



State of Ohio
Public Works Commission
Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

Applicant

Applicant: Sandusky County Commissioners Subdivision Code: 143-00143
 District Number: 5 County: Sandusky Date: 09/06/2016
 Contact: Steve Shiets, Sandusky County Engineer Phone: (419) 332-9967
(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions)
 Email: saneng@co.sandusky.oh.us FAX: (419) 334-6714

Project

Project Name: Wightman's Grove Sewer System Zip Code: 43420

Subdivision Type	Project Type	Funding Request Summary	
<small>(Select one)</small> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. County <input type="checkbox"/> 2. City <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Township <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Village <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Water (6119 Water District)	<small>(Select single largest component by \$)</small> <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Road <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Bridge/Culvert <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Water Supply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Wastewater <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Solid Waste <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Stormwater	<small>(Automatically populates from page 2)</small> Total Project Cost: <u>2,222,868 .00</u> 1. Grant: <u>325,000 .00</u> 2. Loan: <u>0 .00</u> 3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: <u>0 .00</u> Funding Requested: <u>325,000 .00</u>	

District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee)

Funding Type Requested <small>(Select one)</small> <input type="checkbox"/> State Capital Improvement Program <input type="checkbox"/> Local Transportation Improvement Program <input type="checkbox"/> Revolving Loan Program <input type="checkbox"/> Small Government Program District SG Priority: _____	SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs Amount: _____ .00 RLP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs Amount: _____ .00 Grant: _____ Amount: _____ .00 LTIP: _____ Amount: _____ .00 Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: _____ Amount: _____ .00
--	---

For OPWC Use Only

STATUS Project Number: _____ Release Date: _____ OPWC Approval: _____	Grant Amount: _____ .00 Loan Amount: _____ .00 Total Funding: _____ .00 Local Participation: _____ % OPWC Participation: _____ %	Loan Type: <input type="checkbox"/> SCIP <input type="checkbox"/> RLP Date Construction End: _____ Date Maturity: _____ Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs
---	--	---

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services

Preliminary Design:	<u>8,000</u> .00		
Final Design:	<u>83,300</u> .00		
Construction Administration:	<u>11,900</u> .00		
Total Engineering Services:	a.) <u>103,200</u> .00		<u>5</u> %
Right of Way:	b.) _____ .00		
Construction:	c.) <u>2,119,668</u> .00		
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.) _____ .00		
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.) _____ .00		
Construction Contingencies:	f.) _____ .00		<u>0</u> %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.) <u>2,222,868</u> .00		

1.2 Project Financial Resources

Local Resources

Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.) _____ .00		
Local Revenues:	b.) <u>1,897,868</u> .00		
Other Public Revenues:	c.) _____ .00		
ODOT / FHWA PID: _____	d.) _____ .00		
USDA Rural Development:	e.) _____ .00		
OEPA / OWDA:	f.) _____ .00		
CDBG:	g.) _____ .00		
<input type="checkbox"/> County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula"			
<input type="checkbox"/> Department of Development			
Other: _____	h.) _____ .00		
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.) <u>1,897,868</u> .00		<u>85</u> %

OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)

Grant: <u>100</u> % of OPWC Funds	j.) <u>325,000</u> .00		
Loan: <u>0</u> % of OPWC Funds	k.) _____ .00		
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.) <u>0</u> .00		
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.) <u>325,000</u> .00		<u>15</u> %
Total Financial Resources:	n.) <u>2,222,868</u> .00		<u>100</u> %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local resources required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	_____ 0 .00	_____ 0 %
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	_____ 2,222,868 .00	_____ 100 %
2.3 Total Project:	_____ 2,222,868 .00	_____ 100 %

A Farmland Preservation letter is required for any impact to farmland

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date: <u>09/30/2016</u>	End Date: <u>04/01/2017</u>
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date: <u>05/01/2017</u>	End Date: <u>06/01/2017</u>
3.3 Construction	Begin Date: <u>07/01/2017</u>	End Date: <u>08/01/2018</u>

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: 50 Years Age: _____ (Year built or year of last major improvement)

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge: Current ADT _____ Year _____ Projected ADT _____ Year _____

Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.

Residential Water Rate Current \$ _____ Proposed \$ _____

Number of households served: 96

Residential Wastewater Rate Current \$ _____ Proposed \$ _____

Number of households served: 96

Stormwater: Number of households served: 0

4.3 Project Description

- A: **SPECIFIC LOCATION** (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

The proposed sanitary sewer project is sewerage the entire unincorporated area of Wightman's Grove in Riley Township. The proposed WWTP site is bounded by the Sandusky River on the west side and Bark Creek on the east side.

- B: **PROJECT COMPONENTS** (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

There is no existing sanitary sewer for Wightman's Grove. Currently, the residences are served by failing septic tanks and leach fields.

The proposed project includes 8" gravity sanitary sewer system and manholes. As well as a pump station and force main to pump to the extended aeration WWTP.

- C: **PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS** (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

4400 LF 8" PVC sewer 18 manholes 3 grinder pumps 500 lf of storm sewer replacement 300 lf of 1.5" force main 3000 lf of 6" service laterals 1 pump station 10,700 lf force main 1112 SY asphalt pavement replacement 220 sy driveway replacement 1 extended aeration wwtp 1 outfall sewer to receiving stream with manhole 1 control and storage building 1 standby power

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer (Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: Charles Schwochow
Title: President of the Board
Address: 622 Croghan Street

City: Fremont State: OH Zip: 43420
Phone: (419) 334-6100
FAX: (419) 334-6104
E-Mail:

5.2 Chief Financial Officer (Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: Jerri Miller
Title: Auditor
Address: 100 North Park Avenue Suite
Suite 228
City: Fremont State: OH Zip: 43420
Phone: (419) 334-6123
FAX: (419) 334-6139
E-Mail: miller_jerri@co.sandusky.oh.us

5.3 Project Manager

Name: Steve Shiets
Title: Sanitary Engineer
Address: 2100 Countryside Place

City: Fremont State: OH Zip: 43420
Phone: (419) 332-9967
FAX: (419) 334-6714
E-Mail: saneng@co.sandusky.oh.us

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

- A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
- A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
- Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.
- Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Theresa Garcia, County Administrator

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)


Theresa Garcia 9-8-2016

Original Signature / Date Signed

AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS
SANDUSKY COUNTY, OHIO
WIGHTMAN'S GROVE SANITARY SEWERS

September 8, 2016

I, the County Auditor of Sandusky County, hereby certify that the Sandusky County will have the amount of \$1,897,868 through other funding sources such as WPCLF and CDBG and that this amount will be used to pay the local for the Wightman's Grove Sanitary System when it is required.



Jerri Miller
County Auditor

9-8-16

Date

RESOLUTION 2016 - 199

AUTHORIZING STEVE SHIETS, SANDUSKY COUNTY SANITARY ENGINEER, TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

The Board of County Commissioners, Sandusky County, Ohio, met in regular session on the 11th day of August, 2016 at the offices of the Board with the following members present:

Dan Polter

Charles Schwochow

Terry Thatcher

Commissioner Thatcher moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

Whereas, the Sanitary Engineer is planning to make capital improvements to Wightmans Grove Sanitary Sewer, and

Whereas, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs.

THEREFORE; Be It Resolved,

Section 1. Sanitary Engineer, Steve Shiets, is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2. Sanitary Engineer, Steve Shiets, is further authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Section 3. It is found and determined that all formal actions of this Board concerning and relating to the adoption of this resolution were so adopted in an open meeting of this Board, and that all formal actions, were in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all legal requirements.

Commissioner Polter offered a second to the motion for the above resolution and the vote upon its adoption resulted as follows:

AP Proctor, yes
Don Peltz, yes
Charles Schrock, yes

Considered and adopted by unanimous vote.

Attest: Theresa Gannon
Clerk to the Board

file: sanitary engineer
copies:

I, the undersigned, Clerk of the Board of Commissioners,
Sandusky County, Ohio, do hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true and correct copy from the official record of the Board of
County Commissioners as recorded in the official Journal.

Clerk, Board of County Commissioners
Sandusky County, Ohio

SANDUSKY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
WIGHTMANS GROVE SEWER SYSTEM
CONVENTIONAL GRAVITY SEWER AND
EXTENDED AERATION PLANT

6-Sep-16

Item No.	Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total Price
1	Pretreatment Facilities (Trash Trap/Aerated Flow EQ) Extended Aeration Plant (Package) Alum Feed Dosing Tank with Submersible Pumps/Controls Distribution Box	1	LS	\$ 295,000.00	\$ 295,000.00
2	Fixed Media Clarifiers and Slow Sand Filters Chlorination/Dechlorination Tank/Post Aeration Flow Meter and Recorder Aerated Sludge Holding Tank Outfall Sewer to Receiving Stream with Manhole	1	LS	\$ 94,000.00	\$ 94,000.00
3	Control and Storage Building	1	LS	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 85,000.00
4	Standby Power	1	LS	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
5	Non-Component Costs (Includes piping and electrical)	1	LS	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00
6	Site Work (including Grading, Seeding, Walks, Etc.)	1	LS	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 85,000.00
7	Drives and Fences	1	LS	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
SUBTOTAL WWTP					\$ 724,000.00
1	8" PVC Sanitary Sewer	4,400	L.F.	\$75	\$330,000
2	Manholes, including lining for I/I reduction	18	Each	\$7,000	\$126,000
3	Storm Sewer Replacement	500	L.F.	\$18	\$9,000
4	Residential Grinder Pump, including electrical	3	Each	\$8,200	\$24,600
5	1-1/2" Force Main	300	L.F.	\$18	\$5,400
6	6" Laterals to Right-of-Way/Property Line	3,000	L.F.	\$65	\$195,000
7	Pump Station a to WWTP	1	LS	\$185,000	\$185,000
8	Force Main to WWTP	10,700	LF	\$18	\$192,600
9	Asphalt Pavement Replacement	1112	SY	\$40	\$44,480
10	Driveway Replacement	440	SY	\$45	\$19,800
11	Seeding, Mulching and Restoration	1	LS	\$25,000	\$25,000
14	Preconstruction Video	1	LS	\$2,500	\$2,500
15	Traffic Maintenance	1	LS	\$7,500	\$7,500
16	Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	\$17,000	\$17,000
17	Bonds and insurance	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
SUBTOTAL SEWER					\$1,193,880
GRAND SUBTOTAL					\$ 1,917,880.00
Land Purchase (1 acre)					10,000.00
Contingencies (10%)					191,788.00
TOTAL OPINION OF CONSTRUCTION COSTS					\$ 2,119,668.00
Topographic Survey					\$ 8,000.00
Final Design					\$ 83,300.00
Bidding and Negotiations					\$ 11,900.00
TOTAL OPINION OF PROJECT COSTS					\$ 2,222,868.00

The estimated useful life of the water main is fifty (50) years.

Denise M. Plummer
Denise M. Plummer, P.E.
9-6-16



Revised: June 14, 2016

**DISTRICT 5
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
QUESTIONNAIRE
ROUND 31**

Name of Applicant: Sandusky County Commissioners

Project Title: Wightman's Grove Sewer System

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses.

1. What percentage of the project in repair A= __%, replacement B= __%, expansion C= __%, and new D= 100 %? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred (100) percent) A+B= __% C+D= 100 %

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating from the Capital Improvements Report (CIR) Inventory : Excellent

Closed or Not Operating: The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

Critical: The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. **(For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum of 25% of the total Project Cost).**

Poor: The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.

Fair: The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards.

Good: The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.

Excellent: The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

The CIR must be included with the application in order to receive points along with supporting documentation (e.g. photos or a narrative) justifying the rating.

3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*

Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Moderate: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal: Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact: Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the LOWEST category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, microsurfacing, crack sealing, etc.

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements.

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
Moderate:	66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.
Minimal:	81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.
No Impact:	Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical:	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.

Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

- Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
- Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
- Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
- Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
- Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
- Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical: Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.

Critical: Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.

Major: Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.

Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.

Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.

Minimal: A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.

No Impact: No health and/or safety effect.

NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical ____, Critical ____, Major ____, Moderate ____, Minimal ____, No Impact X . Explain your answer. _____

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.

A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$ 1,897,868

B.) Total Project Cost = \$ 3,222,868

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A/B)= 85 %

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.

Grants ___% Gifts ___%, Contributions ___%

Other ___% (explain) _____ , Total ___%

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.

- _____ \$500,001 or More
- _____ \$400,001-\$500,000
- _____ \$325,001-\$400,000
- X \$275,001-\$325,000
- _____ \$175,001-\$275,000
- _____ \$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES _____ NO X

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) **Please note: if you answer “no” you will not be contacted, only if you answer “yes” will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.**

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week) ? Yes ___ No X . If yes, how

many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ___ No X. If yes, how many jobs _____ **will be created/retrained** within 18 months **following the completion of the improvements?**

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivalent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 96 HH (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.)

9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes ___ No X

If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at <http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF> If No, skip to Question 11.

10. **OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES**

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Supplement and their required budgets with their application.

Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.

- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the **Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at <http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html>**
- Should there be more projects that meet the “annual score” than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, “contingency protects” may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. **It is each applicant’s responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor’s Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.**

If you desire to have your Round 31 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 31 by accessing the OPWC Website at <http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF>. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 31.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

List all specific user fees: Amount or
ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS:(OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage

Permissive license fee	4504.02 or 4504.06 _____
	4504.15 or 4504.17 _____
	4504.16 or 4504.171 _____
	4504.172 _____
	4504.18 _____
Special property taxes	5555.48 _____

5555.49 _____

Municipal Income Tax _____

County Sales Tax _____

Others _____

(DO NOT INCLUDE SCHOOL TAXES)

SPECIFIC PROJECT AREA INFORMATION.

Median household income _____

Monthly utility rate: Water _____

Sewer _____

Other _____

List any special user fees or assessment (be specific)


POLITICAL SUBDIVISION= Sandusky County

COUNTY= Sandusky

DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)= _____

(25-20-15)

Date: 9/8/16

Signature: 


Title: Project Administration Assistant

Address: 1168 North Main Street, Bowling Green, Ohio 43402

Phone: 419-352-7537

FAX: 419-353-0187

Email: histerm@poggemeyer.com



0 0.175 0.35 0.7 Miles

OPWC RD31
SANDUSKY COUNTY
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM

- Proposed Manholes
- Proposed Pump Station
- Proposed Sanitary
- Proposed Forcemain
- District Gravity Sewers
- District Forcemain
- Proposed Treatment Site




D. HEYMAN
REV 5/2015
REV 4/2016
POG JCB# 3185-232
FILE: 031103309
REVISED PLATES
MAY 2019/OPWC-F-4.320D

CRITICAL AREAS- SA-08/SA-10/SA-19
RILEY AND SANDUSKY TOWNSHIPS
SANDUSKY COUNTY, OHIO

SANDUSKY COUNTY
WHITEMANS GROVE





Option No. 2

- Proposed Manholes
- Proposed Pump Station
- Proposed Sanitary
- Proposed Forcemain
- District Gravity Sewers
- District Forcemain
- Proposed Treatment Site



D. HEYMAN
 4/27/2014
 PDS JOB# 3185-018
 P.E. #13182038
 PLATE F-8

CRITICAL AREA- SA-08/SA-10/SA-19
RILEY AND SANDUSKY TOWNSHIP
SANDUSKY COUNTY, OHIO
PLATE F-8

SANDUSKY COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE WATER & SEWER
GENERAL PLAN



