project schedule may result Modification of dates must be requested in Commission once the Project Agreement had a lafermation.	writing by pro	oject official of re			
-	ect Information					
	e project is multi-jurisdictional, information m			ction.		
4.1 Us	seful Life / Cost Estimate / Age					
_	ect Useful Life: <u>18</u> Years Age: _ Attach Registered Professional Engineer's s project's useful life indicated above and deta	statement, wit				
4.2 Us	ser Information					
Roa	ad or Bridge: Current ADT 15,085	Year2018	Projected	ADT <u>15,50</u>	<u>)0</u> Year -	2028
Wat	ter / Wastewater: Based on monthly usag	e of 4,500 gal	llons per househo	ld; attach cu	rrent ordi	nances.
	Residential Water Rate	Current	\$	Proposed \$	` 	
	Number of households served:					
	Residential Wastewater Rate	Current	\$	Proposed \$		
	Number of households served:					

Stormwater: Number of households served: ___

4.3 Project Description

Typical Thickness: 2"

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit. Buckland Avenue - from South River Road to Cleveland Avenue. Cleveland Avenue, Hayes Avenue, Siler Street, and Third Avenue - full length under the Sandusky County jurisdiction. B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit. The existing roads will be planed in select areas, intermediate and surface courses of asphalt concrete will be placed; raised pavement markers will be installed; edge lines, center line, railroad symbols, and school symbols will be applied. C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc. in detail.) 500 character limit. Length: 4.72 Miles Typical Width: 20' - 30' Wide

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 4 of 6

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer	(Person a	uthorized in legislation to sign project agreements)
	Name:	Kay E. Reiter
	Title:	Sandusky County Commissioner
	Address:	622 Croghan Street
	City:	Fremont State: OH Zip: 43420
	Phone:	(419) 334-6100
	FAX:	(419) 334-6104
	E-Mail:	reiter_kay@co.sandusky.oh.us
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	also serve as CEO)
	Name:	Jerri A. Miller
	Title:	Sandusky County Auditor
	Address:	100 North Park Avenue
	City:	Fremont State: OH Zip: 43420
	Phone:	(419) 334-6123
	FAX:	(419) 334-6139
	E-Mail:	miller_jerri@co.sandusky.oh.us
5.3 Project Manager		
	Name:	James R. Moyer
	Title:	Sandusky County Engineer
	Address:	2500 West State Street
	City:	Fremont State: OH Zip: 43420
	Phone:	(419) 334-9731
	FAX:	(419) 334-9733
	E-Mail:	jmoyer@co.sandusky.oh.us

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 5 of 6

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each Item listed is attached (Check each box)

A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in $\overline{}$ 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code, Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative gareement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland. Capital Improvements Report, CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164,06 on standard form. Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project, Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifles: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Kay E. Reiter, Sand. Co. Commissioner

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed 0 4- 7 319

RESOLUTION 2018 - 12

RESOLUTION ---- AUTHORIZING THE SANDUSKY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (ANY ONE OF THE THREE) AND/OR THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR, TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE CONTRACTS WITH THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION (OPWC) ON BEHALF OF THE SANDUSKY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE SANDUSKY COUNTY ENGINEER

The Board of County Commissioners, Sandusky County, Ohio met in special session on the 9th day of January, 2018, at the offices of the Board with the following members present:

Charles Schwochow

Kay E. Reiter

Scott Miller

Commissioner Schwochow moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas, the Board met this day to reorganize for the year 2018, and,

Whereas, State of Ohio has made funds available through the Ohio Public Works Commission for the repair or replacement of existing infrastructure known as Issue 2, and Local Transportation Improvement Fund (LTIP), and,

Whereas, James Moyer, Sandusky County Engineer, is requesting authorization to submit Ohio Public Works Commission applications, and,

Whereas, the OPWC requires appropriate signatures on documents, and,

Whereas, the Sandusky County Commissioners (any one of the three), and/or the County Administrator (pursuant to Resolution 2018-08), may act on behalf of the Board singly and collectively,

THEREFORE: Be It Resolved.

Section 1. The Sandusky County Commissioners (any one of the three), and/or the County Administrator (pursuant to Resolution 2018-08), are authorized to apply for and execute contracts with the ÖPWC on behalf of the Sandusky County Commissioners and the Sandusky County Engineer.

Section 2. Copies of this resolution shall be copied to office of the County Engineer for distribution to appropriate parties as needed.

Section 3. It is found and determined that all formal actions of this Board concerning and relating to the adoption of this resolution were so adopted in an open meeting of this Board, and that all formal actions, were in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all legal requirements.

Commissioner Miller seconded the above resolution and the vote upon its adoption resulted as follows:

Kayl. ROD	480
	- U
52/21/1	
Charles Schworker	

Considered and adopted by unanimous vote.

Attest:

Clerk to the Board

file: commissioners

copies:

I, the undersigned, Clerk of the Board of Commissioners, Sandusky County, Ohio, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the official record of the Board of County Commissioners as recorded in the official Journal.

Clerk, Board of County Commissioners Sandusky County, Ohio

CERTIFICATION

\mathbf{OF}

LOCAL FUNDS

PROJECT NAME:

Various County Road Improvements

PROJECT TYPE:

Roads

APPLICANTS NAME:

Sandusky County Engineer

STREET ADDRESS:

2500 W. State Street

CITY/STATE:

Fremont, Ohio 43420

DISTRICT NUMBER:

<u>5</u>

COUNTY:

Sandusky

LOCAL PUBLIC REVENUE: \$ 425,000.00

I hereby certify that the necessary local revenue is available in the Motor Vehicle and Gasoline Tax (0602.540.1300) of Sandusky County not otherwise appropriated to satisfy payment of the proposed project.

Jerri A. Miller

Sandusky County Auditor

ENGINEER'S CERTIFIED

COST ESTIMATE

APPL	ICANT N	AME	Sandusky Cou	anty Engineer's Office	August 29	, 2018
	ECT NAM ******		ounty Road Imp	orovements_ *****************	******	*****
REF.	ITEM	QUANTITY	UNIT	ITEM	UNIT	TOTAL
NO. ****	NO. *****	*****	******	***********	PRICE *******	*****
1	103.05	Lump	Sum	Premium for Contract Performance Bond	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
2	254	70,000	S. Y.	Pavement Planing, Asphalt Concrete	\$1.00	\$70,000.00
3	407	9,000	Gal.	Tack Coat	\$2.00	\$18,000.00
4	441	3,500	Ton	Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 1 (448)	\$68.00	\$238,000.00
5	441	5,000	Ton	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1 (448)	\$68.00	\$340,000.00
6	614	Lump	Sum	Maintaining Traffic	\$24,000.00	\$24,000.00
7	614	5	Mile	Work Zone Center Line, Class II	\$200.00	\$1,000.00
8	617	1,000	Ton	Compacted Aggregate	\$21.00	\$21,000.00
9	621	600	Each	Raised Pavement Marker	\$25.00	\$15,000.00
10	643	10	Mile	Edge Line	\$500.00	\$5,000.00
11	643	5	Mile	Center Line	\$500.00	\$2,500.00
12	644	500	L.F.	Stop Line	\$15.00	\$7,500.00
13	644	3	Each	Railroad Symbol Marking	\$800.00	\$2,400.00
14	644	2	Each	School Symbol Marking, 96 inch	\$800.00	\$1,600.00

James R. Moyer

Registered Professional Engineer No. 43774

\$750,000.00

State of Ohio

TOTAL AMOUNT

ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION

<u>OF</u>

USEFUL LIFE

PROJECT NAME:

Various County Road Improvements

PROJECT TYPE:

Roads

APPLICANTS NAME:

Sandusky County Engineer

STREET ADDRESS:

2500 W. State Street

CITY/STATE:

Fremont, Ohio 43420

DISTRICT NUMBER:

<u>5</u>

COUNTY:

Sandusky

I hereby certify that I have examined the project application and supporting documentation and have determined that the proposed project will have a minimum useful life of 18 years.

James R. Woyn 9.7-18
James R. Moyer


Registered Professional Engineer No. 43774

State of Ohio

Various County Road Improvements - Round 33

Project Description


The roads are currently in poor condition, and need to be resurfaced. The proposed work will include milling in select areas, an intermediate and surface course of asphalt cement, installation of paint markings and raised pavement markers.




BUCKLAND AVE CR 132 IMPROVEMENTS

COUNTY ROAD 41 TO FREMONT CITY LIMITS PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN ON AUGUST 20, 2018

GREENSBURG PK




0.03 MILES NORTHEAST OF PINEWOOD DR






INTERSECTION WITH DAKWOOD



INTERSECTION WITH UPTON

CLEVELAND AVE CR 530 IMPROVEMENTS


SILER ST TO BUCKLAND AVE PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN ON AUGUST 20, 2018



0.15 MILES EAST OF SILER ST



INTERSECTION WITH AUTUMN WOODS




INTERSECTION WITH AUTUMN WOODS



0.10 MILES EAST OF SILER ST


HAYES AVE CR 6W IMPROVEMENTS

PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN ON AUGUST 17, 2018 SA 53 TO STONE ST











0.05 MILES WEST OF BRUSH ST




INTERSECTION WITH SR 53

0.26 MILES EAST OF SR 53



THIRD AVE CR 526 IMPROVEMENTS


HAYES AVE TO BUCKLAND AVE
PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN
ON AUGUST 17, 2018



0.05 MILES NORTH OF RIDGE DR



INTERSECTION WITH HAYES, LOOKING NB






INTERSECTION WITH HAYES, LOOKING SB



INTERSECTION WITH HAYES, LOOKING SW

SILER ST CR 536 IMPROVEMENTS


CLEVELAND AVE TO CR 501
PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN
ON AUGUST 20, 2018



0.09 MILES SOUTH OF BUCKLAND AVE



0.07 MILES NORTH OF CEMETERY ST



0.10 MILES SOUTH OF CLEVELAND AVE



INTERSECTION WITH BUCKLAND AVE

VehicleCount-22 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site: [CR 132(BUCKLAND)] CR 132 FROM CR 41 TO CITY LIMITS

Attribute: BALLVILLE TWP.

Direction: 8 - East bound A>B, West bound B>A. Lane: 0

Survey Duration: 8:06 Monday, August 20, 2018 => 8:48 Tuesday, August 21, 2018,

Zone:

File: CR 132(BUCKLAND) 0 2018-08-21 0849.EC0 (Plus) Identifier: Q263AQ7M MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03

Algorithm: Factory default axle (v4.06)

Data type: Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

Profile:

Filter time: 8:07 Monday, August 20, 2018 => 8:48 Tuesday, August 21, 2018 (1.02896)

Included classes: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13

Speed range: 6 - 99 mph.

Direction: North, East, South, West (bound), P = <u>East</u>

Separation: Headway > 0 sec, Span 0 - 328.084 ft

Name: Default Profile

Scheme: Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Units: Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
In profile: Vehicles = 2942 / 2944 (99.93%)

* Monday, August 20, 2018 - Total=2456 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

	Jiiway																							
000	0 0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300	
	000 0100 0200 0300 0400 0500 0600 0700 0800 0900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500 1600 1700 1800 1900 2000 2100 2200 2300 0 144 169 175 173 181 229 237 278 252 197 154 122 91 36 18 0 30 42 37 44 39 63 58 77 62 45 43 42 27 12 7 3																							
		-	-	-	_	-	_	0	30	4.2	37	44	39	63	58	77	62	4.5	43	42	27	12	7	3
		-		-	-	-	-	0	36	42	50	43	47	53	55	55	83	46	33	34	25	11	0	2
	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	0	33	46	53	45	42	60	52	67	59	52	45	25	21	8	5	1
		_	_	_	-	_	_	0	45	39	35	41	53	53	72	79	48	54	33	21	18	5	6	1

PM Peak 1630 - 1730 (291), PM PHF=0.88

* Tuesday, August 21, 2018 - Total=486 (Incomplete) , 15 minute drops

0000	0100	0200	0300	0400		0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200			1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
7	9	4	4	18	49	110	190		-		-	-	-	-	_		-	*		-	-	-	<u> </u>
3	3	2	1	5	9	24	31	39			-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
2	3	0	0	2	9	25	48	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	2	1	2	8	18	35	50	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	7	1	- 1	3	73	26	61	_	_	-	~	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_		~

VehicleCount-811

ATASETS:

[CR 530(CLEVELAND)] CR 530 FROM CR 536 TO CR 132 Site:

Direction: Survey Duration: 7 - North bound A>B, South bound B>A., Lane: 0 10:33 Tue 12 Jul 2016 to 10:42 Wed 13 Jul 2016

File:

C:\Program Files\MetroCount v225\User\Data\CR 530(CLEVELAND)13JUL2016.EC0 (Plus)

Identifier:

Q263AQ7M MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03

Algorithm:

Factory default

PROFILE:

10:33 Tue 12 Jul 2016 to 10:42 Wed 13 Jul 2016 Filter time:

Included classes:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12

Speed range:

10 - 160 km/hr.

Direction:

North, East, South, West (bound)

Headway:

Al)

Scheme:

ARX

Name:

Factory default profile

Method:

Vehicle classification

Units:

Metric (m, km, m/s, km/hr, kg, tonne)

In profile:

2534 Vehicles

* Tue 12 Jul 2016 - Total=1947(incomplete), 15 minute drops,

0000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300	
-		-			-		_	-	-		145	178	1.55	162	215	220	178	188	1.50	1.12	122	74	48	
				-		-	_	-	_	-	24	40	31	45	49	43	48	41	42	26	39	20	16	ġ.
_	_	-	_	-		-	-	-	-		34	44	40	42	53	53	3€	45	45	23	28	19	16	19
		_		_	_			_	-	0	41	46	41	32	63	6B	48	58	38	20	25	20	8	6
-	_	-	-		-	-	_			0	46	48	43	43	50	56	46	44	25	43	30	15	8	4

PM PkHr 16:15 to 17:15 (n=225), PM PHF=0.83

Wed 13 Jul 2016 - Total=587(incomplete), 15 minute drops,

ύ000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
3B	13	1.4	В	9	23	75	129	109	1.22	_		_	***	_	-		-	-	•	-	-	_	
9	3	2	2	0	4	16	17	29	28	27	_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
19	3	9	1	5	3	10	37	25	29	20	-	-	•••	-	-		-	-	**	-	-	-	-

VehicleCount-808

DATASETS:

Site: [CR 6-1(HAYES)] CR 6-1 FROM SR 53 TO CR 501(BRUSH)

Direction: 8 - East bound A>B, West bound B>A., Lane: 0
Survey Duration: 10:28 Tue 12 Jul 2016 to 10:36 Wed 13 Jul 2016

Survey Duration: 10:28 Tue 12 Jul 2016 to 10:36 Wed 13 Jul 2016

File: C:\Program Files\MetroCount v225\User\Data\CR 6-1(HAYES)13JUL2016.EC0 (Plus)

File: C:\Program Files\MetroCount v225\User\Data\CR 6identifier: Q225P7D0 MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03

Algorithm: Factory default

PROFILE:

Filter time: 10:28 Tue 12 Jul 2016 to 10:36 Wed 13 Jul 2016

Included classes: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12

Speed range: 10 - 160 km/hr.

Direction: North, East, South, West (bound)

Headway: All Scheme: ARX

Name: Factory default profile Method: Vehicle classification

Units: Metric (m, km, m/s, km/hr, kg, tonne)

In profile: 3775 Vehicles

* Tue 12 Jul 2016 - Total=2712(incomplete), 15 minute drops,

000	n n	3.00	0200	りつりり	0.00	0500	nann	מחלם	-0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	TOUC	1400	TOOU	1000	1100	TOOD	1200	2000	2100	200		
000	v v.	100	0200	0300	0400	0200	0000	0100	0000									~~~	300	1.54	93	90	30	40	
							_	_	-	_	_	278	266	296	275	343	335	307	188	,L.34	23	90	ود	40	
	-		-	-	_	_	-												F 13		^ 4		3.6	7.4	
											-	64	64	77	68	76	78	96	57	47	∠4	23	± 0	7.4	D)
	_		-	_	_	-	_	_	-													0.5			
							_				0	84	56	75	63	76	R1	81	43	37	21	21	- /	8	1
	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_		U	0.4	50	, ,	0.5	, ,							_		_
												76	72	75	57	104	91	72	30	33	24	24	- 8	11	- /
		***		-	_			_			U	70	12	, ,	٠,	104									_
													~ .	£ 0	87	0.7	0 =	6.0	ΛO	37	24	22	8	15	- 2
						_				***	49	54	74	63	0.7	0/	G O	JU	7.2	J,	4.7	4-6-	-		

PM PkHr 16:15 to 17:15 (n=353), PM PHF=0.92

Wed 13 Jul 2016 - Total=1014(incomplete), 15 minute drops,

0000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	TIOO	1200	1200	1400	1000	1000			1,500					_
21	17	7	11	20	80	172	247	200	1.97	-	-	u -	_	-	-									
	— <u> </u>		Λ	5	11	28	54	55	54	42			-			_		-			_			
7	~	ำ	6	5	21	35	60	55	46	0	-	_	-	-	_		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
· -	5	-	1	6				50	39		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
,	~	2		-	20			40	5.8	_		-	_		_	-	-	-		-		-	-	

VehicleCount-23 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site:

[CR 536(SILER ST.)] CR 536 FROM OAKWOOD TO CR 132(BUCKLAND)

Attribute:

BALLVILLE TWP.

Direction:

7 - North bound A>B, South bound B>A. Lane: 0

Survey Duration:

8:08 Monday, August 20, 2018 => 8:50 Tuesday, August 21, 2018,

Zone:

File:

CR 536(SILER ST.) 0 2018-08-21 0851.EC0 (Plus) Q225P7D0 MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03

Identifier: Algorithm:

Factory default axle (v4.06)

Data type:

Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

Profile:

Filter time:

8:09 Monday, August 20, 2018 => 8:50 Tuesday, August 21, 2018 (1.02902)

Included classes:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13

Speed range:

6 - 99 mph.

Direction:

North, East, South, West (bound), P = North

Separation:

Headway > 0 sec, Span 0 - 328.084 ft

Name:

Default Profile

Scheme:

Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)

Units: In profile: Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton) Vehicles = 2264 / 2265 (99.96%)

* Monday, August 20, 2018 - Total=1944 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

	11101	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,	~~~	~, ~~		o.u.		1	٠٣.	,,														
Ó	000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300	
		-	-	++	-	-	-	-	33	130	144	183	172	144	160	183	184	193	131	115	87	44	25	16	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	21	30	41	31	36	42	53	46	55	34	43	19	9	12	6	1
	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	0	34	43	49	42	42	40	38	44	49	32	21	24	18	5	4	1
		-	_	-	_	-	-	_	9	38	33	46	54	36	35	43	44	52	34	27	26	10	3	3	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	37	38	47	45	30	43	49	50	37	31	24	18	7	5	3	2

PM Peak 1645 - 1745 (206), PM PHF=0.94

* Tuesday, August 21, 2018 - Total=320 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000	0 0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
	5 7	3	7	14	40	110	72	-	-	-	-	+ .	-	-	-		-	-		_	-		
	1 1	3.	3	1	7	26	26	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
	1 1	1	2	2	6	19	25	30	**	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1 3	1	1	6	8	35	19	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2 2	0	1	5	19	30	2	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-

VehicleCount-21 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site:

[CR 526(THIRD ST.)] CR 526 FROM CR 132 TO CR 6

Attribute:

BALLVILLE TWP

Direction:

7 - North bound A>B, South bound B>A. Lane: 0

Survey Duration:

8:07 Monday, August 20, 2018 => 8:46 Tuesday, August 21, 2018,

Zone:

File:

CR 526(THIRD ST.) 0 2018-08-21 0846.EC0 (Plus) N521HY2T MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03

Identifier: Algorithm:

Factory default axle (v4.06)

Data type:

Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

Profile:

Filter time:

8:08 Monday, August 20, 2018 => 8:46 Tuesday, August 21, 2018 (1.02653)

Included classes:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13

Speed range:

6 - 99 mph.

Direction:

North, East, South, West (bound), P = North

Separation:

Headway > 0 sec, Span 0 - 328.084 ft

Name:

Default Profile

Scheme: Units: Vehicle classification (Scheme F3) Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)

In profile:

Vehicles = 3570 / 3573 (99.92%)

* Monday, August 20, 2018 - Total=3005 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

0000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300	
**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	87	196	204	255	268	233	283	283	268	290	203	155	127	77	53	23	
-	-	-	-	-	-		-	0	35	53	57	57	52	65	73	61	83	45	43	27	30	21	8	1
_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	0	40	48	63	62	60	68	55	62	79	48	43	38	19	12	7	1
_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	36	56	46	57	68	63	68	75	64	74	57	31	38	13	1.0	4	2
_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	51	. 65	57	78	81	58	82	80	81	54	53	38	24	15	10	4	1

PM Peak 1645 - 1745 (317), PM PHF=0.95

* Tuesday, August 21, 2018 - Total=565 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

	0000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300
-	5	14	8	9	25	72	180						**				-			~	-	_		
_	1	2	3	0	6	11	41	40	40	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	1	6	3	3	4	8	37	43	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2	3	2	1	9	17	54	46	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1	3	0	5	6	36	48	51	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

Revised: April 17, 2018

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 33

	1.001.20
Name of Applicant: Sandusky County	
Project Title: Various County Road Improvements	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	The Control of the Co

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1.	What pe	rcenta	ge of th	e project	in re	pair A=	100	%, repl	acement B=	%	, expan	sion (C=_	_%, a	ind new	۷ D=
	%?	(Use	dollar	amounts	of	project	to	figure	percentages	and	make	sure	the	total	equals	one
	hundred	(100) <u>r</u>	percent) A+B= <u>1</u>	<u>00</u> %	C+D=	=	_%								

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating:

Closed or Not Operating:

The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components

have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

Critical:

The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum

of 25% of the total Project Cost).

xx Poor:

The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a

diminished capacity.

Fair:

The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design

standards.

Good: The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet

current design standards.

Excellent: The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation

has been submitted.

* In order to receive points provide supporting documentation (e.g. photos, a narrative, maintenance history, or third party findings) to justifying the rating.

3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

XX Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal: Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact: Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, microsurfacing, crack sealing, etc.

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements.

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder

width, SSD, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

<u>COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS</u> (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
WATER LIN	ES/WATER TOWERS
Extremely Cri	itical: Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
<u>OTHER</u>	
Extremely Cri	itical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
NOTE:	Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.
(Submittals v	vithout supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
Extremely Co	ritical, Critical <u>xx</u> , Major, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain
your answer.	
3R Improvemen	ts to a Major Access Road
(Addit	ional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.
	A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	B.) Total Project Cost = \$\frac{750,000.00}{}
	RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A□B)=_57%
	Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be
	paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP
	<u>Funds</u> , as a percentage of the total project cost.
	Grants% Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other% (explain), Total%
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant
	should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.
	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 xx \$275,001-\$325,000 \$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES NO xx (This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) Please note: if you answer "no" you will not be contacted, only if you answer "yes" will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time
	equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes No _xx . If yes, how
	many jobs within eighteen months? Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be

permanently lost? Yes ____ No <u>xx</u>. If yes, how many jobs ____ <u>will be created/retrained</u> within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

- 8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 15,085 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) Traffic Counts: Buckland Avenue: 2,942 Cleveland Avenue: 2,534 Hayes Avenue: 3,775 Siler Street: 2,264 Third Avenue: 3,570
- 9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes _____ No _xx ____ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
 - Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more

cost-effective if regionalized.

- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 33 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 33 by accessing the OPWC Website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 32.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS:	(OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage
Permissive license fee	4504.02 or 4504.06 \$5.00 4504.15 or 4504.17
Special property taxes	5555.48 5555.49

List all specific user fees: Amount or

Municipal In	Income Tax	
County Sales	es Tax	
Others		
W		
(DO NOT IN	INCLUDE SCHOOL TAXES)	
SPECIFIC P	PROJECT AREA INFORMATION.	
Median hous	usehold income\$49,032.00	
Monthly util	ility rate: Water	
	Sewer	
	Other	
List any spec	ecial user fees or assessment (be specific)	
POLITICAL SI	SUBDIVISION= County	
COUNTY= Sa		
DISCRETIONA	NARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)=	
(25-20-15)		
Date:	9/7/2018	
Signature:	Culor &	
Title:	Sandusky County Deputy Engineer	
Address:	2500 W. State Street, Fremont, Ohio 43420	
Phone:	(419) 334-9731	
FAX:	(419) 334-9733	
Email:	cbaez@co.sandusky.oh.us	

Capital Improvement Project

Priority Rating Sheet, Round 33

Prio	ority Rati	ng Sheet, Round 33												Revised 04	/17/18	
		Sandusky												PROJECT NUM		-
		Various County Road Impre \$750,000.00	ove	me	m	5										
2	*A*	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED				•			'A' x'B			Priority	Factors			No
	WEIGHT					ŖΠ										
	FACTOR					OR		Z		0	2	4	6	8	10	
1	1	(Repair or Replace) vs. (New or Expansion)	ľ	2		16	۱ ^۱	10		0%+	20% +	40%+	60%+ Repair or Replacement	80%+ Repair or Replacement	100%+ Repair or Replacement	1
				l				Х	10	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement			x	
				l	l	l	l	ļ								
2	1,5	Existing Physical Condition:	0	2	1	6	8	10		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or Not Operating	2
		Must submit substantiating			l	Х	1	ĺ	9				X		Opamig	
		documentation and CIR (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)	L	Ļ	1	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ								
3	2	Public Health and/or Public Safety Concerns	0	2	ľ	1	Ϊx	10	16	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical X	Extremely Critical	3
		Submitta's without supporting documentation will receive 0 points			l									1		
4	2	for this question. Percentage of Local Share (Local	o	2	1	6	8	†0		0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	4
		funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget,			ĺ	ĺ	l	X	20		-				X	
		assessments, raies or lax revenues) *			ŀ		l								-	
5	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	0 X		4	6	8	10	0	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	5
		(Excluding Issue II Funds)			l		l			X						
		(Grants and other revenues not			l		l									
		contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant; including					l									
		Gifts, Contributions, etc must submit copy of award or status			l		l	ļ								
No	*A*	letter.) CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED						<u> </u>	'A'X'B'		L	Priority	Factors	L		No
	WEIGHT			PI	RIO.	RIT	γ									
	FACTOR					OR				-9	-8	0	8	9	10	
										Grant or Loan Only						
6		OPWC Grant and Loan Funding Requested; Please refer to item 6 on	-9	-8	To	8 Y	9	10	16	\$500,001	\$400,001 lo	\$325,001	\$275,001 X	\$175,001	\$175,000	6
_		Questionnaire for Clarification.			L	Ĺ	L			or more .	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	or less	Ш
	2		-9	-8	10	В	9	10		Grant/Loan Combination \$750,000	\$600,001 to	\$487,501 to	\$412,501 to	\$262,501 to	\$262,500	6
	-			ľ	ľ	ľ										
		When scoring a project that is only gr	ant o	r Dn	ly k	an.	Ple	256	use the	or more chart labeled "Grant	\$750,000 or Loan Only'. W	\$600,000 Then scoring a gr	\$487,500 ant/loan combinati	5412,500 on, score the proj	or less ect for the grant	
		in the first chart, then use the second	char	t lab			teré	υLc		ination" to score the	total (grant and i			ne two as the scor	e.	conc
No	Α.	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED			78			(Q)	'A' x 'B'			Priority	Factors			No.
	WEIGHT FACTOR					RIT OR:										
7		Will the Proposed Project Create	O	2			B		reaction in	0 0+jobs	2	4	6	8 50+jobs	10	8
		Permanent jobs or retain jobs	x	ĺ	ľ	Ü	ľ	137	۸		7+ jobs	15+jobs	25+ jobs	30+100%	100 + Jobs	$ $
		that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation	-	ŀ	l				0	X						
8		Required). Benefits to Existing Users such as households,	O	2	4	6	6	to		D+	100+	350+	500+	750+	1000+	9
		(Equivalent dwelling units), traffic			l			X	10						X	
9		Counts, etc SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS		_	_		•	Н		Other Info:		:				닉
		(MAX. = 115)							81	Does this project In	nave a skynificant	impact on produc	live farmland?			
											-					
										YES (NO)						
										Atlach impaci stat	ement if yes .					
-										Is the Applicant rea	ady to proceed to	blds after State A	upproval within 6 m	nonths?		
ĺ	l									(YES) NO						
10		COUNTY PRIORITY POINTS (25-20 15)			_			T								
11		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX =12)]						
12		GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS	_													

^{*} Applicants must certify local share contribution. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.