

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form. Subdivision Code: <u>125-07370</u> Applicant: Blue Creek Township Applicant District Number: 5 County: Paulding Date: 08/22/2019 Contact: Chad M. Crosby Phone: <u>(419)</u> 399-2433 (The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions) Email: chadc@pauldingcountyoh.com FAX: (419) 399-3363 Project Name: Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements Zip Code: 45879 Subdivision Type **Project Type Funding Request Summary** (Select one) (Select single largest component by \$) (Automatically populates from page 2) 1. County 1. Road **Total Project Cost:** 368,680 .00 2. City 2. Bridge/Culvert 175,000 .00 1. Grant: 3. Township 3. Water Supply 2. Loan: 0.00 4. Village 4. Wastewater 00.00 3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: 5. Water (6119 Water District) 5. Solid Waste 175,000 .00 6. Stormwater Funding Requested: District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee) **Funding Type Requested** SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: ____ Yrs Amount: (Select one) RLP Loan - Rate: ____ % Term: ___ Yrs State Capital Improvement Program Local Transportation Improvement Program Amount; ______.00 Grant: Revolving Loan Program LTIP: Amount: _____.00 Small Government Program District SG Priority: _ Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement: Amount: _ .00 For OPWC Use Only **STATUS** Loan Type: SCIP Project Number: ____ Loan Amount: ______.00 Date Construction End: __ Date Maturity: Total Funding: ______.00 Local Participation: ______ % Rate: Release Date: OPWC Approval: _ OPWC Participation: ______ % Term:

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services			
Preliminary Design:	.00		
Final Design:	.00		
Construction Administration:	.00		
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	0.0	00 %
Right of Way:	b.)	0.	0
Construction:	c.)	368,680 .0	0
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)	.0	0
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	.0	0
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	.0.	00 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	368,680 .0	0
1.2 Project Financial Resources			
Local Resources			
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)	.00.	O
Local Revenues:	b.)	193,680 .00	o
Other Public Revenues:	c.)	.00.)
ODOT / FHWA PID:	d.)	.00)
USDA Rural Development:	e.)	.00.)
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)	.00.)
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development	g.)	.00.)
Other:	h.)	.00.)
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	193,680 .00) 53 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)			
Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	175,000 .00)
Loan:0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	.00.)
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	00. 0)
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	175,000 .00	47 %
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	368,680 .00	100 %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansio	n
2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:	368,680 .00 100 % A Farmland Preservation letter is
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:	0 .00 0 % required for any impact to farmland
2.3 Total Project:	<u>368,680</u> .00 <u>100</u> %
3.0 Project Schedule	
•	Date: 06/01/2019 End Date: 09/01/2019
	Date: 06/02/2020 End Date: 07/01/2020
3.3 Construction Begin	Date: 07/01/2020 End Date: 12/31/2020
Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed	Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.
Failure to meet project schedule may result in term Modification of dates must be requested in writing Commission once the Project Agreement has bee	by project official of record and approved by the
4.0 Project Information If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be	consolidated in this section.
4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Inf	rastructure
Project Useful Life: <u>10</u> Years Age: <u>19</u> Attach Registered Professional Engineer's stateme project's useful life indicated above and detailed co	
4.2 User Information	
Road or Bridge: Current ADT 500 Year	2019 Projected ADT <u>550</u> Year <u>2038</u>
Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,5	00 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.
Residential Water Rate Cu	rrent \$ Proposed \$
Number of households served:	
Residential Wastewater Rate Cu	rrent \$ Proposed \$
Number of households served:	

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: ___

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

TR-79 commencing at TR-48 and ending north at TR-60 TR-153 commencing at TR-198 and ending north at TR-218 Auglaize Addition commencing at SR-637 and ending east at CR-179

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

Work will consist of; reclaiming road and adding cement stabilization for base then spraying tack oil to treat the road then laying hot mix asphalt in two lifts with an intermediate coarse followed by a surface coarse, tack oil will also be applied to intermediate coarse to help bind the two lifts together. After asphalt is layed, temporary striping for safety purposes will be placed followed by placing berm stone along new pavements edge to protect the edge of pavement. Traffic will be maintained in accordance to the Engineer's specifications.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

TR-79 is 5315 feet long and 16 feet wide TR-153 is 5730 feet long and 16 feet wide Hartzogs Addition is 4844 feet long and 18 feet wide

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 4 of 6

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer	(Person a	uthorized in legislation to sign project agreements)
	Name:	Jammie Hughes
	Title:	Blue Creek Township Trustee Chairman
	Address:	10763 Rd. 24
	City:	Haviland State: OH Zip: 45851
	Phone:	(419) 771-0926
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	also serve as CEO)
	Name:	Chris Laukhuf
	Title:	Blue Creek Township Fiscal Officer
	Address:	8451 Rd. 48
		·
	City:	Haviland State: OH Zip: 45880
	Phone:	(419) 263-1080
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	
5.3 Project Manager		
	Name:	Chad M. Crosby
	Title:	Project Manager
	Address:	801 West Wayne St.
	City:	Paulding State: OH Zip: 45879
	Phone:	(419) 399-2433
	FAX:	(419) 399-3363
	E-Mail:	chadc@pauldingcountyoh.com

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated **/** official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share **|** funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 1 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland. Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form. Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking

7.0 Applicant Certification

Integrating Committee.

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Amount Hels President of Trustees
Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Amount Hughes 8127/19

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 6 of 6

Farmland Preservation Review Letter

Farmland Preservation Review For The Ohio Public Works Commission

Blue Creek Township and et al 2019 Road Improvements

This review is to comply with Farmland Preservation Review Advisory of the Ohio Public Works Commission and the Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV. This Review was accomplished by the Paulding County Engineer's Office.

1. The immediate impact the project will have on productive agricultural and grazing land related to land acquisition.

No impact

2. Indirect impact that will result in the loss of productive agricultural and grazing land from the development related to the project.

No impact

3. Mitigation measures that could be implemented when alternative sites or locations are not feasible

No impact

Travis McGarvey, County Engineer

Date:

10-Sep-19

PAULDING COUNTY ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

Subdivision: Blue Creek Township et al

Location: Blue Creek, Auglaize Townships

Type work: Reclaim, Stabilize, Asphalt Pave and Berm

NO.	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
u*	PAVEMENT				
252	Reclaim, Grade and Roll (17.5' wide)	10334.72	SQ. YD.	1.50	15,502.08
305	Cement Stabilization (17.5' wide)	10334.72	SQ. YD.	7.50	77,510.40
448	Asphalt Conc. Surface Crse, Type 1 Light	1629.08	CU. YD.	158.00	257,394.64
407	Tack Coat	2932.36	GALLON	1.70	4,985.01
617	Compacted Agg. (1.5 foot width)	123.03	CU. YD.	60.00	7,381.80
	TRAFFIC CONTROL				
614	Maintaining Traffic		LUMP	SUM	5,906.07
	1				

GRAND TOTAL

368,680.00

REGISTERED ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COSTS AND CERTIFICATION OF USEFUL LIFE OF PROJECT

This is to certify that I Travis R. McGarvey a Professional Engineer, Ohio Registration Number 68039, have examined the above project being submitted to the Ohio Public Works Administration for funding, and do certify the following costs of the project to be as accurate as possible. The useful life is estimated to be 10 years.

Travis R. McGarvey, P.E., P.S.

TRAFFIC COUNT 24 HRS

Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements


TR79 = 2307-17-19/07-18-19 (between TR48-TR60)

TR153 = 20608-06-19/08-07-19 (between TR198-TR218)

Hartzog's Auglaize Allotment = 271 08-12-19/08-13-19 (between CR179-SR637)

SIGNED: Cholor. Co

DATE: 9.6.19



"AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION"

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING Jammie Hughes, Blue Creek Township Trustee
Chairman TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE
OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTIVE
CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, <u>Blue Creek Township</u> is planning to make capital improvements to <u>Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements</u>, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Blue Creek Township :

Section 1: <u>Jammie Hughes, Blue Creek Township Trustee Chairman</u> is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: <u>Jammie Hughes, Blue Creek Township Trustee Chairman</u> is further authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: Hugast 27 2019
Date

Jammie Hughes, Blue Creek Township Trustee

Calvin Sinn, Blue Creek Township Trustee

Bryce Mills, Blue Creek Township Trustee

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

<u>Blue Creek Township</u> and <u>Auglaize Township</u> enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the "Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements".

Blue Creek Township will provide funds totaling 25.35 % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be \$368,680.00 and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the 2001 Road and Bridgeaccount/fund.

Jammie/Hughes, Blue Creek Township Trustee

Calvin Sinn, Blue Creek Township Trustee

Bryce Malls, Blue Creek Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of Blue Creek Township, hereby certify that Blue Creek Township has the amount of \$93,458.00 in the 2031 Road Bodge account/fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the "Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements" when it is required.

Chris Laukhuf, Blue Creek Township Fiscal Officer

"COOPERATION AGREEMENT"

<u>Blue Creek Township</u> and <u>Auglaize Township</u> enter into a cooperative agreement to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for the "<u>Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements</u>".

Blue Creek Township will provide funds totaling 24.65 % of the cost of the project which is estimated to be \$368,680.00 and agrees to pay this share of the cost as invoices are due/at the end of the project/as otherwise agreed upon. Such funds will come from the Capital Projects fund 2011-2021/account/fund.

Bill Wiles, Auglaize Township Trustee

<u>Meno Walling</u>
Gene Weidenhamer, Auglaize Township Trustee

Everette Bennett, Auglaize Township Trustee

I, Fiscal Officer of Auglaize Township, hereby certify that Auglaize Township has the amount of \$90,882.00 in the Capital Projects | 2011-2021-2031 | account/fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the "Blue Creek Township et al 2019 Road Improvements" when it is required.

Sue Becher, Auglaize Township Fiscal Officer

BLUE CREEK TOWNSHIP et al 2019 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS



TR-79 Blue Creek township looking south from TR-60



TR-79 Blue Creek Township looking north from TR-48



TR-153 Auglaize Township rut in southbound lane



TR-153 Auglaize Township pavement breaking up northbound lane



TR-153 rut in northbound lane



TR-153 rut in southbound lane

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE ROUND 34

Name of Applicant:_	Blue	Cree K	Township	
Project Title:	oad I	mprovem	ents '	

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1. What percentage of the project in repair A= <u>o</u> %, replacement B= <u>loo</u>%, expansion C= <u>o</u> %, and new D= <u>o</u> %? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B= <u>loo</u>% C+D= <u>o</u> %

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating:

Closed or Not Operating: The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components

have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

Critical: The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance

situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum

of 25% of the total Project Cost).

Poor: The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to

return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a

diminished capacity.

Fair: The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still

functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design

standards.

Good: The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.

Excellent:

The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

- In order to receive points provide supporting documentation (e.g. photos, a narrative, maintenance history, or third party findings) to justifying the rating.
- 3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal:

Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact:

Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:

Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road:

Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance:

Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

^{*(3}R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3" of additional pavement, ect...)

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3" of additional pavement, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:

Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major:

Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal:

Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact:

No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical:

Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical:

Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical:

Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
WATER LINES/WA	TER TOWERS
Extremely Critical:	Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.
<u>OTHER</u>	
Extremely Critical:	There is a present health and/or safety threat.
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.
in the o In geno	ined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. eral, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category which the project will be scored.
(Submittals without	supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)
Extremely Critical _	, Critical, Major, Moderate X_, Minimal, No Impact Explain
your answer.	
3R Project	- Minor Access Road
(Additional na	rrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.
	A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$ 193,680
	B.) Total Project Cost = \$\frac{368}{600}, 600
	RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A \square B)= 52 %
	Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be
	paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue Π or LTIP
	Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.
	Grants <u>&</u> % Gifts <u>\lambda</u> %, Contributions <u>\lambda</u> %
	Other <u>6</u> % (explain), Total <u>0</u> %
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant
	should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loar request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.
	\$500,001 or More
	\$400,001-\$500,000 #205,001.#400.000
	\$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000
	\$175,001-\$275,000
	\$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES NOX

If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time

7.

equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes ____ No _x_. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ____ No _x_. If yes, how many jobs ____ will be created/retrained within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 500 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.)

receive 0 points for this question.)

9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes ____ No ___ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application. Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 33 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 33 by accessing the OPWC Website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 32.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

4504.18

List all specific user fees: Amoun ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS:(nt or OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage
Permissive license fee	4504.02 or 4504.06 4504.15 or 4504.17 4504.16 or 4504.171 4504.172

Special proper	rty taxes	5555.48 5555.49				
Municipal Inc	ome Tax					
County Sales	Tax					
	3 4				e a	
(DO NOT INC	CLUDE SCHOOL TAXES)					
SPECIFIC PR	OJECT AREA INFORMAT	ION.				
Median house	hold income					
Monthly utilit	y rate: Water					
	Sewer					
	7 1 10 P	N.				
	Other	z -				
List any specia	al user fees or assessment (be	specific)				
					el f	
POLITICAL SU	BDIVISION= Blue Creek	Township			a.	2
COUNTY=						
	RY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COM	AMITTEE ONLY)=	***************************************			
(25-20-15)						
	0 0 10					
Date:	9-3-19 FMar					
Signature:	County Engineer					
Title:	BOI W. WayNe St	PALLA	0H 458	19		
Address:	419-399-2433					
Phone: FAX:	419 - 399 - 3363					
Email:	tmcgarvey e winds					
	1					

Capital Improvement Project

Priority Rating Sheet, Round 34

	COUNTY:	1110	Т	_			_	_						PROJECT NUM	1/23/19 IBER	-
	PROJECT	HOTE THE CHER	5													_
0.	EST. COS	T: 5 368,680	200	100.5		'B'	- plane	-	release T	oal .	-	27.5				-10
		CATTERIA TO BE CONSIDERED				0			"A" x	•		Phon	y Factors			
	WEIGHT				PR											1
	FACTOR				FAC	CTC	RS			0	1 2	1 4	8	B	10	+
1	1	(Repair or Replace) vs. (New or Expansion)	0	T	2	4	6	8	10	0% +	20% +	40% +	60%+ Repair or		100%+ Repair	
		Ефинаоп)	1	1		П		1		Repair or	Banals as	Danale as	Replacement	Replacement	or Replacement	1
			1	1		П		1	10	Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	İ	1	X	١
	l		1	1	-	П		1	10			l			/	1
2	1.5	Existing Physical Condition:	10	7	2	4	6	8 1	10	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or Not	+
				1	- 1		1	1	9			1			Operating	
		Must submit substantiating documentation and CIR (100% New	d.	1	-		1	1	1		ł	1	X			
3	2	or Expansion = 0 Points) Public Health and/or Public Safety	10	+	2	4	6 0	8 1	0	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely	╀
		Concerns						1					,		Critical	1
		Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points	1	ı	- 1	1	1	1	8			×				l
4	2	for this question Percentage of Local Share (Local	0	+	2	4	8 8	8 1	0	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	504.	L
	-	funds are funds derived from the		1	1	1	1	T	ή .	0.00	10%*	2077	30%	40%*	50%+	1
		applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget,						1	20		1				X	
		essesements, rates or tax revenues	1													
7	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	0	1	2	4	6 8	3 10	0	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	1
1		(Excluding Issue II Funds)							1		1					
-				1		1			1	\ \						
		(Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through		1	1	1		1	6							
1		taxes by the applicant including		1	-	١	1	ı		,						
1		Gifts, Contributions, etc must submit copy of award or status	i	1	1	١		1	1							
23		letter.) CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	400		_	B		L	'A'X'B			07.4				_
		ONTENDATO DE CONSIDERED			-				1			Priority	ractors			No
	WEIGHT		墓		RIO											
	TAGIGIT			_	701					-9	-8	0	8	9	10	
		-								Grant or Loan Only						
T		OPWC Grant and Loan Funding Requested; Please refer to Item 6 on	-9	F	8 0	٦	9	10		\$500,001	\$400,001 to	\$325,001	\$275,001	\$175,001	\$175,000	6
1		Questionnaire for Clarification.		1	1	١			20	or more	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	or less	
7			\vdash	t	$^{+}$	t	H	t	1	Grant/Loan	***********	7.00,000	4423,000	42,0,000		-
1	2		-9	1	8 0	9	9	10	-	\$750,000	\$600,001 to	\$487,501 to	\$412,501 to	\$262,501 to	\$262,500	6
1					1											
1	1				- No	<u></u>				or more	\$750,000	\$600,000	\$487,500	\$412,500	or less	
		When exering a project that is only as	2010				. FR	2926								-
		When scoring a project that is only gr in the first chart, then use the second	ant o	rt fal	bele	d *(3ran	nVL	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	hen scoring a gra oan combined). U	ntioen combinate is the lower of the	n, score the project two as the score.	ot for the grant	
D.		When scoring a project that is only go in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	char	t la	bele 'B	d *(Gran	nVL	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	hen scoring a gra pan combined). U Priority P	se the lower of the	n, score the project two as the score.		No.
D.	ľ	in the first chart, then use the second	char	rt la	bele	d *(Gran	nVL	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	oan combined). L	se the lower of the	n, score the project two as the score.		No.
	"A" WEIGHT	in the first chart, then use the second	char	rt lal	*B	RIT	Gran	nt/Li	"A" x "B	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	oan combined). L	se the lower of the	n, score the project to two as the score.		No.
The state of the state of	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second	char	PI F/	*B	RIT	Y	nt/Lo	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	priority F	ese the lower of the	e two as the score.	. 10	
	"A" WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second	chart 0	PI F/	*B	RIT	Y	nt/Lo	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	oan combined). L	lse the lower of the	e two as the score.		No.
The state of the state of	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently	char	PI F/	*B	RIT	Y	nt/Lo	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	priority F	ese the lower of the	e two as the score.	. 10	
The state of the s	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation	char	PI F/	*B	RIT	Y	nt/Lo	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	priority F	ese the lower of the	e two as the score.	. 10	
The state of the s	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Resoulted) Benefits to Edisting Users such as	char	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	oan Comi	ination" to score the	total (grant and k	priority F	ese the lower of the	e two as the score.	. 10	
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently isst (Written Documentation Resourned) Benefits to Existing Users such as households,	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	PA" x "B	0 0+ jobs	total (grant and is	Priority I 4 15 + jobs	See the lower of the Factors 6 25 + Jobs 500+	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIGERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently loss (Written Documentation Resourned) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent divelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	oan Comi	O O+ jobs	total (grant and is	Priority I 4 15 + jobs	ese the lower of the cators 6 25 + Jobs	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
PO CALLED	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Resource) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	0 0+ jobs	total (grant and is	Priority I 4 15 + jobs	See the lower of the Factors 6 25 + Jobs 500+	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	PA" x "B	O O+ jobs	total (grant and k	Priority I Priority I 4 15 + jobs 350+	See the lower of the Factors 6 : 25 + jobs 500+	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	O O+ jobs O+ over Info: Other Info: Does this project h	total (grant and k	Priority I Priority I 4 15 + jobs 350+	See the lower of the Factors 6 : 25 + jobs 500+	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
THE REAL PROPERTY.	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	O Other Info:	total (grant and k	Priority I Priority I 4 15 + jobs 350+	See the lower of the Factors 6 : 25 + jobs 500+	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
PO CALLED	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	O O+ jobs O+ other Info: Does this project hi	total (grant and k	Priority I Priority I 4 15 + jobs 350+	See the lower of the Factors 6 : 25 + Jobs 500+	8 two as the score.	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	Other Info: Does this project he Attach impact state	2 7+ jobs 100+ we a significant is	Priority i 4 15 + jobs 350+	G / 25 + jobs 600+	8 50 + jobs	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	Other Info: Does this project he	2 7+ jobs 100+ we a significant is	Priority i 4 15 + jobs 350+	G / 25 + jobs 600+	8 50 + jobs	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Recorder) Benefits to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	Other Info: Does this project he Attach impact state	2 7+ jobs 100+ we a significant is	Priority i 4 15 + jobs 350+	G / 25 + jobs 600+	8 50 + jobs	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR 1 1	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that wood otherwise be permanently tost (Written Documentation Resolized). Generalist to Existing Users such as nouseholds. [Ceurtas to Existing Users such as nouseholds. [Ceurtas atto. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS [MAX = 115]	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	0 6 73	Other Info: Other Info: Does this project he YES NO Attach impact state Is the Applicant resi	2 7+ jobs 100+ we a significant is	Priority i 4 15 + jobs 350+	G / 25 + jobs 600+	8 50 + jobs	. 10 100 + jobs	8
	WEIGHT FACTOR 1 1	in the first chart, then use the second CRITERIA TO BE CONSIGERED Will the Proposed Project Create Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Resourch) Resourch Resourch Equivalent develing units), traffic Counts, etc. SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)	0	Pi F/	*B	RITTOR	Y S	10	O O	Other Info: Other Info: Does this project he YES NO Attach impact state Is the Applicant resi	2 7+ jobs 100+ we a significant is	Priority i 4 15 + jobs 350+	G / 25 + jobs 600+	8 50 + jobs	. 10 100 + jobs	8

^{*} Applicants must certify local share contribution. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.