

# State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPO	ORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for	r Financial Assistance for Capital I	nfrastructure P	rojects" for guidance in	completion of this form.
	Applicant: Middleton Township			Subdivision Code:	173-49812
Applicant	District Number: 5 County:	Wood		Date:	09/05/2019
Арр	Contact: Fred E. Vetter (The individual who will be available durin	g business hours and who can best answer or o	coordinate the respon	Phone:	(419) 409-1327
	Email: middletonfo@frontier.com			FAX:	(419) 352-6198
	Project Name: Dunbridge Road Imp	provements		Zip Cod	e: 43402
	Subdivision Type	Project Type		Funding Request	Summary
*	(Select one)	(Select single largest component by \$)	(Automatic	cally populates from page 2)	
Project	1. County	X 1. Road	Total Proj	ect Cost:	549,400 .00
Pro	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1.	Grant:	274,700 .00
	X 3. Township	3. Water Supply	2.	Loan:	0.00
	4. Village	4. Wastewater		Loan Assistance/	0.00
	5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste		Credit Enhancement:	
		6. Stormwater	Funding F	Requested:	274,700 .00
Di	District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee)				
(Sele	Funding Type Requested	SCIP Loan - Rate:	% Term:	Yrs Amount:	.00
	State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate:	% Term:	Yrs Amount:	.00
	Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:		Amount:	.00
	Revolving Loan Program  Small Government Program	LTIP:		Amount:	.00
Ц	District SG Priority:	Loan Assistance / Credit	Enhancemen		.00
For	OPWC Use Only			t. Amount.	.00
	STATUS	Grant Amount:	.00	Loan Type:	SCIP RLP
rojec	t Number:	Loan Amount:	.00	Date Construction	End:
		Total Funding:	.00	Date Maturity:	-
Releas	se Date:	Local Participation:	%	Rate:	%
PWC	CApproval:	OPWC Participation:	<b>%</b>	Term:	Yrs

# 1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

# 1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services			
Preliminary Design:	00		
Final Design:	00		
Construction Administration:	00		
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	0. 0	00 %
Right of Way:	b.)	.0.	0
Construction:	c.)	523,200 .0	0
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.)	.0.	0
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)	.0	0
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	26,200 .0	05 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	549,400 .0	0
1.2 Project Financial Resources			
Local Resources			
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)	.00.	)
Local Revenues:	b.)	274,700 .00	)
Other Public Revenues:	c.)	.00.	)
ODOT/FHWA PID:	d.)	.00.	)
USDA Rural Development:	e.)	.00.	)
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)	.00	)
CDBG:  County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula"  Department of Development	g.)	.00	1
Other:	h.)	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	274,700 .00	50_ %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)			
Grant: 100 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	274,700 .00	
Loan: 0 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	00.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	274,700 .00	50_%
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	549,400 ,00	100_%

# 1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Exp	ansion			
2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replace	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement:			100 % A Farmland Preservation lette
2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion:			required for an impact to farmla
2.3 Total Project:	12	549	.400 .00	100 %
3.0 Project Schedule				
3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:_	01/01/2020	End Date:	05/01/2020
3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:	05/15/2020	End Date:	07/03/2020
3.3 Construction	Begin Date:	07/24/2020	End Date:	10/30/2020
Construction cannot begin prior to release of				otice to Proceed.
Modification of dates must be requested in Commission once the Project Agreement II.  1.0 Project Information  If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information in Additional information in the project is multi-jurisdictional.	has been exec	uted. dated in this sec		
4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age	of Infrastru	cture		
Project Useful Life: <u>20</u> Years Age: Attach Registered Professional Engineer's project's useful life indicated above and details.	statement, with	(Year built or ye seal or stamp a ate.		
4.2 User Information				
Road or Bridge: Current ADT 1,803	Year <u>2019</u>	Projected.	ADT	Year
Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage	e of 4,500 gallo	ns per househol	ld; attach cun	rent ordinances.
Residential Water Rate				
Number of households served:				
Residential Wastewater Rate	Current \$		Proposed \$	
Number of households served:				

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12,15

Stormwater: Number of households served: \_\_\_

# 4.3 Project Description

A:	SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.
	Dunbridge Road between Sugar Ridge Road to Dowling Road
B:	PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.
	The proposed project will resurface 3.4 miles of Dunbridge Road, from Sugar Ridge Road to Dowling Road.
	The project includes 550 square yards of ODOT Item 254, Pavement Planing; 1480 cubic yards of ODOT Item 441, Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course; 1680 cubic yards of ODOT Item 441, Asphalt Concrete Surface Course; 830 cubic yards of ODOT Item 411, Stabilized Crushed Aggregate.
	This project has no impact for farmland.
	PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.
	The total project length is 3.4 miles on Dunbridge Road. The existing pavement is 21 feet in width.

# 5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer

(Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: Fred E. Vetter

Title: Board of Trustees Chairman

Address: 21745 N. Dixie Highway

City: Bowling Green State: OH Zip: 43402

Phone: (419) 409-1327

FAX: (419) 352-6198

E-Mail: middletonfo@frontier.com

5.2 Chief Financial Officer

(Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: Laurie L. Limes

Title: Township Fiscal Officer

Address: 21745 N. Dixie Highway

City: Bowling Green State: OH Zip: 43402

Phone: (419) 352-1480

FAX: (419) 352-6198

E-Mail: middletonfo@frontier.com

5.3 Project Manager

Name: Fred E. Vetter

Title: Trustee Chairman

Address: 21745 N. Dixie Highway

City: Bowling Green State: OH Zip: 43402

Phone: (419) 409-1327

FAX: (419) 352-6198

E-Mail: middletonfo@frontier.com

#### 6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

	,
<b>/</b>	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
<b>✓</b>	A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
<b>V</b>	A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
	A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
	Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
	Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164,06 on standard form.
<b>√</b>	Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

# 7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Fred E. Vetter, Board of Trustee Chairman

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

#### RESOLUTION 19-0807

# PREPARE AND SUBMIT APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND/OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, The State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, the Middleton Township Trustees are planning to make capital improvements to Dunbridge Road between Sugar Ridge Road and Dowling Road; and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) programs;

**THEREFORE**, Trustee Fred E. Vetter is hereby authorized on behalf of Middleton Township to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above as of August 7, 2019; and

**THEREFORE**, Trustee Fred E. Vetter is hereby authorized on behalf of Middleton Township to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

The motion to adopt the foregoing Resolution 19-0807 was moved by Trustee Penelope S. Getz and seconded by Trustee James Bostdorff.

On roll call the following vote was cast: James F. Bostdorff – YES; Penelope S. Getz – YES; Fred E. Vetter – YES.

#### CERTIFICATE

State of Ohio, Wood County

I, the undersigned Fiscal Officer of MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP, Wood County, Ohio, certify that the foregoing **Resolution 19-0807** is taken from the record of proceedings of the Township, and that it has been compared by me with the Resolution on the record and is a true copy.

James Junes Junes April Laurie L. Limes, Fiscal Officer

Middleton Township, Wood County, Ohio



www.middletontownship.com 21745 N. Dixie Highway, Bowling Green, OH 43402 | 419-352-1480

September 5, 2019

#### **CERTIFICATION REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS**

I, the undersigned, Fiscal Officer of Middleton Township, Wood County, Ohio, hereby certify that Middleton Township has the amount of \$274,700 in the Special Road Levy fund and that this amount will be used to pay the township's local share for Dunbridge Road between Sugar Ridge Road and Dowling Road, when it is required.

Respectfully submitted, for the MIDDLETON TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES

Laurie L. Limes Fiscal Officer

#### **Estimate of Construction Cost**

#### **Dunbridge Road Resurfacing, Middleton Township**

#### **UNIT PRICE CONTRACT**

Ref. No.	Spec Item No.	Quantity	Unit	ITEM		Unit Price	Total
				PAVEMENT	+		
1	254	550	Sq. Yd	Pavement Planing	\$	5.00	\$ 2,750.00
2	407	6853	Gal.	Non-Tracking Tack Coat	\$	2.00	\$ 13,706.00
3	441	1480	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type-1 (PG64-22)	\$	140.00	\$ 207,200.00
4	441	1680	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type-1 (PG64-22)	\$	145.00	\$ 243,600.00
5	411	830	Cu.Yd.	Stabilized Crushed Aggregate	\$	50.00	\$ 41,500.00
				Pavement Markings	+		
6	642	3.4	Mile	Center Line, Type 1	\$	750.00	\$ 2,550.00
7	642	6.8	Mile	Edge Line, 4 inch, Type 1	\$	650.00	\$ 4,420.00
				GENERAL	-		
8	614	1	Lump	Maintaining Traffic	\$	2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00
9	624	1	Lump	Mobilization	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00

The design useful life of this broker (\$100) years

Prepared By:

John M. Musteric, P.E., P.S. J. ONAL Engineer

SUB TOTAL \$

523,200.00

CONTINGENCY (5%) \$

26,200.00

GRAND TOTAL \$ 549,400.00

#### **Volume Grand Totals**

	Average Hour	ly Volumes	
	SOUTH BOUND	NORTH BOUND	Combined
12:00 AM	4.0	4.5	8.5
1:00 AM	2.0	2.5	4.5
2:00 AM	1.0	4.0	5.0
3:00 AM	2.0	2.0	4.0
4:00 AM	2.0	6.0	8.0
5:00 AM	32.5	10.5	43.0
6:00 AM	56.0	43.5	99.5
7:00 AM	69.0	49.0	118.0
8:00 AM	66.5	35,0	101.5
9:00 AM	49.5	33.0	82.5
10:00 AM	45.5	41.5	87.0
11:00 AM	55.0	41.5	96.5
12:00 PM	54.0	49.0	103.0
1:00 PM	62.5	47.5	110.0
2:00 PM	54.0	95.0	149.0
3:00 PM	74.3	84.3	158.7
4:00 PM	63.0	76.5	139.5
5:00 PM	74.5	86.0	160.5
6:00 PM	45.0	48.0	93.0
7:00 PM	30.5	33.5	64.0
8:00 PM	26.0	24.0	50.0
9:00 PM	23.0	18.0	41.0
10:00 PM	18.5	25.5	44.0
11:00 PM	18.0	14.0	32.0
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	928.3	874.3	1802.7

#### **Volume Totals**

SOUTH BOUND	NORTH BOUND	Combined
1955	1881	3836
51.0 %	49.0 %	



www.middletontownship.com 21745 N. Dixie Highway, Bowling Green, OH 43402 | 419-352-1480


September 5, 2019

# **SUPPORTIVE DOCUMENTATION FOR ROAD PROJECT Dunbridge Road (Sugar Ridge Road to Dowling Road)**

Health and safety is the utmost concern of this governing body, as school buses, student drivers, senior citizens, emergency medical personnel, area farmers, county and state vehicles, and local residents travel daily north and south on this major access township road.


Regularly, township residents plead with this body to repair the roads due to the hazards it presents. The standard traffic flow on a daily basis could include, but is not limited to: school buses, farm machinery, construction equipment, concrete trucks, emergency medical equipment including our own township fire trucks and ambulance, neighboring emergency medical equipment, county sheriff vehicles, dump trucks, waste disposal units, and more.

Dunbridge Road is considered a main thoroughfare, not only for our township, but in this part of the county. North of the proposed road project is the City of Perrysburg and South is the City of Bowling Green. Within the scope of the project the road intersects with St. Rt. 582 (another main thoroughfare) and a railroad line in the Village of Dunbridge. The road provides access for school districts of Eastwood, Otsego, Perrysburg, and Bowling Green school districts. Emergency personnel from our township, but also area departments need - and should expect - a road that is safe when they are sent on a call.
























# DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE

		QUESTIONNAIRE
	e of Applicant: Mic	determ Township Road Improvement - Sugar Ridge to Dowling Roads
Proje respo accur	cts. Please provide specific in nses to these questions will b	answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small
1.	What percentage of the pro	ject in repair A=1000%, replacement B=%, expansion C=%, and new D=
	%? (Use dollar amor	unts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one
	hundred(100) percent) A+E	3=% C+D=%
		ir or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision e state).
		acement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater ms, etc.
2.	Give the physical condition	rating:
	Closed or Not Operating:	The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.
	Critical:	The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum of 25% of the total Project Cost).
	Poor:	The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.
	Fair:	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design

The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

standards.

Good:

originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.

Excellent:

The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation

has been submitted.

- \* In order to receive points provide supporting documentation (e.g. photos, a narrative, maintenance history, or third party findings) to justifying the rating.
- 3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

### ROADS

Extremely Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.\*

Critical:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.\*

Major:

Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.\*

Moderate:

Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.\*

Minimal:

Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact:

Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road:

Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road:

Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance:

Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

<sup>\*(3</sup>R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3" of additional pavement, ect...)

\*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3" of additional pavement, etc.).

### **BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING**

nla

**Extremely Critical:** 

0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical:

27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major:

51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate:

66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal:

81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact:

Bridge on a new roadway.

# WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major:

Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal:

New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

# WATER TREATMENT PLANT

nla

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical:

Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major:

Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal:

New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:

Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major:

Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal:

Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact:

No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical:

Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

**CULVERTS** 

nla

Extremely Critical:

Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical:

Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS N

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.,

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS n A

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.	
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.	
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.	
WATER LINE	S/WATER TOWERS h a	
Extremely Crit	ical: Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.	
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.	
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.	
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.	
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.	
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.	
OTHER	nla	
Extremely Critic	cal: There is a present health and/or safety threat.	
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.	
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.	
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.	
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.	
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.	
NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee.  In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.		
(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)		
Extremely Critical, Critical, Major, Moderate, Minimal, No Impact Explain		
your answer.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	e	
	<del></del>	
(Addition	al narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)	

4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost.  A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$\frac{174}{700}\$  B.) Total Project Cost = \$\frac{544}{400}\$
5	RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A B) = 50. %  Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP  Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.
	Grants% Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other% (explain), Total%
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant
	should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.
	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000 \$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES NO
7.	If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time

equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes \_\_\_ No X\_. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? \_\_ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_. If yes, how many jobs \_\_\_ will be created/retrained within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

- 8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 1803 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) attached traffic count August 2019
- 9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_\_\_ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at <a href="http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF">http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF</a> If No, skip to Question 11.

# 10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application.

Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at <a href="http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html">http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html</a>
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 33 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 33 by accessing the OPWC Website at <a href="http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF">http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF</a>. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 32.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

List all specific user fees:	Amount or	
ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS: (OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage		
Permissive license fee	4504.02 or 4504.06	
	4504.15 or 4504.17	
	4504.16 or 4504.171	
	4504.172	
	4504.18	

Special property taxes	5555.48 5555.40	3		
Municipal Income Tax				
County Sales Tax				
Others				
(DO NOT INCLUDE SO				
SPECIFIC PROJECT A	REA INFORMATION.			
Median household incon	ne #83,000	_		
Monthly utility rate:	Water			
	Sewer	-	•	
	Other			
-	or assessment (be specific)			
		317.0	8 <del>8</del>	
POLITICAL SUBJIVISION=	- Middletm			
COUNTY= WOOD	21,1003010	6		
	(BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ON	NLY)=		
(25-20-15)				
Santa	aular E DOLA			
. /	ember 5, 2019			
Signature: Title:	ru X. gmus			
Address: 2174	15 N. Dixie Hwy	Boull Con	off 4340	17-
Phone: 4 10	352 1480	Bowling Green	UVI 7270	0
FAX: 419	11.1			
12325.		ther. com		
Email: mt	BALKTUNITU G TIO	THE COM		

#### Capital Improvement Project

#### Priority Rating Sheet, Round 34

	COUNTY:		T										Revised 0-		_
	PROJECT		1												_
	E8T. C06	CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P	1		_	_									_
60	WEIGHT			PRIORITY FACTORIS			A				y Factore				
1	1	(Repair or Repisce) vs. (New or Expension)	0	2	ľ	6	8	10	096 + Repeir or Recisconnent	20%+ Repair or Replacement	40% + Repair or Replacement	60%+ Repair or Replacement	8 80%+ Repair or Replacement	100%+ Repair or Replacement	
2	1.5	Existing Physical Condition:  Must outprit substantisting documentation and CIR (100% New	D	2		6	8	10	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or Not Operating	
3	2	cr Emmeston © 0 Points ) Public Health and/or Public Safety Concerns Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points	0	2	1	8	8	10	No impact	Minimat	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	
4	2	for this constitute. Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, managements, rates or tax revenues).	0	2	4	6	8	10	0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	
6	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES (Excluding issue IJ Punds) (Grants and other revenues not	0	2	4	8	6	10	0%+	10%+	20%+	3094+	40/96+	50%+	
10.1	*	contributed or collected through team by the applicant; including Gaths, Contributions, etc. — must eubmit copy of swerd or status latter.)													
0	WEIGHT	DOTTERN TO BE CONTINUED.				erry ORS		AVCE				Factors			
_			_	_	_	_		_	-0 Grant or	-8	0	8	9	10	-
В	2	OPWC Grant and Loan Funding Requested; Please rater to learn 8 on Questionnaire for Clarification.	-4	-3	0	8	9	10	Loan Only \$500,001 or more	\$400,001 to \$500,000	\$325,001 \$400,000	\$275,001 \$325,000	\$175,001 \$275,000	\$175,000 or less	
	2		•	4	٥	8	9	10	Grant/Loen Combination \$750,000	\$800,001 to	\$487,501 to \$600,000	\$412,501 to	\$282,501 to	\$262,500 or hee	_
		When ecoring a project that is only gr	erst or	ont	y for	Ц	븏	ne use the		Annual Control of the					-
		in the first chart, then use the second	chart	lab	oloci	"Gn	ari	Loan Com	bination" to ecore the	total (grant and I	loan combined). I	se the lower of th	two as the scon		
2	WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED				ITY OR6		S'×'A'			Priority	feen.		r Ve	N
	17101011	Will the Proposed Project Creats	0	2				0	C O+ Jobs	2 7+ jobs	4 15 + jobs	8 25 + jobs	6 50 + jobs	10 100 + jobs	
1		Permanent jobs or retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently													-
3	1	that would otherwise be permanently lost (Writian Documentation Received: Genetics to Existing Users such as households,	0	2	4	0		0	0+	100+	350+	500+	750+	1000+	•
	1	that would otherwise be permanently lost (Writian Documentation Remarks to Existing Users such as	0	2	4	Ð		0	Other Info; Does this project I				750+	1000+	
	1	that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recursor). Because to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwalling units), traffic Courts, sts SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	0	2	4	0	8 1	0	Other Info;	sve a significant			750+	1000+	
3	1	that would otherwise be permanently lost (Written Documentation Recursor). Because to Existing Users such as households, (Equivalent dwalling units), traffic Courts, sts SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	0	2	4	8	8 1	0	Other Info; Does this project I	neve a significant in a series of the series	impact on product	ive fermiond?		1000+	

<sup>\*</sup> Applicants must certify local share contribution. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.